

## ON THE MONOID OF COFINITE PARTIAL ISOMETRIES OF $\mathbb{N}$ WITH A BOUNDED FINITE NOISE

OLEG GUTIK & PAVLO KHYLYNSKYI

ABSTRACT. In the paper we study algebraic properties of the monoid  $\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$  of cofinite partial isometries of the set of positive integers  $\mathbb{N}$  with the bounded finite noise  $j$ . For the monoids  $\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$  we prove counterparts of some classical results of Eberhart and Selden describing the closure of the bicyclic semigroup in a locally compact topological inverse semigroup. In particular we show that for any positive integer  $j$  every Hausdorff shift-continuous topology  $\tau$  on  $\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$  is discrete and if  $\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$  is a proper dense subsemigroup of a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup  $S$ , then  $S \setminus \mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$  is a closed ideal of  $S$ , and moreover if  $S$  is a topological inverse semigroup then  $S \setminus \mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$  is a topological group. Also we describe the algebraic and topological structure of the closure of the monoid  $\mathbb{IN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$  in a locally compact topological inverse semigroup.

KEYWORDS: partial isometry, inverse semigroup, partial bijection, bicyclic monoid, closure, locally compact, topological inverse semigroup

MSC2020: 20M18, 20M20, 20M30, 22A15, 54A10, 54D45

*Received 29 April 2021; revised 19 July 2021; accepted 29 July 2021*

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In this paper we shall follow the terminology of [9, 12, 27, 29]. We shall denote the first infinite cardinal by  $\omega$  and the cardinality of a set  $A$  by  $|A|$ . By  $\text{cl}_X(A)$  we denote the closure of subset  $A$  in a topological space  $X$ .

A semigroup  $S$  is called *inverse* if for any element  $x \in S$  there exists a unique  $x^{-1} \in S$  such that  $xx^{-1}x = x$  and  $x^{-1}xx^{-1} = x^{-1}$ . The element  $x^{-1}$  is called the *inverse of  $x \in S$* . If  $S$  is an inverse semigroup, then the function  $\text{inv}: S \rightarrow S$  which assigns to every element  $x$  of  $S$  its inverse element  $x^{-1}$  is called the *inversion*.

If  $S$  is a semigroup, then we shall denote the subset of all idempotents in  $S$  by  $E(S)$ . If  $S$  is an inverse semigroup, then  $E(S)$  is closed under multiplication and we shall refer to  $E(S)$  as a *band* (or the *band of  $S$* ).

If  $S$  is an inverse semigroup then the semigroup operation on  $S$  determines the following partial order  $\preceq$  on  $S$ :  $s \preceq t$  if and only if there exists  $e \in E(S)$  such that  $s = te$ . This order is called the *natural partial order* on  $S$  and it induces the *natural partial order* on the semilattice  $E(S)$  [30].

An inverse subsemigroup  $T$  of an inverse semigroup  $S$  is called *full* if  $E(T) = E(S)$ .

A congruence  $\mathfrak{C}$  on a semigroup  $S$  is called a *group congruence* if the quotient semigroup  $S/\mathfrak{C}$  is a group. Any inverse semigroup  $S$  admits the *minimum group congruence*  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}$ :

$$a\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}b \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \text{there exists } e \in E(S) \quad \text{such that} \quad ea = eb.$$

Also, we say that a semigroup homomorphism  $\mathfrak{h}: S \rightarrow T$  is a *group homomorphism* if the image  $(S)\mathfrak{h}$  is a group, and  $\mathfrak{h}: S \rightarrow T$  is *trivial* if it is either an isomorphism or annihilating.

The bicyclic monoid  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  is the semigroup with the identity 1 generated by two elements  $p$  and  $q$  subjected only to the condition  $pq = 1$ . The semigroup operation on  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  is determined as follows:

$$q^k p^l \cdot q^m p^n = q^{k+m-\min\{l,m\}} p^{l+n-\min\{l,m\}}.$$

It is well known that the bicyclic monoid  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  is a bisimple (and hence simple) combinatorial  $E$ -unitary inverse semigroup and every non-trivial congruence on  $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$  is a group congruence [12].

If  $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$  is a partial map, then we shall denote the domain and the range of  $\alpha$  by  $\text{dom } \alpha$  and  $\text{ran } \alpha$ , respectively. A partial map  $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$  is called *cofinite* if both sets  $X \setminus \text{dom } \alpha$  and  $Y \setminus \text{ran } \alpha$  are finite.

Let  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$  denote the set of all partial one-to-one transformations of a non-zero cardinal  $\lambda$  together with the following semigroup operation:

$$x(\alpha\beta) = (x\alpha)\beta \quad \text{if} \quad x \in \text{dom}(\alpha\beta) = \{y \in \text{dom } \alpha: y\alpha \in \text{dom } \beta\}, \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{J}_\lambda.$$

The semigroup  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$  is called the *symmetric inverse (monoid) semigroup* over the cardinal  $\lambda$  (see [12]). The symmetric inverse semigroup was introduced by Wagner [30] and it plays a major role in the theory of semigroups. By  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda^{\text{cf}}$  is denoted a subsemigroup of injective partial selfmaps of  $\lambda$  with cofinite domains and ranges in  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda^{\text{cf}}$  is an inverse submonoid of the semigroup  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ . The semigroup  $\mathcal{J}_\lambda^{\text{cf}}$  is called the *monoid of injective partial cofinite selfmaps* of  $\lambda$  [20].

A partial transformation  $\alpha: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  is called *isometric* or a *partial isometry*, if  $d(x\alpha, y\alpha) = d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in \text{dom } \alpha$ . It is obvious that the composition of two partial isometries of a metric space  $(X, d)$  is a partial isometry, and the converse partial map to a partial isometry is a partial isometry, too. Hence the set of partial isometries of a metric space  $(X, d)$  with

the operation of composition of partial isometries is an inverse submonoid of the symmetric inverse monoid over the cardinal  $|X|$ . Also, it is obvious that the set of partial cofinite isometries of a metric space  $(X, d)$  with the operation the composition of partial isometries is an inverse submonoid of the monoid of injective partial cofinite selfmaps of the cardinal  $|X|$ .

We endow the sets  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  with the standard linear order.

The semigroup  $\mathbf{ID}_\infty$  of all partial cofinite isometries of the set of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  with the usual metric  $d(n, m) = |n - m|$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , was studied in the papers [7, 8, 21].

Let  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty$  be the set of all partial cofinite isometries of the set of positive integers  $\mathbb{N}$  with the usual metric  $d(n, m) = |n - m|$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty$  with the operation of composition of partial isometries is an inverse submonoid of  $\mathcal{I}_\omega$ . The semigroup  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty$  of all partial cofinite isometries of positive integers is studied in [22]. There we described the Green relations on the semigroup  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty$ , its band and proved that  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty$  is a simple  $E$ -unitary  $F$ -inverse semigroup. Also in [22], the least group congruence  $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}$  on  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty$  is described and there it is proved that the quotient-semigroup  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty/\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}$  is isomorphic to the additive group of integers  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$ . An example of a non-group congruence on the semigroup  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty$  is presented. Also it is proved that a congruence on the semigroup  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty$  is a group congruence if and only if its restriction onto an isomorphic copy of the bicyclic semigroup in  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty$  is a group congruence. In [24] it was shown that the monoid  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty$  does not embed isomorphically into the semigroup  $\mathbf{ID}_\infty$ . Moreover every non-annihilating homomorphism  $\mathbf{h}: \mathbf{IN}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{ID}_\infty$  has the following property: the image  $(\mathbf{IN}_\infty)\mathbf{h}$  is isomorphic either to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  or to  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$ . Also it is proved that  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty$  does not have a finite set of generators, and moreover it does not contain a minimal generating set.

Later by  $\mathbb{I}$  we denote the unit elements of  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty$ .

**Remark 1.1.** We observe that the bicyclic semigroup is isomorphic to the semigroup  $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  which is generated by partial transformations  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of the set of positive integers  $\mathbb{N}$ , defined as follows:

$$\text{dom } \alpha = \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{ran } \alpha = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}, \quad (n)\alpha = n + 1$$

and

$$\text{dom } \beta = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}, \quad \text{ran } \beta = \mathbb{N}, \quad (n)\beta = n - 1$$

(see Exercise IV.1.11(ii) in [28]). It is obvious that  $\mathbb{I} = \alpha\beta$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  is a submonoid of  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty$ .

The semigroup of monotone (order preserving) injective partial transformations  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that the sets  $\mathbb{N} \setminus \text{dom } \varphi$  and  $\mathbb{N} \setminus \text{ran } \varphi$  are finite was introduced in [18] and there it was denoted by  $\mathcal{I}_\infty^\rightarrow(\mathbb{N})$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{I}_\infty^\rightarrow(\mathbb{N})$  is an inverse subsemigroup of the semigroup  $\mathcal{I}_\omega$ . The semigroup  $\mathcal{I}_\infty^\rightarrow(\mathbb{N})$  is called *the semigroup of cofinite monotone partial bijections* of  $\mathbb{N}$ . In [18] Gutik and Repovš studied

properties of the semigroup  $\mathcal{I}_\infty^\nearrow(\mathbb{N})$ . In particular, they showed that  $\mathcal{I}_\infty^\nearrow(\mathbb{N})$  is an inverse bisimple semigroup and all of its non-trivial group homomorphisms are either isomorphisms or group homomorphisms. It is obvious that  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty$  is an inverse submonoid of  $\mathcal{I}_\infty^\nearrow(\mathbb{N})$ .

A partial map  $\alpha: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is called *almost monotone* if there exists a finite subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that the restriction  $\alpha|_{\mathbb{N} \setminus A}: \mathbb{N} \setminus A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a monotone partial map. By  $\mathcal{I}_\infty^{\nearrow\rightarrow}(\mathbb{N})$  we shall denote the semigroup of almost monotone injective partial transformations of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that the sets  $\mathbb{N} \setminus \text{dom } \varphi$  and  $\mathbb{N} \setminus \text{ran } \varphi$  are finite for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{I}_\infty^{\nearrow\rightarrow}(\mathbb{N})$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{I}_\infty^{\nearrow\rightarrow}(\mathbb{N})$  is an inverse subsemigroup of the semigroup  $\mathcal{I}_\omega$  and the semigroup  $\mathcal{I}_\infty^{\nearrow\rightarrow}(\mathbb{N})$  is an inverse subsemigroup of  $\mathcal{I}_\infty^\nearrow(\mathbb{N})$  too. The semigroup  $\mathcal{I}_\infty^{\nearrow\rightarrow}(\mathbb{N})$  is called *the semigroup of cofinite almost monotone injective partial transformations* of  $\mathbb{N}$ . In the paper [11] the semigroup  $\mathcal{I}_\infty^{\nearrow\rightarrow}(\mathbb{N})$  is studied. In particular, it was shown that the semigroup  $\mathcal{I}_\infty^{\nearrow\rightarrow}(\mathbb{N})$  is inverse, bisimple and all of its non-trivial group homomorphisms are either isomorphisms or group homomorphisms. In the paper [23] we showed that every automorphism of a full inverse subsemigroup of  $\mathcal{I}_\infty^{\nearrow\rightarrow}(\mathbb{N})$  which contains the semigroup  $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  is the identity map. Also there we constructed a submonoid  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{[1]}$  of  $\mathcal{I}_\infty^{\nearrow\rightarrow}(\mathbb{N})$  with the following property: if  $S$  be an inverse subsemigroup of  $\mathcal{I}_\infty^{\nearrow\rightarrow}(\mathbb{N})$  such that  $S$  contains  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{[1]}$  as a submonoid, then every non-identity congruence  $\mathfrak{C}$  on  $S$  is a group congruence. We show that if  $S$  is an inverse submonoid of  $\mathcal{I}_\infty^{\nearrow\rightarrow}(\mathbb{N})$  such that  $S$  contains  $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  as a submonoid then  $S$  is simple and the quotient semigroup  $S/\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}$ , where  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}$  is minimum group congruence on  $S$ , is isomorphic to the additive group of integers. Also, topologizations of inverse submonoids of  $\mathcal{I}_\infty^{\nearrow\rightarrow}(\mathbb{N})$  and embeddings of such semigroups into compact-like topological semigroups established in [11, 23]. Similar results for semigroups of cofinite almost monotone partial bijections and cofinite almost monotone partial bijections of  $\mathbb{Z}$  were obtained in [19].

Next we need some notions defined in [22] and [23]. For an arbitrary positive integer  $n_0$  we denote  $[n_0] = \{n \in \mathbb{N}: n \geq n_0\}$ . Since the set of all positive integers is well ordered, the definition of the semigroup  $\mathcal{I}_\infty^{\nearrow\rightarrow}(\mathbb{N})$  implies that for every  $\gamma \in \mathcal{I}_\infty^{\nearrow\rightarrow}(\mathbb{N})$  there exists the smallest positive integer  $n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}} \in \text{dom } \gamma$  such that the restriction  $\gamma|_{[n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}}]}$  of the partial map  $\gamma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  onto the set  $[n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}}]$  is an element of the semigroup  $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$ , i.e.,  $\gamma|_{[n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}}]}$  is a some shift of  $[n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}}]$ . For every  $\gamma \in \mathcal{I}_\infty^{\nearrow\rightarrow}(\mathbb{N})$  we put  $\vec{\gamma} = \gamma|_{[n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}}]}$ , i.e.

$$\text{dom } \vec{\gamma} = [n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}}], \quad (x)\vec{\gamma} = (x)\gamma \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{dom } \vec{\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ran } \vec{\gamma} = (\text{dom } \vec{\gamma})\gamma.$$

Also, we put

$$n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}} = \min \text{dom } \gamma \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \mathcal{I}_\infty^{\nearrow\rightarrow}(\mathbb{N}).$$

It is obvious that  $\underline{n}_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}} = n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}}$  when  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$ , and  $\underline{n}_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}} < n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}}$  when  $\gamma \in \mathcal{I}_\infty^{\nearrow}(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$ . Also for any  $\gamma \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty$  we denote

$$\underline{n}_\gamma^{\mathbf{r}} = (\underline{n}_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}})\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad n_\gamma^{\mathbf{r}} = (n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}})\gamma.$$

The results of Section 3 of [24] imply that  $n_\gamma^{\mathbf{r}} - \underline{n}_\gamma^{\mathbf{r}} = n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}} - \underline{n}_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}}$  for any  $\gamma \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty$ , and moreover for any non-negative integer  $j$

$$\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} = \{\gamma \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty : n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}} - \underline{n}_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}} \leq j\}$$

is a simple inverse subsemigroup of  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty$  such that  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty$  admits the following infinite semigroup series

$$\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N} = \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[0]} = \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[1]} \subsetneq \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[2]} \subsetneq \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[3]} \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[k]} \subsetneq \dots \subset \mathbf{IN}_\infty.$$

For any positive integer  $k$  the semigroup  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[k]}$  is called the *monoid of cofinite isometries of positive integers with the noise  $k$* .

A *(semi)topological semigroup* is a topological space with a (separately) continuous semigroup operation. An inverse topological semigroup with continuous inversion is called a *topological inverse semigroup*.

A topology  $\tau$  on a semigroup  $S$  is called:

- a *semigroup topology* if  $(S, \tau)$  is a topological semigroup;
- an *inverse semigroup topology* if  $(S, \tau)$  is a topological inverse semigroup;
- a *shift-continuous topology* if  $(S, \tau)$  is a semitopological semigroup.

The bicyclic monoid admits only the discrete semigroup Hausdorff topology [13]. Bertman and West in [6] extended this result for the case of Hausdorff semitopological semigroups. Stable and  $\Gamma$ -compact topological semigroups do not contain the bicyclic monoid [1, 25, 26]. The problem of embedding the bicyclic monoid into compact-like topological semigroups was studied in [3, 4, 5, 17].

In this paper we study algebraic properties of the monoid  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  and extend results of the papers [13] and [6] to the semigroups  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ ,  $j \geq 0$ . In particular we show that for any positive integer  $j$  every Hausdorff shift-continuous topology  $\tau$  on  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is discrete and if  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is a proper dense subsemigroup of a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup  $S$ , then  $S \setminus \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is a closed ideal of  $S$ , and moreover if  $S$  is a topological inverse semigroup then  $S \setminus \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is a topological group. Also we describe the algebraic and topological structure of the closure of the monoid  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  in a locally compact topological inverse semigroup.

Latter in this paper without loss of generality we may assume that  $j$  is an arbitrary positive integer  $\geq 2$ .

## 2. ALGEBRAIC PROPERTIES OF THE MONOID $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$

The following simple proposition describes Green's relations on the monoid  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *For elements  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  of the semigroup  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  the following statements hold:*

- (i)  $\gamma \mathcal{L} \delta$  in  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  if and only if  $\text{dom } \gamma = \text{dom } \delta$ ;
- (ii)  $\gamma \mathcal{R} \delta$  in  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  if and only if  $\text{ran } \gamma = \text{ran } \delta$ ;
- (iii)  $\gamma \mathcal{H} \delta$  in  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  if and only if  $\gamma = \delta$ ;
- (iv)  $\gamma \mathcal{D} \delta$  in  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  if and only if  $\text{dom } \gamma$  ( $\text{ran } \gamma$ ) and  $\text{dom } \delta$  ( $\text{ran } \delta$ ) are isometric subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ , i.e., there exists an isometry from  $\text{dom } \gamma$  ( $\text{ran } \gamma$ ) onto  $\text{dom } \delta$  ( $\text{ran } \delta$ );
- (v)  $\gamma \mathcal{J} \delta$  in  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is a simple semigroup.

*Proof.* Statements (i), (ii) and (iii) immediately follow from Proposition 3.2.11 of [27] and corresponding statements of Proposition 1 of [22].

Statement (iv) follows from the definition of the monoid and Proposition 3.2.5 of [27].

Statement (v) follows from Theorem 5 of [23]. □

Proposition 2.2 follows from the definition of the natural partial order  $\preccurlyeq$  on an inverse semigroup and the statement that every element of the monoid  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is a partial shift of the integers (see [22, Lemma 1]).

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  be elements of the monoid  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\gamma \preccurlyeq \delta$  in  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$
- (ii)  $n_\gamma^{\mathbf{r}} - n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}} = n_\delta^{\mathbf{r}} - n_\delta^{\mathbf{d}}$  and  $\text{dom } \gamma \subseteq \text{dom } \delta$ ;
- (iii)  $n_\gamma^{\mathbf{r}} - n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}} = n_\delta^{\mathbf{r}} - n_\delta^{\mathbf{d}}$  and  $\text{ran } \gamma \subseteq \text{ran } \delta$ .

It is obvious that in statements (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 2.2 we may replace the symbols  $n_\gamma^{\mathbf{r}}$  and  $n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}}$  by  $\underline{n}_\gamma^{\mathbf{r}}$  and  $\underline{n}_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}}$ , respectively.

The definition of the minimum group congruence  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}$  on  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  and Proposition 2.2 imply the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  be elements of the monoid  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ . Then  $\gamma \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}} \delta$  in  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  if and only if  $n_\gamma^{\mathbf{r}} - n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}} = n_\delta^{\mathbf{r}} - n_\delta^{\mathbf{d}}$ . Moreover, the quotient semigroup  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} / \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}$  is isomorphic to the additive group of integers  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$  by the map*

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}} : \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(+), \quad \gamma \mapsto n_\delta^{\mathbf{r}} - n_\delta^{\mathbf{d}}.$$

**Example 2.4.** We put  $\mathcal{C}\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} = \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} \sqcup \mathbb{Z}(+)$  and extend the multiplications from  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$  onto  $\mathcal{C}\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  in the following way:

$$k \cdot \gamma = \gamma \cdot k = k + (\gamma) \pi_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}} \in \mathbb{Z}(+), \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}(+) \text{ and } \gamma \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}.$$

By Theorem 2.17 from [9, Vol. 1, p. 77] so defined binary operation is a semigroup operation on  $\mathcal{C}\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{C}\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ . Also, this semigroup

operation extends the natural partial order  $\preceq$  from  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  onto  $\mathcal{C}\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  in the following way:

- (i) all distinct elements of  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$  are pair-wise incomparable;
- (ii)  $k \preceq \gamma$  if and only if  $n_\gamma^{\mathbf{r}} - n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}} = k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}(+)$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ .

For any  $x \in \mathcal{C}\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  we denote  $\uparrow_{\preceq} x = \{y \in \mathcal{C}\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} : x \preceq y\}$ .

By Proposition 7 of [22] the map  $\mathfrak{h} : \mathbf{IN}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\gamma \mapsto \overrightarrow{\gamma}$  is a homomorphism. Then its restriction  $\mathfrak{h}|_{\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}} : \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  is homomorphism, too.

A *homomorphic retraction* of a semigroup  $S$  is a map from  $S$  into  $S$  which is both a retraction and a homomorphism. The image of the homomorphic retraction is called a *homomorphic retract*. These terms seem to have first appeared in [10].

Since  $(\gamma)\mathfrak{h} = \overrightarrow{\gamma} = \gamma$  for any  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  we get the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.5.** *The map  $\mathfrak{h} : \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\gamma \mapsto \overrightarrow{\gamma}$  is a homomorphic retraction, and hence the monoid  $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  is a homomorphic retract of  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ .*

For any subset  $M \subseteq \{2, \dots, j\}$  we denote

$$\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}[M] = \{\gamma \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} : n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}} - x \in M \cup \{0\} \text{ for all } x \in \text{dom } \gamma \text{ such that } x \leq n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}}\}.$$

For arbitrary  $M_1, M_2 \subseteq \{2, \dots, j\}$  it is obvious that  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}[M_1] \subseteq \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}[M_2]$  if and only if  $M_1 \subseteq M_2$ , and moreover we have that  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}[M] = \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  when  $M = \emptyset$  and  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}[M] = \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  when  $M = \{2, \dots, j\}$ .

**Remark 2.6.** By Lemma 1 of [22] we get that

$$\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}[M] = \{\gamma \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} : n_\gamma^{\mathbf{r}} - x \in M \cup \{0\} \text{ for all } x \in \text{ran } \gamma \text{ such that } x \leq n_\gamma^{\mathbf{r}}\}.$$

**Proposition 2.7.**  *$\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}[M]$  is an inverse semigroup of  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  for any  $M \subseteq \{2, \dots, j\}$ .*

*Proof.* Fix any  $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}[M]$ . We consider the following cases.

- (1) If  $n_\gamma^{\mathbf{r}} \leq n_\delta^{\mathbf{d}}$  then  $n_{\gamma\delta}^{\mathbf{r}} = n_\delta^{\mathbf{r}}$  and  $\text{ran}(\gamma\delta) \subseteq \text{ran } \delta$ , because by Lemma 1 from [22] all elements of  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty$  are partial shifts of the set  $\mathbb{N}$ . This and Remark 2.6 imply that  $\gamma\delta \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}[M]$ .
- (2) If  $n_\gamma^{\mathbf{r}} > n_\delta^{\mathbf{d}}$  then by similar arguments as in the previous case we get that  $n_{\gamma\delta}^{\mathbf{d}} = n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}}$  and  $\text{dom}(\gamma\delta) \subseteq \text{dom } \gamma$ . This implies that  $\gamma\delta \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}[M]$ .

Remark 2.6 implies that if  $\gamma \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}[M]$  then so is  $\gamma^{-1}$ . □

### 3. ON A TOPOLOGIZATION AND A CLOSURE OF THE MONOID $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$

Later in the paper by  $\mathbb{I}$  we denote the identity map of  $\mathbb{N}$ , and assume that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the elements of the submonoid  $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  in  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty$  which are defined in Remark 1.1.

It is obvious that  $\alpha\beta = \mathbb{I}$  and  $\beta\alpha$  is the identity map of  $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ . This implies the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $\gamma \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty$ , then*

- (i)  $\beta\alpha \cdot \gamma = \gamma$  if and only if  $\text{dom } \gamma \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ ;
- (ii)  $\gamma \cdot \beta\alpha = \gamma$  if and only if  $\text{ran } \gamma \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ .

For any positive integer  $i$  let  $\varepsilon^{[i]}$  be the identity map of the set  $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{i\}$ .

The following theorem generalized the results on the topologizability of the bicyclic monoid obtained in [13] and [6].

**Theorem 3.2.** *For any positive integer  $j$  every Hausdorff shift-continuous topology  $\tau$  on  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is discrete.*

*Proof.* Since  $\tau$  is Hausdorff, every retract of  $(\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}, \tau)$  is its closed subset. It is obvious that  $\beta\alpha \cdot \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  and  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} \cdot \beta\alpha$  are retracts of the topological space  $(\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}, \tau)$ , because  $\beta\alpha$  is an idempotent of  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ . Later we shall show that the set  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} \setminus (\beta\alpha \cdot \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} \cup \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} \cdot \beta\alpha)$  is finite.

By Lemma 3.1,  $\gamma \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} \setminus (\beta\alpha \cdot \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} \cup \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} \cdot \beta\alpha)$  if and only if  $1 \in \text{dom } \gamma$ ,  $1 \in \text{ran } \gamma$ , and  $n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}} - \underline{n}_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}} \leq j$ . Then by Lemma 1 of [22],  $\gamma$  is a partial shift of the set of integers, and hence  $\gamma$  is an idempotent of  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  such that  $1 \in \text{dom } \gamma$  and  $\varepsilon^{[2]} \cdot \dots \cdot \varepsilon^{[j-1]} \preceq \gamma$ . It is obvious that such idempotents  $\gamma$  are finitely many in  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ , and hence the set  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} \setminus (\beta\alpha \cdot \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} \cup \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} \cdot \beta\alpha)$  is finite. This implies that the point  $\mathbb{I}$  has a finite open neighbourhood and hence  $\mathbb{I}$  is an isolated point of the topological space  $(\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}, \tau)$ .

We observe that  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty$ , and hence  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ , is a submonoid of the semigroup  $\mathcal{S}_\infty^\nearrow(\mathbb{N})$  of cofinite monotone partial bijections of  $\mathbb{N}$  [22]. By Proposition 2.2 of [18] every right translation and every left translation by an element of the semigroup  $\mathcal{S}_\infty^\nearrow(\mathbb{N})$  is a finite-to-one map, and hence such conditions hold for the semigroup  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ . Also by Theorem 5 of [23],  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is a simple semigroup. This implies that for any  $\chi \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  such that  $\alpha\chi\beta = \mathbb{I}$ , and moreover the equality  $\alpha\chi\beta = \mathbb{I}$  has finitely many solutions. Since  $\mathbb{I}$  is an isolated point of  $(\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}, \tau)$ , the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in  $(\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}, \tau)$  and the above arguments imply that  $(\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}, \tau)$  is the discrete space.  $\square$

The following proposition generalized results obtained for the bicyclic monoid in [13] and [16].

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $j$  be any positive integer and  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  be a proper dense subsemigroup of a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup  $S$ . Then  $I = S \setminus \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is a closed ideal of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.2,  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is a discrete subspace of  $S$ , and hence by Lemma 3 of [21],  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is an open subspace of  $S$ .



Fix an arbitrary element  $y \in I$ . If  $xy = z \notin I$  for some  $x \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  then there exists an open neighbourhood  $U(y)$  of the point  $y$  in the space  $S$  such that  $\{x\} \cdot U(y) = \{z\} \subset \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ . The neighbourhood  $U(y)$  contains infinitely many elements of the semigroup  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ . This contradicts Proposition 2.2 of [18], which states that for each  $v, w \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  both sets  $\{u \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} : vu = w\}$  and  $\{u \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]} : uv = w\}$  are finite. The obtained contradiction implies that  $xy \in I$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  and  $y \in I$ . The proof of the statement that  $yx \in I$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  and  $y \in I$  is similar.

Suppose to the contrary that  $xy = w \notin I$  for some  $x, y \in I$ . Then  $w \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  and the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in  $S$  implies that there exist open neighbourhoods  $U(x)$  and  $U(y)$  of the points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $S$ , respectively, such that  $\{x\} \cdot U(y) = \{w\}$  and  $U(x) \cdot \{y\} = \{w\}$ . Since both neighbourhoods  $U(x)$  and  $U(y)$  contain infinitely many elements of the semigroup  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ , both equalities  $\{x\} \cdot U(y) = \{w\}$  and  $U(x) \cdot \{y\} = \{w\}$  contradict mentioned above Proposition 2.2 from [18]. The obtained contradiction implies that  $xy \in I$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $j$  be any positive integer  $\geq 2$ . Then the element  $\varepsilon \cdot (\beta\varepsilon)^j \cdot \alpha^j$  is an idempotent of the submonoid  $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  for any idempotent  $\varepsilon$  of the monoid  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbb{I} = \alpha\beta$ , we have that

$$\varepsilon \cdot \beta\varepsilon\alpha \cdot \beta^2\varepsilon\alpha^2 \cdot \dots \cdot \beta^j\varepsilon\alpha^j = \varepsilon \cdot (\mathbb{I}\beta\varepsilon)^j \cdot \alpha^j = \varepsilon \cdot (\beta\varepsilon)^j \cdot \alpha^j$$

and

$$\beta^k\varepsilon\alpha^k \cdot \beta^k\varepsilon\alpha^k = \beta^k\varepsilon\mathbb{I}\varepsilon\alpha^k = \beta^k\varepsilon\varepsilon\alpha^k = \beta^k\varepsilon\alpha^k,$$

for any positive integer  $k$ . Also,  $\varepsilon(\beta\varepsilon)^j\alpha^j$  is an idempotent of  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ , because  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is an inverse semigroup and the product of idempotents in an inverse semigroup is an idempotent as well.

By definitions of the partial transformations  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and the above part of the proof we get that

$$(3.1) \quad n_{\beta^k\varepsilon\alpha^k}^{\mathbf{d}} = n_\varepsilon^{\mathbf{d}} + k \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{n}_{\beta^k\varepsilon\alpha^k}^{\mathbf{d}} = \underline{n}_\varepsilon^{\mathbf{d}} + k,$$

and hence

$$(3.2) \quad n_{\beta^k\varepsilon\alpha^k}^{\mathbf{d}} - \underline{n}_{\beta^k\varepsilon\alpha^k}^{\mathbf{d}} = n_\varepsilon^{\mathbf{d}} - \underline{n}_\varepsilon^{\mathbf{d}},$$

for any positive integer  $k$ . Then equalities (3.1) and (3.2) imply that for any  $k = 1, \dots, j$  the idempotent

$$\varepsilon_k = \varepsilon(\beta\varepsilon)^k\alpha^k$$

has the following properties:

$$n_{\varepsilon_k}^{\mathbf{d}} = n_{\beta^k\varepsilon\alpha^k}^{\mathbf{d}}, \quad \underline{n}_{\varepsilon_k}^{\mathbf{d}} = \underline{n}_{\beta^k\varepsilon\alpha^k}^{\mathbf{d}},$$

and

$$1, \dots, \underline{n}_\varepsilon^{\mathbf{d}}, \dots, \underline{n}_\varepsilon^{\mathbf{d}} + k - 1, n_\varepsilon^{\mathbf{d}} - 1, n_\varepsilon^{\mathbf{d}}, \dots, n_\varepsilon^{\mathbf{d}} + k - 1 \notin \text{dom } \varepsilon_k.$$

Hence we get that  $\varepsilon_j$  is the identity map of  $[n_\varepsilon^{\mathbf{d}} + j)$ , which implies the statement of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $j$  be any positive integer and  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  be a proper dense subsemigroup of a Hausdorff topological inverse semigroup  $S$ . Then there exists an idempotent  $e \in S \setminus \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  such that  $V(e) \cap E(\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N})$  is an infinite subset for any open neighbourhood  $V(e)$  of  $e$  in  $S$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.3,  $S \setminus \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is an ideal of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is an inverse semigroup,  $S \setminus \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  contains an idempotent.

Put  $f$  be an arbitrary idempotent of  $S \setminus \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ . Since the unit element of a Hausdorff topological monoid is again the unit element of its closure in a topological semigroup, for an arbitrary positive integer  $k$  by Proposition 3.3 we have that

$$\beta^k f \alpha^k \cdot \beta^k f \alpha^k = \beta^k f \mathbb{I} f \alpha^k = \beta^k f f \alpha^k = \beta^k f \alpha^k,$$

and hence  $\beta^k f \alpha^k \in E(S) \setminus E(\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]})$ . This implies that  $e = f \cdot \beta f \alpha \cdot \dots \cdot \beta^j f \alpha^j$  is an idempotent in  $S$  because  $S$  is an inverse semigroup. The continuity of the semigroup operation in  $S$  implies that for every open neighbourhood  $V(e)$  of the point  $e$  in  $S$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $W(f)$  of the point  $f$  in  $S$  such that

$$W(f) \cdot \beta \cdot W(f) \alpha \cdot \dots \cdot \beta^j \cdot W(f) \cdot \alpha^j \subseteq V(e).$$

By Proposition II.3 of [13] the set  $W(f) \cap E(\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]})$  is infinite. Since for any positive integer  $n_0$  there exist finitely many idempotents  $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  such that  $n_\varepsilon^{\mathbf{d}} = n_0$ , we conclude that the set  $\{n_\varepsilon^{\mathbf{d}} : \varepsilon \in W(f) \cap E(\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]})\}$  is infinite, too. Then there exists an infinite sequence  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of idempotents of  $W(f) \cap E(\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]})$  such that  $n_{\varphi_{i_1}}^{\mathbf{d}} \neq n_{\varphi_{i_2}}^{\mathbf{d}}$  for any distinct positive integers  $i_1$  and  $i_2$ . Lemma 3.5 implies that  $\varphi_i \cdot (\beta \varphi_i)^j \cdot \alpha^j$  is an idempotent of the submonoid  $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  which belongs to  $V(e)$  for any positive integer  $i$ . Since the set  $\{n_\varepsilon^{\mathbf{d}} : \varepsilon \in W(f) \cap E(\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]})\}$  is infinite, the set  $V(e) \cap E(\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N})$  is infinite, too.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $j$  be any positive integer and  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  be a proper dense subsemigroup of a Hausdorff topological inverse semigroup  $S$ . Then  $I = S \setminus \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is a topological group.*

*Proof.* We claim that the ideal  $I$  contains a unique idempotent.

Suppose to the contrary that  $I$  has at least two distinct idempotent  $e$  and  $f$ . By Lemma 3.5 without loss of generality we may assume that the set  $V(e) \cap E(\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N})$  is infinite for any open neighbourhood  $V(e)$  of  $e$  in  $S$ . Since  $S$  is an inverse semigroup  $ef = fe = h$  for some  $h \in I \cap E(S)$ . Fix an arbitrary open neighbourhood  $U(h)$  in  $S$ . Then there exist disjoint open neighbourhoods  $W(e)$  and  $W(f)$  of the points  $e$  and  $f$  in  $S$ , respectively, such that  $W(e) \cdot W(f) \subseteq U(h)$ . Since  $S$  is Hausdorff, we can additionally assume that  $W(e) \cap U(h) = \emptyset$  if  $e \neq h$  and  $W(f) \cap U(h) = \emptyset$

if  $f \neq h$ . Since  $e \neq f$  we conclude that  $W(e) \cap U(h) = \emptyset$  or  $W(f) \cap U(h) = \emptyset$ . Since the set  $W(f) \cap E(\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]})$  is infinite and for any positive integer  $n_0$  there exist finitely many idempotents  $\iota \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  such that  $n_\iota^{\mathbf{d}} = n_0$ , we conclude that the set  $\{\underline{n}_\iota^{\mathbf{d}} : \iota \in W(f) \cap E(\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]})\}$  is infinite as well. Also, the choice of the neighbourhood  $W(e)$  implies that the set  $\{\underline{n}_\iota^{\mathbf{d}} = n_\iota^{\mathbf{d}} : \iota \in W(e) \cap E(\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N})\}$  is infinite, too. Then the semigroup operation in  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  implies that there exist idempotents  $\iota_e \in W(e)$  and  $\iota_f \in W(f)$  such that  $\iota_e \in \iota_e \cdot W(f)$  and  $\iota_f \in \iota_f \cdot W(e)$ , which implies  $W(e) \cap U(h) \neq \emptyset \neq W(f) \cap U(h)$ . But this contradicts the choice of the neighbourhoods  $W(e)$ ,  $W(f)$ ,  $U(h)$ .

Since  $S$  is an inverse semigroup, we have that  $xx^{-1} = x^{-1}x = e$  for any  $x \in I$ . This implies that  $I$  is a subgroup of  $S$  with the unit element  $e$ . Also, the continuity of semigroup operation and the inversion in  $S$  implies that  $I$  is a topological group with the induced topology from  $S$ .  $\square$

Lemma 3.7 follows from the definition of an element  $\overrightarrow{\gamma}$  for an arbitrary  $\gamma \in \mathcal{I}_\infty^{\overrightarrow{\gamma}}(\mathbb{N})$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *For any  $\gamma \in \mathcal{I}_\infty^{\overrightarrow{\gamma}}(\mathbb{N})$  the following statements hold:*

- (i)  $\overrightarrow{\gamma} \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$ ;
- (ii)  $\overrightarrow{\gamma}^{-1} = \overrightarrow{\gamma^{-1}}$ ;
- (iii)  $\gamma \overrightarrow{\gamma}^{-1} = \overrightarrow{\gamma} \overrightarrow{\gamma}^{-1}$ ;
- (iv)  $\overrightarrow{\gamma}^{-1} \gamma = \overrightarrow{\gamma}^{-1} \overrightarrow{\gamma}$ .

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $j$  be any positive integer and  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  be a proper dense subsemigroup of a Hausdorff topological inverse semigroup  $S$ . Then the unique idempotent of  $S \setminus \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  commutes with all elements of the semigroup  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.6,  $S \setminus \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is a group. Put  $e_0$  be the unique idempotent of  $S \setminus \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ . Also, by Lemma 3.5 the set  $U(e_0) \cap E(\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N})$  is infinite for any open neighbourhood  $U(e_0)$  of the point  $e_0$  in  $S$ . This implies that  $e_0 \in \text{cl}_S(\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N})$ . Then by Proposition III.2 of [13],  $e_0 \cdot \gamma = \gamma \cdot e_0$  for any  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$ .

Fix an arbitrary  $\gamma \in \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ . By Lemma 3.7 we have that

$$\overrightarrow{\gamma} \cdot \overrightarrow{\gamma}^{-1} \cdot \gamma = \gamma \cdot \overrightarrow{\gamma}^{-1} \cdot \overrightarrow{\gamma} = \overrightarrow{\gamma} \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}.$$

Since  $S$  is an inverse semigroup and  $S \setminus \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is an ideal of  $S$ , Lemma 3.7 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 \cdot \gamma &= (e_0 \cdot \overrightarrow{\gamma} \cdot \overrightarrow{\gamma}^{-1}) \cdot \gamma = e_0 \cdot (\overrightarrow{\gamma} \cdot \overrightarrow{\gamma}^{-1} \cdot \gamma) = \\ &= e_0 \cdot \overrightarrow{\gamma} = \overrightarrow{\gamma} \cdot e_0 = (\gamma \cdot \overrightarrow{\gamma}^{-1} \cdot \overrightarrow{\gamma}) \cdot e_0 = \\ &= \gamma \cdot (\overrightarrow{\gamma}^{-1} \cdot \overrightarrow{\gamma} \cdot e_0) = \gamma \cdot e_0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $j$  be any positive integer and  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{[j]}$  be a proper dense subsemigroup of a Hausdorff topological inverse semigroup  $S$ . Then the group  $S \setminus \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{[j]}$  contains a dense cyclic subgroup.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.8, the unique idempotent  $e_0$  of  $S \setminus \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{[j]}$  commutes with all elements of the semigroup  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{[j]}$  and hence the map  $\mathfrak{h}: S \rightarrow S \setminus \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{[j]}$ ,  $(\gamma)\mathfrak{h} = e_0 \cdot \gamma$  is a homomorphism. Since  $S \setminus \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{[j]}$  is a subgroup of  $S$ , by Corollary 1.32 of [12] the image  $(\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{[j]})\mathfrak{h}$  is a cyclic group. Also, since  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{[j]}$  is a dense subset of a topological semigroup  $S$ , Proposition 1.4.1 of [14] implies that the image  $(\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{[j]})\mathfrak{h}$  is a dense subset of  $S \setminus \mathbf{IN}_\infty^{[j]}$ .  $\square$

#### 4. ON A CLOSURE OF THE MONOID $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{[j]}$ IN A LOCALLY COMPACT TOPOLOGICAL INVERSE SEMIGROUP

In [13] Eberhart and Selden described the closure of the bicyclic monoid in a locally compact topological inverse semigroup. We give this description in the terms of the monoid  $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$ .

**Example 4.1.** The definition of the bicyclic monoid, its algebraic properties (see [12, Section 1.12]) and Remark 1.1 imply that the following relation

$$\gamma \sim \delta \quad \text{if and only if} \quad n_\gamma^{\mathbf{r}} - n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}} = n_\delta^{\mathbf{r}} - n_\delta^{\mathbf{d}}, \quad \gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N},$$

coincides with the minimum group congruence  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}$  on  $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, the quotient semigroup  $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}/\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}$  is isomorphic to the additive group of integers  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$  by the map

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}}: \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(+), \quad \gamma \mapsto n_\gamma^{\mathbf{r}} - n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}}.$$

The minimum group congruence  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}$  on  $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  defines the natural partial order  $\preccurlyeq$  on the monoid  $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  in the following way:

$$\gamma \preccurlyeq \delta \quad \text{if and only if} \quad n_\gamma^{\mathbf{r}} - n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}} = n_\delta^{\mathbf{r}} - n_\delta^{\mathbf{d}} \quad \text{and} \quad n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}} \geq n_\delta^{\mathbf{d}}, \quad \gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}.$$

We put  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N} = \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N} \sqcup \mathbb{Z}(+)$  and extend the multiplications from the semigroup  $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  and the group  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$  onto  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  in the following way:

$$k \cdot \gamma = \gamma \cdot k = k + (\gamma)\pi_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}} \in \mathbb{Z}(+), \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}(+) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}.$$

Then so defined binary operation is a semigroup operation on  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$ . Also, this semigroup operation extends the natural partial order  $\preccurlyeq$  from  $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  onto  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  in the following way:

- (i) all distinct elements of  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$  are pair-wise incomparable;
- (ii)  $k \preccurlyeq \gamma$  if and only if  $n_\gamma^{\mathbf{r}} - n_\gamma^{\mathbf{d}} = k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}(+)$  and  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$ .

For any  $x \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  we denote  $\uparrow_{\preccurlyeq} x = \{y \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N} : x \preccurlyeq y\}$ .

We define the topology  $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}$  on  $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  in the following way:

- (i) all elements of the monoid  $\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$  are isolated points in  $(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}, \tau_{\mathcal{C}})$ ;

(ii) for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}(+)$  the family  $\mathcal{B}_{lc}(k) = \{U_i(k) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where

$$U_i(k) = \{k\} \cup \{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}} : k \preceq \gamma \text{ and } n_{\gamma}^{\mathbf{d}} \geq i\},$$

is the base of the topology  $\tau_{lc}$  at the point  $k \in \mathbb{Z}(+)$ .

In [13] Eberhart and Selden proved that  $\tau_{lc}$  is the unique Hausdorff locally compact semigroup inverse topology on  $\mathcal{CC}_{\mathbb{N}}$ . Moreover, they shown that if  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}}$  is a proper dense subsemigroup of a Hausdorff locally compact topological inverse semigroup  $S$ , then  $S$  is topologically isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{CC}_{\mathbb{N}}, \tau_{lc})$ .

**Example 4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{CIN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$  be a semigroup defined in Example 2.4. Put  $M$  be an arbitrary subset of  $\{2, \dots, j\}$ .

We define the topology  $\tau_{lc}^M$  on  $\mathcal{CIN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$  in the following way:

- (i) all elements of the monoid  $\mathbf{IN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$  are isolated points in  $(\mathcal{CIN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}, \tau_{lc}^M)$ ;
- (ii) for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}(+)$  the family  $\mathcal{B}_{lc}^M(k) = \{U_i^M(k) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where

$$U_i^M(k) = \{k\} \cup \{\gamma \in \mathcal{CIN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}[M] : k \preceq \gamma \text{ and } n_{\gamma}^{\mathbf{d}} \geq i\},$$

is the base of the topology  $\tau_{lc}^M$  at the point  $k \in \mathbb{Z}(+)$ .

**Remark 4.3.**

1. We observe that a simple verifications show that the following conditions hold:
  - (i) if  $k = 0$  then  $U_i^M(k) = U_i^M(0) = \{0\} \cup \{\gamma \in \mathcal{CIN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}[M] : k \preceq \gamma \text{ and } \gamma \notin \uparrow_{\preceq} \beta^{i-2} \alpha^{i-2}\}$ ;
  - (ii) if  $k > 0$  then  $U_i^M(k) = \{0\} \cup \{\gamma \in \mathcal{CIN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}[M] : k \preceq \gamma \text{ and } \gamma \notin \uparrow_{\preceq} \beta^{i-2} \alpha^{i-2+k}\}$ ;
  - (iii) if  $k < 0$  then  $U_i^M(k) = \{0\} \cup \{\gamma \in \mathcal{CIN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}[M] : k \preceq \gamma \text{ and } \gamma \notin \uparrow_{\preceq} \beta^{i-2-k} \alpha^{i-2}\}$ .
2. Since all elements of the monoid  $\mathbf{IN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$  are isolated points in  $(\mathcal{CIN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}, \tau_{lc}^M)$  and all distinct elements of the subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$  are incomparable with the respect to the natural partial order on  $\mathcal{CIN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$ , Proposition 2.2 implies that  $\tau_{lc}^M$  is a Hausdorff topology on  $\mathcal{CIN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$ . Also, since for any  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}}$  the set  $\uparrow_{\preceq} \gamma$  is finite we get that  $U_i^M(k)$  is compact for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}(+)$  and any positive integer  $i$ . This implies that the space  $(\mathcal{CIN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}, \tau_{lc}^M)$  is locally compact, and hence by Theorems 3.3.1, 4.2.9 and Corollary 3.3.6 from [14] it is metrizable.

**Proposition 4.4.**  $(\mathcal{CIN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}, \tau_{lc}^M)$  is a topological inverse semigroup.

*Proof.* Since all elements of the monoid  $\mathbf{IN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$  are isolated points in  $(\mathcal{CIN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}, \tau_{lc}^M)$  and all distinct elements of the subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$  commute with elements of  $\mathbf{IN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$ , it suffices to check the continuity of the semigroup operation at the pairs  $(\gamma, k_1)$  and  $(k_1, k_2)$  where  $\gamma \in \mathbf{IN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$  and  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}(+)$ .

Fix any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}(+)$ . Then  $\overrightarrow{\gamma} = \beta^p \alpha^r$  for some fixed non-negative integers  $p$  and  $r$ . Hence

$$\gamma \cdot k = (\gamma)\pi_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}} + k = (\overrightarrow{\gamma})\pi_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{mg}}} + k = r - p + k,$$

and for any positive integer  $i > \max\{p, r\} + j$  we have that

$$\gamma \cdot U_i^M(k) \subseteq U_i^M(r - p + k).$$

Fix any  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}(+)$ . Then for any positive integer  $i > j$  by Proposition 1.4.7 of [27] and Proposition 2.7 we have that  $U_i^M(k_1) \cdot U_i^M(k_2) \subseteq U_i^M(k_1 + k_2)$ .

The above arguments and the equality  $(U_i^M(k))^{-1} = U_i^M(-k)$  complete the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $j$  be any positive integer and  $\mathbb{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  be a proper dense subsemigroup of a Hausdorff locally compact topological inverse semigroup  $S$ . Then  $G = S \setminus \mathbb{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is topologically isomorphic to the discrete additive group of integers  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.9,  $G$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  which contains a dense cyclic subgroup. By Theorem 3.2,  $\mathbb{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is a discrete subspace of  $S$ , and hence by Theorem 3.3.9 of [14],  $G$  is a closed subspace of  $S$ . Then Theorem 3.3.8 of [14] and Theorem 3.6 imply that  $G$  with the induced topology from  $S$  is a locally compact topological group. By the Weil Theorem (see [31]) the topological group  $G$  is either compact or discrete. By Lemma 3.5 the remainder  $\text{cl}_S(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}}) \setminus \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}}$  of the subsemigroup  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}}$  in  $S$  is non-empty. Then by Theorem 3.3.8 of [14],  $\text{cl}_S(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}})$  is a locally compact space. Theorem V.7 of [13] implies that  $H = \text{cl}_S(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}}) \setminus \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}}$  is a group, which is topologically isomorphic to the discrete additive group of integers  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$ . By Proposition 1.4.19 of [2],  $H$  is a closed discrete subgroup of  $G$ , and hence by Theorem 1.4.23 of [2] the topological group  $G$  is topologically isomorphic to the discrete additive group of integers  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$ .  $\square$

A partial order  $\leq$  on a topological space  $X$  is called *closed* (or *continuous*) if the relation  $\leq$  is a closed subset of  $X \times X$  in the product topology [15]. A topological space with a closed partial order is called a *pospace*.

Later we assume that  $\mathbb{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is a proper dense subsemigroup of a Hausdorff locally compact topological inverse semigroup  $S$  and we identify the topological group  $G$  with the discrete additive group of integers  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$ .

We observe that equality  $\uparrow_{\preceq} k = \{\gamma \in S : \gamma \cdot 0 = k\}$  implies that  $\uparrow_{\preceq} k$  is an open-and-closed subset of  $S$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}(+)$ . Since  $\mathbb{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is a discrete subspace of  $S$  the above arguments and Lemma 4.5 imply the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.6.** *The natural partial order  $\preceq$  on  $S$  is closed, and moreover  $\uparrow_{\preceq} x$  is open-and-closed subset of  $S$  for any  $x \in S$ .*

**Lemma 4.7.** *For any  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}(+)$  the subspace  $\uparrow_{\preceq} k$  and  $\uparrow_{\preceq} l$  of  $S$  are homeomorphic. Moreover, the map  $P_{\alpha^k}: \uparrow_{\preceq} 0 \rightarrow \uparrow_{\preceq} k$ ,  $x \mapsto x \cdot \alpha^k$  is a homeomorphism for  $k > 0$ , and the map  $P_{\beta^k}: \uparrow_{\preceq} 0 \rightarrow \uparrow_{\preceq} k$ ,  $x \mapsto \beta^k \cdot x$  is a homeomorphism for  $k < 0$ .*

*Proof.* Proposition 1.4.7 from [27] implies that the maps  $P_{\alpha^k}$  and  $P_{\beta^k}$  are well defined. It is obvious that complete to prove that the second part of the lemma holds. We shall show that the map  $P_{\alpha^k}$  determines a homeomorphism from  $\uparrow_{\preceq} 0$  onto  $\uparrow_{\preceq} k$ . In the case of the map  $P_{\beta^k}$  the proof is similar.

We define a map  $P_{\beta^k}: \uparrow_{\preceq} k \rightarrow \uparrow_{\preceq} 0$  by the formula  $(x)P_{\beta^k} = x \cdot \beta^k$ . Then we have that  $(0)P_{\alpha^k} = k$  and  $(k)P_{\beta^k} = 0$ . Moreover, we have that  $(x)P_{\alpha^k}P_{\beta^k} = x$  for any  $x \in \uparrow_{\preceq} 0$  and  $(y)P_{\beta^k}P_{\alpha^k} = y$  for any  $y \in \uparrow_{\preceq} k$ . Therefore the compositions of maps  $P_{\alpha^k}P_{\beta^k}: \uparrow_{\preceq} 0 \rightarrow \uparrow_{\preceq} 0$  and  $P_{\beta^k}P_{\alpha^k}: \uparrow_{\preceq} k \rightarrow \uparrow_{\preceq} k$  are identity maps of the sets  $\uparrow_{\preceq} 0$  and  $\uparrow_{\preceq} k$ , respectively. Hence the maps  $P_{\alpha^k}$  and  $P_{\beta^k}$  are bijections, and hence  $P_{\beta^k}$  is inverse of  $P_{\alpha^k}$ . Since right translations in the topological semigroup  $S$  are continuous, the maps  $P_{\alpha^k}: \uparrow_{\preceq} 0 \rightarrow \uparrow_{\preceq} k$  and  $P_{\beta^k}: \uparrow_{\preceq} k \rightarrow \uparrow_{\preceq} 0$  are homeomorphisms.  $\square$

By Lemma 3.5 the remainder  $\text{cl}_S(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}}) \setminus \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}}$  of the subsemigroup  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}}$  in  $S$  is non-empty. Also, Theorem V.7 of [13] implies that the remainder  $\text{cl}_S(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}}) \setminus \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}}$  is a group, which is topologically isomorphic to the discrete additive group of integers  $\mathbb{Z}(+)$ . This and results of [13, Section V] (see Example 4.1) imply the following proposition:

**Proposition 4.8.** *Let  $j$  be any positive integer and  $\mathbf{IN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$  be a proper dense subsemigroup of a Hausdorff locally compact topological inverse semigroup  $(\mathbf{CIN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}, \tau)$ . Then  $\tau$  induces the topology  $\tau_{\text{lc}}$  on the semigroup  $\mathbf{C}\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{N}}$ .*

If  $M = \emptyset$  then we denote the locally compact semigroup inverse topology  $\tau_{\text{lc}}^M$  on the monoid  $\mathbf{CIN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$  by  $\tau_{\text{lc}}^{\emptyset}$ . Also in the case when  $M = \{2, \dots, j\}$  we denote the topology  $\tau_{\text{lc}}^M$  on  $\mathbf{CIN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$  by  $\tau_{\text{lc}}^{[2:j]}$ .

Proposition 4.8 implies the following:

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let  $j$  be any positive integer and  $\mathbf{IN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$  be a proper dense subsemigroup of a Hausdorff locally compact topological inverse semigroup  $(\mathbf{CIN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}, \tau)$ . Then  $\tau_{\text{lc}}^{\emptyset} \subseteq \tau \subseteq \tau_{\text{lc}}^{[2:j]}$ .*

**Theorem 4.10.** *Let  $j$  be any positive integer and  $\mathbf{IN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$  be a proper dense subsemigroup of a Hausdorff locally compact topological inverse semigroup  $(S, \tau)$ . Then  $(S, \tau)$  topologically isomorphic to the topological inverse semigroup  $(\mathbf{CIN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}, \tau_{\text{lc}}^M)$  for some subset  $M$  of  $\{2, \dots, j\}$ .*

*Proof.* Lemma 4.5 implies that the inverse semigroup  $S$  is isomorphic to the monoid  $\mathbf{CIN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$ . Also, by the definition of the monoid  $\mathbf{IN}_{\infty}^{g[j]}$ , Lemma 4.7 and Proposition 4.9 we get that there exists a maximal subset  $M_1$  of  $\{2, \dots, j\}$  such that the following condition holds:

- (\*) for every open neighbourhood  $V_0$  of the point  $0 \in \mathbb{Z}(+)$  in  $(\mathcal{CIN}_\infty^{g[j]}, \tau)$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $U_i^{M_1}(0)$  of 0 in  $(\mathcal{CIN}_\infty^{g[j]}, \tau_{lc}^{M_1})$  such that  $U_i^{M_1}(0) \subseteq V_0$  and  $V_0 \setminus U_i^{M_1}(0)$  is infinite.

Since the topology  $\tau$  is locally compact and  $\mathbf{IN}_\infty^{g[j]}$  is a discrete subsemigroup of  $(\mathcal{CIN}_\infty^{g[j]}, \tau)$ , without loss of generality we may assume that the open neighbourhood  $V_0$  is compact.

The maximality of  $M_1$  and condition (\*) imply that there exists a subset  $M_1^1 \subseteq \{2, \dots, j\}$  such that  $M_1 \subset M_1^1$ ,  $|M_1^1 \setminus M_1| = 1$  and for every open neighbourhood  $V_0$  of the point  $0 \in \mathbb{Z}(+)$  in  $(\mathcal{CIN}_\infty^{g[j]}, \tau)$  the following conditions hold:

$$(4.1) \quad \left| \left( V_0 \cap U_i^{M_1}(0) \right) \setminus U_i^{M_1}(0) \right| = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \left| U_i^{M_1}(0) \setminus \left( V_0 \cap U_i^{M_1}(0) \right) \right| = \infty.$$

By continuity of the semigroup operation in  $(\mathcal{CIN}_\infty^{g[j]}, \tau)$  there exists a compact-and-open neighbourhood  $U_0 \subseteq V_0$  of the point  $0 \in \mathbb{Z}(+)$  in the space  $(\mathcal{CIN}_\infty^{g[j]}, \tau)$  such that  $\beta \cdot U_0 \cdot \alpha \subseteq V_0$ . Then the semigroup operation of  $\mathcal{CIN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ , the above inclusion and conditions (4.1) imply that the set  $V_0 \setminus U_0$  is infinite, which contradicts the compactness of  $V_0$ . This and maximality of  $M_1$  imply that the set  $V_0 \setminus U_i^{M_1}(0)$  is finite for every open neighbourhood  $V_0$  of the point  $0 \in \mathbb{Z}(+)$  in  $(\mathcal{CIN}_\infty^{g[j]}, \tau)$  and any open neighbourhood  $U_i^{M_1}(0)$  of 0 in  $(\mathcal{CIN}_\infty^{g[j]}, \tau_{lc}^{M_1})$ . Then the bases of  $\tau$  and  $\tau_{lc}^{M_1}$  at the point  $0 \in \mathbb{Z}(+)$  coincide, and hence by Lemma 4.7 we get that  $\tau = \tau_{lc}^{M_1}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.11.** *For any positive integer  $j$  there exists exactly  $2^{j-1}$  pairwise topologically non-isomorphic Hausdorff locally compact semigroup inverse topologies on the monoid  $\mathcal{CIN}_\infty^{g[j]}$ .*

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge Alex Ravsky and the referee for useful important comments and suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. W. Anderson, R. P. Hunter, R. J. Koch, *Some results on stability in semigroups*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1965), 521–529.
- [2] A. Arhangel'skii, M. Tkachenko, *Topological Groups and Related Structures*, Atlantis, 2008.
- [3] T. Banakh, S. Dimitrova, O. Gutik, *The Rees-Suschkewitsch Theorem for simple topological semigroups*, Mat. Stud. **31** (2009), no. 2, 211–218.
- [4] T. Banakh, S. Dimitrova, O. Gutik, *Embedding the bicyclic semigroup into countably compact topological semigroups*, Topology Appl. **157** (2010), no. 18, 2803–2814.
- [5] S. Bardyla, A. Ravsky, *Closed subsets of compact-like topological spaces*, Appl. Gen. Topol. **21** (2020), no. 2, 201–214.
- [6] M. O. Bertman, T. T. West, *Conditionally compact bicyclic semitopological semigroups*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. **A76** (1976), no. 21–23, 219–226.



- [7] O. Bezushchak, *On growth of the inverse semigroup of partially defined co-finite automorphisms of integers*, Algebra Discrete Math. (2004), no. 2, 45–55.
- [8] O. Bezushchak, *Green's relations of the inverse semigroup of partially defined cofinite isometries of discrete line*, Visn., Ser. Fiz.-Mat. Nauky, Kyiv. Univ. Im. Tarasa Shevchenka (2008), no. 1, 12–16.
- [9] J. H. Carruth, J. A. Hildebrant, R. J. Koch, *The Theory of Topological Semigroups*, Vol. I, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1983; Vol. II, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1986.
- [10] D. R. Brown, *Topological semilattices on the two-cell*, Pacific J. Math. **15** (1965), no. 1, 35–46.
- [11] I. Ya. Chuchman, O. V. Gutik, *Topological monoids of almost monotone injective co-finite partial selfmaps of the set of positive integers*, Carpathian Math. Publ. **2** (2010), no. 1, 119–132.
- [12] A. H. Clifford, G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Vol. I., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1961; Vol. II., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1967.
- [13] C. Eberhart, J. Selden, *On the closure of the bicyclic semigroup*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (1969), 115–126.
- [14] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, 2nd ed., Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [15] G. Gierz, K. H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J. D. Lawson, M. Mislove, D. S. Scott, *Continuous Lattices and Domains*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003.
- [16] O. Gutik, *On the dichotomy of a locally compact semitopological bicyclic monoid with adjoined zero*, Visnyk L'viv Univ., Ser. Mech.-Math. **80** (2015), 33–41.
- [17] O. Gutik, D. Repovš, *On countably compact 0-simple topological inverse semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **75** (2007), no. 2, 464–469.
- [18] O. Gutik, D. Repovš, *Topological monoids of monotone, injective partial selfmaps of  $\mathbb{N}$  having cofinite domain and image*, Stud. Sci. Math. Hungar. **48** (2011), no. 3, 342–353.
- [19] O. Gutik, D. Repovš, *On monoids of injective partial selfmaps of integers with cofinite domains and images*, Georgian Math. J. **19** (2012), no. 3, 511–532.
- [20] O. Gutik, D. Repovš, *On monoids of injective partial cofinite selfmaps*, Math. Slovaca **65** (2015), no. 5, 981–992.
- [21] O. Gutik, A. Savchuk, *On the semigroup  $ID_\infty$* , Visn. Lviv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **83** (2017), 5–19 (in Ukrainian).
- [22] O. Gutik, A. Savchuk, *The semigroup of partial co-finite isometries of positive integers*, Bukovyn. Mat. Zh. **6** (2018), no. 1–2, 42–51 (in Ukrainian).
- [23] O. Gutik, A. Savchuk, *On inverse submonoids of the monoid of almost monotone injective co-finite partial selfmaps of positive integers*, Carpathian Math. Publ. **11** (2019), no. 2, 296–310.
- [24] O. Gutik, A. Savchuk, *On the monoid of cofinite partial isometries of  $\mathbb{N}$  with the usual metric*, Visn. Lviv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **89** (2020), 17–30.
- [25] J. A. Hildebrant, R. J. Koch, *Swelling actions of  $\Gamma$ -compact semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **33** (1986), 65–85.
- [26] R. J. Koch, A. D. Wallace, *Stability in semigroups*, Duke Math. J. **24** (1957), no. 2, 193–195.
- [27] M. Lawson, *Inverse Semigroups. The Theory of Partial Symmetries*, Singapore: World Scientific, 1998.
- [28] M. Petrich, *Inverse Semigroups*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984.
- [29] W. Ruppert, *Compact Semitopological Semigroups: An Intrinsic Theory*, Lect. Notes Math., **1079**, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [30] V. V. Wagner, *Generalized groups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **84** (1952), 1119–1122 (in Russian).

- [31] A. Weil, *L'intégration dans les groupes topologiques et ses applications*, Actualites Scientifiques No. 869, Hermann, Paris, 1940.

---

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Ukraine  
oleg.gutik@lnu.edu.ua, ogutik@gmail.com

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Ukraine  
pavlo.khylynskyi@lnu.edu.ua