

## Biodiversity of the Quarry in Stará Ves near Bílovec with focus on butterflies

---

Petr Pyszko, Adam Mikunda, Veronika Vavrečková, Stanislav Ožana,  
Michaela Drgová, Veronika Kornová

Biodiversity of the Quarry in Stará Ves near Bílovec with focus on butterflies. – Acta Mus. Siles. Sci. Natur. 70: 225-242, 2021.

**Abstract:** The quarry in Stará Ves near Bílovec is considered a significant geotouric attraction. The dominant feature is the front northern wall representing one of the best outcrops of the fold-thrust tectonics of the Jeseník culm. Until 1983, shale and Moravian offal were mined in the quarry, then the quarry was restored, abandoned, and left to natural succession. Inventory of vertebrates from 2009–2011 revealed 46 specially protected species. Arachnological research from 2013–2015 revealed the occurrence of 69 species of spiders, including seven taxonomically important xerothermophilic species. Botanical research was carried out at the site twenty years ago, systematic entomological research has not been carried yet. We performed an inventory of invertebrates focused primarily on butterflies, using sweeping and netting, supplemented by individual sampling and observation of other species. We also performed a botanical inventory, supplemented by an estimate of tree and bush cover to evaluate host offer and form of habitats present in the quarry. We revealed 144 species of higher plants, of which six belong to the Czech red list, and 121 species of animals, of which 102 species of insects, and 52 species of butterflies. Nineteen species of animals fell into the categories of endangerment according to the Czech red list, legal categories, or are part of some of the European directive annexes. Most of these species depend on the conservation of early succession xerothermic habitats. Their ecology is discussed in relation to possible management.

**Keywords:** butterflies, care plan, *Coenonympha arcania*, coverage estimate, netting, sweeping, xerothermic habitats, *Zygaena purpuralis*

### Introduction

In the sloping terrain of the southeastern foothills of the Low Jeseník called Vítkovská vrchovina and geomorphologically belonging to the Oderské vrchy area, there is an abandoned quarry in the cadastre of the village of Bílovec in the local part named Stará Ves. The locality is situated 3.3 km northwest of the city center, approximately at coordinates 49° 46.265', 17° 58.959', on plot no. 3061 with an area of approximately seven hectares, length of 400 meters, and width of 300 meters, of which the excavated pit is about 200 meters long and 150 meters wide. The altitude of the area ranges from 310–350 m a.s.l. (Mikunda 2021, Pilátová 2021).

From the geological point of view, it is a type locality of the Kyjovice layers of the Hradec-Kyjovice formation. In sediments originating from the culm (lower and middle carbon), bench-shaped fine-grained offal alternates with siltstones and shales. The offals are dark gray, often thinly parallelly layered. They contain 50% of quartz, 20% of plagioclase, 10% of acid volcanic fragments, and 20% fragments of phyllites, gneisses, and shales (Dvořák 1999). Siltstones and shales are gray-black, parallelly laminated, and with the presence of pyrite. They are interspersed by small veins of quartz, crystal, calcite, and ankerite, sometimes with gray-green aggregates of chlorite or exceptionally with barite. In addition to pyrite, sulfides are represented by chalcopyrite and sphalerite (Zimák *et al.* 2002). Of the secondary products, limonite is abundant, and malachite is rare. Fragments of prehistoric plant fossils like *Lepidophloios*, *Archaeocalamites*, *Calamites*, and unidentified gymnosperms, have been identified in sediments. Thus, the quarry is considered a study profile of regional-geological significance and is recommended for protection as a potential geotouric attraction. The dominant feature

of the locality is the front northern wall (Fig. 1) representing one of the best outcrops of fold-thrust tectonics in the Jeseník culm (Grygar 1997, ČGS 2012).



**Fig 1:** The northern wall of the quarry representing one of the best outcrops of fold-thrust tectonics in the Jeseník culm (photo by Adam Mikunda).

The locality belongs to the typical Silesian shale quarries, which arose mainly in the second half of the 19th century in the landscape of the Low Jeseník, in the Moravice and Odra river basins, especially in the Budišov and Vítkov regions. Shale and Moravian offal were mined in these quarries, the second used primarily in the construction industry, especially for roads and sidewalks (Moučka & Chroust 2012). Around 1900, the quarry in Stará Ves was owned by Benedikt Lazecký from nearby Tísek. In 1921, it was taken over by the road administration of the District Governor's Office of the Bílovec. The stone was mined using explosives. The material was then loaded onto hand carts, later by crawler excavators on trucks, transported from the quarry wall into a crushing machine. The individual fractions were then separated in a sorting device. Between 1960–1980, 30000–50000 m<sup>3</sup> stones were mined per year. On average, 15–25 workers worked in the quarry. The activities in the quarry ceased in 1983 due to the deterioration of the quality of the mined stone. In the years 1984–1989, the quarry was restored. The equipment was dismantled, taken away, and found further use in other quarries, such as in Bohučovice. Subsequently, demolition of buildings in the locality was conducted, followed by terrain leveling, and planting of grass, clover and tree species, e.g. *Betula pendula* Roth (Mikunda 2021, Pilátová 2021).

In the last thirty years, the quarry has been left to natural succession (Fig. 2). Disturbed rock walls, rock debris, and unshaded sunny places with a xerothermic microclimate form



a specific habitat ideal for the occurrence of steppe species of plants and animals. During the geobotanical survey of vegetation in 2002, no endangered species have been identified. However, there was potential for possible interesting future development of beginning oak-hornbeam forests, wetland vegetation at the entrance to the quarry, and moving rock debris with *Epilobium dodonaei* Vill. (Štursová 2002). A vertebrate inventory from 2009–2011 revealed 46 endangered or protected animal species. Five species of amphibians have occurred here: *Bombina bombina* (Linnaeus, 1761), *Bufo bufo* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Bufotes viridis* (Laurenti, 1768), *Hyla arborea* (Linnaeus, 1758), and *Rana dalmatina* (Fitzinger, 1839). From reptiles, *Lacerta agilis* (Linnaeus, 1758), in the shaded parts *Anguis fragilis* (Linnaeus, 1758) and *Natrix natrix* (Linnaeus, 1758), and in 2011 also *Coronella austriaca* (Laurenti, 1768) were noted here (Molitor 2011). The *Vipera berus* (Linnaeus, 1758) has also been observed in quarry repeatedly (Štursová 2002). The occurrence of 27 species of birds has been documented, of which 12 species nested in the locality. The most important nesting species were *Bubo bubo* (Linnaeus, 1758) and *Lanius collurio* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Molitor 2011).



**Fig 2:** View from the north side to the bottom of the quarry – an advanced phase of succession is evident, yet there are still steppe habitats at the site (photo by Adam Mikunda).

In 2012, a botanical survey of the forest on the southern and western edges of the quarry was carried out. The vegetation was characterized as polonian oak-hornbeam forest with *Carpinus betulus* L. and *Quercus robur* L. as the dominant species of the tree layer, supplied by *Acer pseudoplatanus* L., *Fraxinus excelsior* L., *Tilia cordata* Mill., and in the bush floor with rare presence of *Crataegus* sp. L. This forest is accompanied by high mesophilic and xerophilic shrubs, dominated by *Prunus spinosa* L. and supplied by *Sambucus nigra* L., and *Rosa canina* L. (Gerža 2012). In the years 2013–2015, an arachnological inventory was conducted in the quarry, which revealed the occurrence of 69 species of spiders. The largest abundance and species diversity were described in the steppe part of the site. Dominant species were *Mangora acalypha* (Walckenaer, 1802), *Alopecosa pulverulenta* (Clerck, 1757), *Trochosa terricola* (Thorell, 1856), *Centromerus sylvaticus* (Blackwall, 1841), and *Agroeca brunnea* (Blackwall, 1833). The important taxa were mainly xerothermophilic species of rocks and steppes such as *Titanoeca quadriguttata* (Hahn, 1833), *Zelotes petrensis* (C. L. Koch, 1839),

*Oxyptila atomaria* (Panzer, 1801), *Alopecosa trabalis* (Clerck, 1757), *Dipodops melanogaster* (C. L. Koch, 1837), *Heliophanus cupreus* (Walckenaer, 1802), and endangered species *Philodromus rufus* (Walckenaer, 1826) (Žitníková 2014, Černochová 2015, Řezáč *et al.* 2015).

*Polyommatus bellargus* (Rottemburg, 1775) classified as vulnerable species, is the most important insect species so far recorded in quarry. This butterfly currently penetrates anthropogenically disturbed areas, such as quarries but its occurrence is still very sporadic in northern Moravia and Silesia (Filipová 2013). Thus, the overview shows that in the last 20 years there is a lack of inventory of vegetation directly in the quarry, which could have been saturated meantime by taxa due to succession, and there is also a lack of insect inventory, despite present habitats could be suitable especially for a large number of butterfly species. Our work aimed to perform

- (i) a botanical inventory supplied by the estimate of the tree and shrub vegetation cover;
- (ii) an inventory of invertebrates with a focus on butterflies.

## Material and Methods

Inventarization was conducted from the beginning of May to the end of October 2021. The first visit took place on 21-05-06. Eight subsequent visits were conducted approximately in period of three weeks: 21-05-27, 21-06-22, 21-07-06, 21-07-25, 21-08-16, 21-09-09, 21-10-03, 21-10-28. To monitor the insects, we swept the vegetation by sweeping nets and caught insects by standard nets with a sampling effort of six man-hours per visit (two persons for three hours) during the early afternoon (usually 13:00–16:00). Each visit was also supplemented by a botanical inventory, and on 21-10-03, the coverage of the tree and shrub layer was estimated using the Braun-Blanquet scale. For this inventory, the quarry area was divided into four parts representing the basic type of present habitats: i) steppe – open and dry habitat with a rich herbaceous layer and scattered shrubs and trees in the north-eastern part of the quarry, ii) forest – a shaded habitat covered with woody vegetation with a sporadic herbaceous layer in the western part of the quarry, iii) wetland – a semi-open wetland in the southern part of the quarry; iv) upper floor of the quarry – a ring of woody plants surrounding the quarry, especially along its northwestern edge. Determination keys and atlases relevant to each group were used to determine individual species (Dungel *et al.* 2021, Ellis 2001, Hůrka 2005, Kubát *et al.* 2002, Macek *et al.* 2010, Macek *et al.* 2015, Moravec 2019, Svrček *et al.* 1976). In the period 21-07-06–21-07-10, a capture-mark-recapture method was used to estimate the population size of two nearly threatened butterfly species: *Zygaena purpuralis* (Brünnich, 1763) and *Coenonympha arcania* (Linnaeus, 1761). Both species were assumed to have closed populations. Thus, the estimate of the size of their imago populations was calculated manually using the Lincoln-Petersen method and Schnabel method from the package “fishmethods” (Nelson 2021) in R 4.1.2.



**Fig 3:** Four basic types of habitats in the quarry in Stará Ves near Bílovec. The steppe part is represented by yellow, the forest part is green, the entrance part of the quarry is red, and the upper part of the quarry is blue. The source of the underlying map is ČÚZK (Czech Office for Surveying, Mapping and Cadastre).

## Results

Totally, 144 species of higher plants were detected in the quarry area: 30 species of trees and shrubs (their cover in individual types of habitats is shown in Tab. 1), and 114 species of the herbaceous layer. Among them we find six species of the Czech red list: four species of C3 – endangered, two species of C4a – requiring further attention (Grulich 2017), we comment on the issue of their nativeness in the discussion. There are also six invasive species, one expansive species, and two domesticated species (Tab. 2). We find also 11 taxa of fungal organisms, one of which is a nearly threatened species (Tab. 3).

**Tab 1:** Species of trees and shrubs in individual types of habitats. **B-B:** Estimation of coverage according to the Braun-Blanquet scale: 3: 25–50% cover, 2b: 15–25% cover 2: 5–15% cover, 1: 1–5% cover, +: 1% cover, r: 1–2 individuals. **C3:** endangered species according to Czech red list of vascular plants (Grulich 2017), **invasive** – non-native spreading species.

Latin name	Czech name	B-B
<b>Steppe habitat</b>		
<i>Salix caprea</i> L.	vrba jíva	2
<i>Betula pendula</i> Roth.	bříza bělokorá	1
<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> L.	jasan ztepilý	1
<i>Crataegus</i> sp. L.	hloh	1
<i>Prunus spinosa</i> L.	trnka obecná	1
<i>Rosa canina</i> L.	růže šípková	1
<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> L.	javor klen	+
<i>Carpinus betulus</i> L.	habr obecný	+
<i>Prunus avium</i> (L.) L.	třešeň ptačí	+
<i>Malus domestica</i> Borkh.	jabloň domácí	r
<i>Quercus robur</i> L.	dub letní	r
<i>Pinus nigra</i> J. F. Arnold	borovice černá	r
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L.	borovice lesní	r
<i>Pyrus communis</i> L.	hrušeň obecná	r
<i>Tilia cordata</i> Mill.	lípa srdčitá	r
<i>Cornus sanguinea</i> L.	svída krvavá	r
<i>Corylus avellana</i> L.	líška obecná	r
<i>Ligustrum vulgare</i> L.	ptačí zob obecný	r
<b>Forest habitat</b>		
<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> L.	javor klen	3
<i>Carpinus betulus</i> L.	habr obecný	3
<i>Populus tremula</i> L.	topol osika	2b
<i>Tilia cordata</i> Mill.	lípa srdčitá	2b
<i>Betula pendula</i> Roth.	bříza bělokorá	2
<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> L.	jasan ztepilý	2
<i>Quercus robur</i> L.	dub letní	2
<i>Salix caprea</i> L.	vrba jíva	2
<i>Cornus sanguinea</i> L.	svída krvavá	2
<i>Rosa canina</i> L.	růže šípková	2
<i>Larix decidua</i> Mill.	modřín opadavý	1
<i>Picea abies</i> (L.) H. Karst.	smrk ztepilý	1
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L.	borovice lesní	1
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> L.	trnovník akát	1, invasive
<i>Salix alba</i> L.	vrba bílá	1
<i>Alnus glutinosa</i> (L.) Gaertn.	olše lepkavá	+
<i>Juglans regia</i> L.	ořešák královský	+
<i>Prunus avium</i> (L.) L.	třešeň ptačí	+
<i>Corylus avellana</i> L.	líška obecná	+
<i>Crataegus</i> sp. L.	hloh	r
<b>The entrance part of the quarry</b>		
<i>Carpinus betulus</i> L.	habr obecný	3
<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> L.	javor klen	2b
<i>Betula pendula</i> Roth.	bříza bělokorá	2b

<i>Tilia cordata</i> Mill.	lípa srdčitá	2b
<i>Salix caprea</i> L.	vrba jíva	2b
<i>Quercus robur</i> L.	dub letní	2
<i>Populus tremula</i> L.	topol osika	2
<i>Corylus avellana</i> L.	líška obecná	2
<i>Rosa canina</i> L.	růže šípková	2
<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> L.	jasan ztepilý	1
<i>Populus nigra</i> L.	topol černý	1
<i>Cornus sanguinea</i> L.	svída krvavá	1
<i>Juglans regia</i> L.	ořešák královský	+
<i>Larix decidua</i> Mill.	modřín opadavý	+
<i>Picea abies</i> (L.) H. Karst.	smrk ztepilý	+
<i>Pinus nigra</i> J. F. Arnold	borovice černá	+
<i>Prunus avium</i> (L.) L.	třešeň ptačí	+
<i>Prunus spinosa</i> L.	trnka obecná	+
<i>Malus domestica</i> Borkh.	jabloň domácí	r
<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i> L.	jeřáb ptačí	r
<i>Sambucus nigra</i> L.	bez černý	r
<b>The upper floor of the quarry</b>		
<i>Betula pendula</i> Roth.	bříza bělokorá	3
<i>Populus tremula</i> L.	topol osika	2b
<i>Salix caprea</i> L.	vrba jíva	2b
<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> L.	javor klen	2
<i>Quercus robur</i> L.	dub letní	2
<i>Carpinus betulus</i> L.	habr obecný	1
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> L.	buk lesní	1
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L.	borovice lesní	1
<i>Prunus avium</i> (L.) L.	třešeň ptačí	1
<i>Rosa canina</i> L.	růže šípková	1
<i>Alnus glutinosa</i> (L.) Gaertn.	olše lepkavá	+
<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> L.	jasan ztepilý	+
<i>Larix decidua</i> Mill.	modřín opadavý	+
<i>Picea abies</i> (L.) H. Karst.	smrk ztepilý	+
<i>Populus nigra</i> L.	topol černý	+
<i>Ligustrum vulgare</i> L.	ptačí zob obecný	+
<i>Taxus baccata</i> L.	tis obecný	r, C3

**Tab 2:** Plant species of the herbaceous layer recorded in the quarry during May–October 2021. **Habitat:** type of habitat, where individual species were most represented (steppe, forest, wetland, upper. p – the upper part of the quarry); **Notes:** **C3** – endangered species according to Czech red list (Grulich 2017), **C4a** – species requiring further attention, **invasive** – non-native spreading species, **expansive** – native species behaving as invasive, **domesticated** – non-native species probably from nearby gardens.

Latin name	Czech name	Habitat	Notes
<b>Dryopteridaceae</b>	<b>Kaprad'ovitě</b>		
<i>Dryopteris filix-mas</i> (L.) Schott	kaprad' samec	forest	
<b>Equisetaceae</b>	<b>Přesličkovité</b>		
<i>Equisetum arvense</i> L.	přeslička rolní	forest	
<b>Amaryllidaceae</b>	<b>Amarylkovitě</b>		
<i>Allium schoenoprasum</i> L.	pažitka pobřežní	wetland	C3
<b>Cyperaceae</b>	<b>Šáchorovitě</b>		
<i>Carex brizoides</i> L.	ostřice třeslicovitá	wetland	
<i>Carex echinata</i> Murray	ostřice ježatá	wetland	
<b>Juncaceae</b>	<b>Sítinovitě</b>		
<i>Juncus conglomeratus</i> L.	sítina klubkatá	wetland	
<i>Juncus tenuis</i> Willd.	sítina tenká	steppe	
<b>Poaceae</b>	<b>Lipnicovitě</b>		
<i>Calamagrostis epigejos</i> (L.) Roth	třtina křovištní	steppe	expansive
<i>Poa nemoralis</i> L.	lipnice hajní	forest	
<i>Setaria pumila</i> (Poir.) Roem. & Schult.	bér sivý	steppe	

<b>Typhaceae</b> <i>Typha latifolia</i> L.	<b>Orobincovité</b> orobinec širolistý	wetland	
<b>Apiaceae</b> <i>Anthriscus sylvestris</i> (L.) Hoffm. <i>Daucus carota</i> L. <i>Pimpinella saxifraga</i> L.	<b>Miříkovité</b> kerblík lesní mrkev obecná bedrník obecný	forest steppe steppe	
<b>Asteraceae</b> <i>Achillea millefolium</i> L. <i>Carduus acanthoides</i> L. <i>Carlina vulgaris</i> L. <i>Centaurea jacea</i> L. <i>Cichorium intybus</i> L. <i>Cirsium vulgare</i> (Savi) Ten. <i>Erigeron annuus</i> (L.) Pers. <i>Eupatorium cannabinum</i> L. <i>Hieracium murorum</i> L. <i>Hypochaeris radicata</i> L. <i>Leucanthemum vulgare</i> Lam. <i>Pilosella aurantiaca</i> (L.) F.W. Sch. & Sch. Bip. <i>Senecio jacobaea</i> L. <i>Solidago canadensis</i> L. <i>Solidago virgaurea</i> L. <i>Symphyotrichum novi-belgii</i> (L.) Nesom <i>Tanacetum vulgare</i> L. <i>Taraxacum</i> sect. <i>Ruderalia</i> <i>Tragopogon pratensis</i> L. <i>Tussilago farfara</i> L.	<b>Hvězdicovité</b> řebříček obecný bodlák obecný pupava obecná chrpa luční čekanka obecná pcháč obecný turan roční sadek konopáč jestřábník zední prasetník kořenatý kopretina bílá chlupáček oranžový starček přímětník zlatobýl kanadský zlatobýl obecný hvězdnice novobelgická vrtáč obecný sekce pampelišky smetánky kozí brada luční podběl lékařský	steppe steppe steppe upper p. wetland steppe steppe forest steppe upper p. steppe upper p. steppe steppe steppe steppe steppe steppe steppe wetland	invasive
<b>Boraginaceae</b> <i>Myosotis palustris</i> (L.) L. <i>Myosotis sylvatica</i> Hoffm. <i>Symphytum officinale</i> L.	<b>Brutnákovité</b> pomněnka bahenní pomněnka lesní kostival lékařský	wetland wetland forest	
<b>Brassicaceae</b> <i>Alliaria petiolata</i> (M. Bieb.) Cavara & Grande <i>Barbarea vulgaris</i> W. T. Aiton <i>Lepidium campestre</i> (L.) R. Br. <i>Turritis glabra</i> L.	<b>Brukvovité</b> česnáček lékařský barborka obecná řeřicha chlumní huseník lysý	forest steppe forest steppe	
<b>Campanulaceae</b> <i>Campanula patula</i> L. <i>Campanula trachelium</i> L.	<b>Zvonkovité</b> zvonek rozkladitý zvonek kopřivolistý	steppe forest	
<b>Caryophyllaceae</b> <i>Dianthus armeria</i> L. <i>Dianthus carthusianorum</i> L. <i>Dianthus deltoides</i> L. <i>Lychnis flos-cuculi</i> L. <i>Lychnis viscaria</i> L. <i>Silene latifolia</i> subsp. <i>alba</i> (Mill.) Gr. & Burdet <i>Silene nutans</i> L.	<b>Hvozdíkovité</b> hvozdík svazčitý hvozdík kartouzek hvozdík kroupenatý kohoutek luční smolníčka obecná silenka širolistá bílá silenka nicí	steppe steppe steppe steppe steppe steppe steppe	C4a
<b>Convolvulaceae</b> <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> L.	<b>Svlačcovité</b> svlačec rolní	steppe	
<b>Crassulaceae</b> <i>Hylotelephium maximum</i> (L.) Holub <i>Sedum acre</i> L. <i>Sedum spurium</i> M. Bieb.	<b>Tlusticovité</b> rozchodníkovec velký rozchodník prudký rozchodník pochybný	upper p. steppe steppe	domesticated
<b>Dipsacaceae</b> <i>Dipsacus fullonum</i> L. <i>Knautia arvensis</i> (L.) J. M. Coult.	<b>Štětkovité</b> štětka planá chrastavec rolní	steppe steppe	
<b>Euphorbiaceae</b> <i>Euphorbia cyparissias</i> L.	<b>Přýšcovité</b> přýšec chvojka	steppe	
<b>Fabaceae</b> <i>Astragalus glycyphyllos</i> L.	<b>Bobovité</b> kozinec sladkolistý	steppe	



<i>Genista tinctoria</i> L.	kručinka barvířská	steppe	
<i>Lotus corniculatus</i> L.	štírovník růžkatý	steppe	
<i>Melilotus albus</i> Medik.	komonice bílá	steppe	
<i>Securigera varia</i> (L.) Lassen	čičorka pestrá	steppe	
<i>Trifolium arvense</i> L.	jetel rolní	steppe	
<i>Trifolium campestre</i> Schreber	jetel ladní	upper p.	
<i>Trifolium pratense</i> L.	jetel luční	steppe	
<i>Trifolium repens</i> L.	jetel plazivý	steppe	
<i>Vicia angustifolia</i> L.	vikev úzkolistá	steppe	
<i>Vicia villosa</i> Roth	vikev huňatá	steppe	
<b>Geraniaceae</b>	<b>Kakostovitě</b>		
<i>Geranium columbinum</i> L.	kakost holubičí	wetland	
<i>Geranium robertianum</i> L.	kakost smrdutý	steppe	
<b>Hypericaceae</b>	<b>Třezalkovitě</b>		
<i>Hypericum perforatum</i> L.	třezalka tečkovaná	forest	
<b>Lamiaceae</b>	<b>Hluchavkovitě</b>		
<i>Ajuga genevensis</i> L.	zběhovec lesní	forest	
<i>Ajuga reptans</i> L.	zběhovec plazivý	steppe	
<i>Betonica officinalis</i> L.	bukvice lékařská	steppe	
<i>Clinopodium vulgare</i> L.	klinopád obecný	steppe	
<i>Galeobdolon luteum</i> Huds.	pitulník žlutý	steppe	
<i>Galeopsis bifida</i> Boenn.	konopice dvouklanná	steppe	
<i>Glechoma hederacea</i> L.	popenec obecný	forest	
<i>Lamium album</i> L.	hluchavka bílá	steppe	
<i>Origanum vulgare</i> L.	dobromysl obecná	steppe	
<i>Salvia pratensis</i> L.	šalvěj luční	steppe	
<i>Thymus pulegioides</i> L.	mateřídouška vejčitá	steppe	
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<b>Slézovitě</b>		
<i>Malva alcea</i> L.	sléz velkokvětý	upper p.	C4a
<b>Onagraceae</b>	<b>Pupalkovitě</b>		
<i>Epilobium dodonaei</i> Vill.	vrbovka rozmarýnolistá	steppe	
<i>Epilobium montanum</i> L.	vrbovka horská	forest	
<i>Oenothera biennis</i> agg. L.	pupalka dvouletá	steppe	invasive
<b>Orobanchaceae</b>	<b>Zárazovitě</b>		
<i>Melampyrum nemorosum</i> L.	černýš hajní	wetland	
<b>Papaveraceae</b>	<b>Makovitě</b>		
<i>Chelidonium majus</i> L.	vlaštovičník větší	forest	
<i>Papaver confine</i> Jord.	mák časný	upper p.	C3
<b>Plantaginaceae</b>	<b>Jitrocelovitě</b>		
<i>Plantago lanceolata</i> L.	jitrocel kopinatý	steppe	
<i>Veronica chamaedrys</i> L.	rozrazil rezekvítek	steppe	
<i>Veronica officinalis</i> L.	rozrazil lékařský	steppe	
<b>Polygonaceae</b>	<b>Rdesnovitě</b>		
<i>Rumex acetosa</i> L.	šťovík kyselý	forest	
<i>Rumex acetosella</i> L.	šťovík menší	forest	
<b>Primulaceae</b>	<b>Prvosenkovitě</b>		
<i>Lysimachia nummularia</i> L.	vrbina penízková	forest	
<i>Primula elatior</i> (L.) Hill	prvosenka vyšší	forest	
<i>Primula veris</i> L.	prvosenka jarní	forest	
<i>Primula vulgaris</i> Huds.	prvosenka bezlodyžná	forest	domesticated
<b>Ranunculaceae</b>	<b>Pryskyřníkovitě</b>		
<i>Anemone nemorosa</i> L.	sasanka hajní	forest	
<i>Ranunculus acris</i> L.	pryskyřník prudký	steppe	
<i>Ranunculus repens</i> L.	pryskyřník plazivý	steppe	
<b>Rosaceae</b>	<b>Růžovitě</b>		
<i>Alchemilla vulgaris</i> L.	kontryhel ostrolaločný	steppe	
<i>Fragaria vesca</i> L.	jahodník obecný	steppe	
<i>Geum rivale</i> L.	kuklík potoční	forest	
<i>Geum urbanum</i> L.	kuklík městský	wetland	
<i>Potentilla argentea</i> L.	mochna stříbrná	steppe	



<i>Potentilla recta</i> L.	mochna přímá	steppe	
<i>Potentilla reptans</i> L.	mochna plazivá	steppe	
<i>Sanguisorba officinalis</i> L.	krvavec toten	wetland	
<b>Rubiaceae</b>	<b>Mořenovitě</b>		
<i>Galium pumilum</i> Murray	svízel nízký	steppe	
<i>Galium verum</i> L.	svízel syřišťový	steppe	
<b>Scrophulariaceae</b>	<b>Krtičníkovité</b>		
<i>Verbascum thapsus</i> L.	divizna malokvětá	steppe	
<b>Violaceae</b>	<b>Violkovitě</b>		
<i>Viola arvensis</i> Murray	violka rolní	steppe	
<b>Vitaceae</b>	<b>Révovitě</b>		
<i>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</i> (L.) Planch.	loubinec pětistý	steppe	invasive

**Tab 3:** Species of fungal organisms recorded in the quarry in May–October 2021. NT – nearly threatened according to Czech red list of lichens (Liška & Palice 2010).

Latin name	Czech name	Notes
<b>Lichenes</b>	<b>Lišejníky</b>	NT
<i>Cladonia pyxidata</i> (L.) Hoffm.	dutohlávka pohárkatá	
<i>Hypogymnia physodes</i> (L.) Nyl.	terčovka bublinatá	
<i>Parmelia sulcata</i> Taylor	terčovka brázditá	
<i>Pseudevernia furfuracea</i> (L.) Zopf	terčovka otrubčitá	
<i>Xanthoria parietina</i> (L.) Th. Fr.	terčovník zední	
<b>Myxomycetes</b>	<b>Hlenky</b>	
<i>Lycogala epidendrum</i> (J.C. Buxb. ex L.) Fr. 1829	vlčí mléko červené	
<b>Fungi</b>	<b>Houby</b>	
<i>Clathrus archeri</i> (Berk.) Dring	květnatec Archerův	
<i>Laetiporus sulphureus</i> (Bull.) Murrill	sírovec žlutooranžový	
<i>Leccinum scabrum</i> (Bull.) Gray	kozák březový	
<i>Piptoporus betulinus</i> (Bull.) P. Karst.	březovník obecný	
<i>Schizophyllum commune</i> Fr.	klanolístka obecná	

Totally, 121 species of animals were recorded in the quarry during the mapping, of which 102 species of insects. Butterflies with 52 species were the most important and the focal group. Thirteen species of insects (eight species of butterflies) fell into one of the categories of Czech red lists (Hejda *et al.* 2017, Chobot & Němec 2017), legal categories, or are part of the annexes to some of the European directives (Tab. 5). Estimation of population size of *Zygaena purpuralis* based on 55 individuals reached 129 individuals (LCI = 81, UCI = 258) for Schnabel and 124 individuals (LCI = 73, UCI = 176) for Lincoln-Petersen method. We captured and marked 33 individuals of *Coenonympha arcania*. Estimation of population size reached 355 individuals (LCI = 118, UCI = Inf) for Schnabel method, and 83 individuals (LCI = 0, UCI = 182) between first and second recapture, and 62 individuals (LCI = 4, UCI = 120) between second and third recapture for Lincoln-Petersen method (Tab. 4).

**Tab 4:** Estimates of population size by Schnabel and Lincoln-Petersen (between individual capture occasions) methods with its 95% confidence interval (N - estimated abundance, LCI - Lower bound confidence interval, UCI - Upper bound confidence interval)

	<i>Zygaena purpuralis</i>			<i>Coenonympha arcania</i>		
Method	N	LCI	UCI	N	LCI	UCI
Schnabel	129	81	258	355	118	Inf
Lincoln-Petersen (1–2)	124	73	176	83	0	182
Lincoln-Petersen (2–3)	NA	NA	NA	62	4	120

**Tab 5:** Animal species recorded in the quarry during the period May–October 2021. **Method:** **sweeping** – using sweeping nets on vegetation, **netting** – using standard net for butterflies, **larva** – the presence of larvae or galls with larvae, **observation** – direct observation of the animal, **voice** – voice recording. **Notes:** Threat categories according to Czech red list of invertebrates (Hejda *et al.* 2017) or vertebrates (Chobot & Němec 2017): **VU** – vulnerable, **NT** – nearly threatened, **EN** – endangered; Categories according to legal protection: **O** – endangered, **SO** – strongly endangered, **KO** – critically endangered; **ES I** – Birds Directive Annex I, **ES II** – Habitats Directive Annex II, **ES IV** – Habitats Directive Annex IV.

Latin name	Czech name	Method	Notes
<b>Aranea</b>	<b>Pavouci</b>		
<i>Argiope bruennichi</i> (Scopoli, 1772)	křížák pruhovaný	sweeping	
<b>Insecta</b>	<b>Hmyz</b>		
<b>Odonata</b>	<b>Vážky</b>		
<i>Sympecma fusca</i> (Vander Linden, 1820)	šídlatka hnědá	netting	
<b>Dermaptera</b>	<b>Škvoři</b>		
<i>Forficula auricularia</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	škvor obecný	sweeping	
<b>Mantodea</b>	<b>Kudlanky</b>		
<i>Mantis religiosa</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	kudlanka nábožná	sweeping	VU/KO
<b>Orthoptera</b>	<b>Rovnokřídlí</b>		
<i>Oedipoda caerulea</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	saranče modrokřídla	sweeping	
<i>Tetrix bipunctata</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	marše suchobytná	sweeping	
<i>Tettigonia viridissima</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	kobylka zelená	sweeping	
<b>Hemiptera</b>	<b>Polokřídli</b>		
<i>Cercopis sanguinolenta</i> (Scopoli, 1763)	pěnodějka nížinná	sweeping	
<i>Palomena prasina</i> (Linnaeus, 1761)	kněžice trávozelená	sweeping	
<i>Pyrrhocoris apterus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	ruměnice pospolná	sweeping	
<b>Raphidioptera</b>	<b>Dlouhošijky</b>		
<i>Phaeostigma notata</i> (Fabricius, 1781)	dlouhošijka znamenaná	sweeping	
<b>Hymenoptera</b>	<b>Blanokřídli</b>		
<i>Andrena hattorfiana</i> (Fabricius, 1775)	pískorypka chrastavcová	netting	EN
<i>Bombus sylvarum</i> (Linnaeus, 1761)	čmelák lesní	netting	-/O
<i>Diplolepis rosae</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	žlabatka růžová	larva	
<i>Camponotus ligniperdus</i> (Latreille, 1802)	mravenec dřevokaz	sweeping	
<i>Macrophya montana</i> (Scopoli, 1763)	pílatka	sweeping	
<b>Diptera</b>	<b>Dvoukřídli</b>		
<i>Asphondylia melanopus</i> (Kieffer, 1890)	bejломorka	larva	
<i>Kiefferia pericarpiicola</i> (Bremi, 1847)	bejломorka	larva	
<i>Lasiopoda rubi</i> (Schrank, 1803)	bejломorka	larva	
<i>Eristalis arbutorum</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	pestřenka	sweeping	
<i>Urophora cardui</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	virtule bodláková	larva	
<b>Coleoptera</b>	<b>Brouci</b>		
<b>Carabidae</b>	<b>Střevlíkovití</b>		
<i>Abax parallelepipedus</i> (Piller & Mitt., 1783)	čtvercoštítník černý	observation	
<i>Anchomenus dorsalis</i> (Pontoppidan, 1763)	střevlíček ošlejchový	observation	
<i>Brachinus eximius</i> (Duftschmid, 1812)	prskavec menší	observation	-/O
<i>Poecilus cupreus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	střevlíček měděný	observation	
<i>Carabus coriaceus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	střevlík kožitý	observation	
<i>Carabus granulatus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	střevlík zrnitý	observation	
<i>Carabus intricatus</i> (Linnaeus, 1761)	střevlík vrásčitý	observation	
<i>Carabus ulrichii</i> (Germar, 1824)	střevlík Ulrichův	observation	-/O
<b>Buprestidae</b>	<b>Krascovití</b>		
<i>Anthaxia nitidula</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	krasec lesknávý	sweeping	
<b>Cantharidae</b>	<b>Páteříčkovití</b>		
<i>Cantharis fusca</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	páteříček sněhový	sweeping	
<i>Rhagonycha fulva</i> (Scopoli, 1763)	páteříček žlutý	sweeping	
<b>Cerambycidae</b>	<b>Tesaříkovití</b>		
<i>Leptura maculata</i> (Poda, 1761)	tesařík skvrnitý	sweeping	
<i>Stenostola ferrea</i> (Schrank, 1776)	tesařík	sweeping	
<i>Stenurella bifasciata</i> (O. F. Müller, 1776)	tesařík dvoj pásý	sweeping	
<i>Stenurella melanura</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	tesařík černošpičkový	sweeping	



<b>Nymphalidae</b>			
<i>Aglais urticae</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Araschnia levana</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Inachis io</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Nymphalis antiopa</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Nymphalis polychloros</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Polygonia c-album</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Aphantopus hyperanthus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Brintesia circe</i> (Fabricius, 1775)			
<i>Coenonympha arcania</i> (Linnaeus, 1761)			
<i>Coenonympha pamphilus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Lasiommata megera</i> (Linnaeus, 1767)			
<i>Maniola jurtina</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Melanargia galathea</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Pararge aegeria</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Argynnis paphia</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Issoria lathonia</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Speyeria aglaja</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<b>Papilionidae</b>			
<i>Papilio machaon</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<b>Pieridae</b>			
<i>Colias crocea</i> (Fourcroy, 1785)			
<i>Colias hyale</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Gonepteryx rhamni</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Pieris brassicae</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Pieris napi</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Pontia edusa</i> (Fabricius, 1777)			
<b>Squamata</b>			
<b>Anguidae</b>			
<i>Anguis colchica</i> (Nordmann, 1840)			
<b>Lacertidae</b>			
<i>Lacerta agilis</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<b>Aves</b>			
<b>Strigiformes</b>			
<i>Bubo bubo</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<b>Accipitriformes</b>			
<i>Buteo buteo</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<b>Falconiformes</b>			
<i>Falco tinnunculus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<b>Passeriformes</b>			
<i>Emberiza citrinella</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Fringilla coelebs</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Erithacus rubecula</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Phoenicurus phoenicurus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Phylloscopus collybita</i> (Vieillot, 1817)			
<i>Sitta europaea</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Sylvia atricapilla</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Curruca communis</i> (Latham, 1787)			
<i>Turdus merula</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<b>Piciformes</b>			
<i>Picus canus</i> (J. F. Gmelin, 1788)			
<b>Bucerotiformes</b>			
<i>Upupa epops</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<b>Mammalia</b>			
<i>Capreolus capreolus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)			
<i>Lepus europaeus</i> Pallas, 1778			
<b>Babočkovití</b>			
babočka kopřivová	netting		
babočka síťkovaná	netting		
babočka paví oko	netting		
babočka osiková	netting		
babočka jilmová	netting		
babočka bílé C	netting		
okáč prosíčekový	netting		
okáč voňavkový	netting		
okáč strdivkový	netting		
okáč poháňkový	netting		
okáč zední	netting		
okáč luční	netting		
okáč bojínkový	netting		
okáč pýrový	netting		
perleťovec stříbropásek	netting		
perleťovec malý	netting		
perleťovec velký	netting		
<b>Otakákovití</b>			
otakárek fenyklový	netting		
<b>Běláskovití</b>			
žlutásek čilimník	netting		
žlutásek čičorečkový	netting		
žlutásek řešetlák	netting		
bělásek zelný	netting		
bělásek řepkový	netting		
bělásek rezedkový	netting		
<b>Šupinatí</b>			
<b>Slepýšovití</b>			
slepýš východní	observation		
<b>Ještěrkovití</b>			
ještěrka obecná	observation		
<b>Ptáci</b>			
<b>Sovy</b>			
výr velký	observation		
<b>Dravci</b>			
káně lesní	voice		
<b>Sokoli</b>			
poštolka obecná	observation		
<b>Pěvci</b>			
strnad obecný	voice		
pěnkava obecná	voice		
červenka obecná	observation		
rehek zahradní	observation		
budníček menší	voice		
brhlík lesní	observation		
pěnice černohlavá	observation		
pěnice hnědokřídla	observation		
kos černý	observation		
<b>Šplhavci</b>			
žluna šedá	observation		
<b>Zoborožci</b>			
dudek chocholatý	observation		
<b>Savci</b>			
srnec obecný	observation		
zajíc polní	observation		



## Discussion

The quarry, the property of Kamenolomy ČR, is currently managed by the Mikuláš Koperník Grammar School in Bílovec. The data obtained during the inventory will be used by this institution to prepare a nature trail and also as a basis for site management, which will be conducted as volunteer and community work. The aim is to build an ecological center focused on teaching biology, geology, and especially the environmental activities of students (ČTK 2021, Orlíková 2021). To fulfill these ideas, it will be appropriate to define a care plan of the site, considering the requirements of the present species of plants, vertebrates, and invertebrates, especially butterflies.

During the survey, the occurrence of several species of plants listed in the Czech red list was detected. *Papaver confine* was found in a few individuals on the sunlit edge of the upper floor of the quarry. It is a species growing scattered in thermophytic, rarely in lower mesophytic sites, on dry grassy slopes, in abandoned quarries, or on the edges of rocky outcrops, always on basic substrates (Mrázek 2012). *Allium schoenoprasum* occurs in several large clumps in the wetland part of the quarry. The species originally growing on the banks of rivers now often occurs in secondary habitats, such as dumps, and is also grown in gardens (Hoskovec 2016). *Pilosella aurantiaca* occurs on the sunlit spots of the upper floor of the quarry. It is originally species of mountain meadows and pastures, but due to its unpretentiousness and color, it is grown often in gardens, so it can be found in several secondary habitats (Hoskovec 2007). *Taxus baccata* occurs in one juvenile in the forested upper floor of the quarry. Originally, this rare species grows mainly on steep thermophilic rocky habitats. At present, it is also widely planted in parks (Eliáš 2007). *Malva alcea* occurs mainly on the edge of the forested upper floor of the quarry. Typical habitats of this species are sunny slopes and edges of forests. It is also a species widely grown in gardens since the Middle Ages (Eliáš 2007). *Dianthus armeria* occurs relatively abundantly in the steppe part of the quarry. This species grows scattered at forest edges but also along roads and embankments, sometimes is grown in gardens (Krása 2007). As follows from the above mentioned, the botanical significance of these species found in the quarry is problematic, as they are mainly grown in gardens, from where they could escape into the quarry area, perhaps except *Papaver confine*. This is supported by the presence of two other non-native garden species: *Primula vulgaris*, growing at the edge of the forested and steppe part of the quarry, and *Sedum spurium*, occurring at the eastern rock wall of the quarry.

Thus, the locality appears to be more significant for the occurrence of animals, especially insects. *Mantis religiosa* is still a critically endangered species by law, although in the last 15–20 years it has undertaken a massive expansion from the warmest areas of South Moravia to the north and today inhabits a relatively wide range of open xerothermic habitats, including ruderal ones (Vitáček & Janšta 2016). Unshaded warm habitats, such as pastures, dry meadows, and quarries, are preferred also by *Brachinus explodens*, *Carabus ullrichii*, and despite its name by *Bombus sylvarum* (Saska & Honek 2004, Zahradník 2008, Ptáček 2013). *Andrena hattorfiana* uses meadows with sparse vegetation for nesting. Both adults and larvae feed on *Knautia arvensis*. The species is dependent on the continuous flowering of the host plant and is thus sensitive to the large-scale mowing of meadows but also to their overgrowth with woody plants. Appropriate management is extensive grazing, local turf removal with heavy equipment, or occasional motor vehicle traffic (Macek *et al.* 2010).

Butterflies were the focal monitored group. *Polyommatus bellargus* needs arid short-stemmed steppes with sparse vegetation, which can be recently found in limestone quarries. From its host plants, *Securigera*, *Centaurea*, *Senecio*, *Carduus*, *Cirsium*, and *Origanum* spp. occur in the locality. For *P. bellargus* protection, it is necessary to maintain short-stemmed disturbed areas by grazing or support activities such as camping (Beneš & Konvička 2002). *Coenonympha arcania* requires a diverse mosaic structure of vegetation – very sparse deciduous forests and bushy forest-steppes, especially smaller meadows surrounded by shrubs

(Fig. 4a). The host plants are grasses, mainly *Brachypodium pinnatum* and *Holcus lanatus* (Beneš & Konvička 2002). *Zygaena purpuralis* prefers steppe localities on a lime-stone base (Fig. 4b). The larvae develop on *Thymus*, sometimes on *Veronica* spp. (Bourn 1995). Since we found these host plants of *Zygaena purpuralis* abundantly in the quarry, we assume that our species determination is correct. However, to make sure that it is not mistaken for the similar *Zygaena minos*, molecular analyses and searching for caterpillars on host plants will be carried out next year. *Zygaena ephialtes* requires xerothermic localities such as steppes, dry meadows, or bushy slopes. The host is *Coronilla varia* (Horák 2013). *Brintesia circe* disappeared in the past from all Silesian and North Moravian localities. However, with climate change, its populations are expanding and it has been excluded from the categories of the current Czech red list. This species is habitat-linked to steppes and secondary habitats in quarries and sandpits. The hosts are various types of steppe grasses (Beneš & Konvička 2002). *Callimorpha quadripunctaria* inhabits warm rocky forest-steppe areas (Fig. 4c). The larvae are polyphagous, but adults sit on nectar-bearing plants, especially *Eupatorium* and *Carduus* spp. In past years, the species has disappeared from many localities due to insufficient management, as it requires extensive mosaic management supplied with the tree removal (Trnka 2012). *Lycaena dispar* inhabits moist meadows, amelioration canals, or quarry bottoms (Fig. 4d). The hosts are broadleaf species of *Rumex* spp. L. At present, species is not endangered, significantly expanding to ruderal habitats. However, in the Czech Republic as well as in the EU, the species is legally protected, similarly to the following species (Beneš & Konvička 2002). *Papilio machaon* inhabits meadows, gardens, steppes, or early succession habitats. The host species belong to the family Apiaceae, e.g. *Daucus carota* or *Pimpinella* spp. At present, the species is not endangered, the existence of early succession enclaves in the landscape contributes to its expansion (Beneš & Konvička 2002). *Hyles euphorbiae* looks for dry slopes, field edges, or rocky slopes (Fig. 4e). The species is threatened by the loss of suitable habitats with host plants i.e. various species of Euphorbiaceae, especially *Euphorbia cyparissias* L. (Pokorný 2014). In contrast to our results, Molitor (2011) during the vertebrate inventory also recorded critically endangered *Zygaena osterodensis* Reiss, 1921, and nearly threatened *Aricia eumedon* (Esper, 1780). We have failed to find these species. We suggest that in the first case it is a mis-identification with the species *Zygaena purpuralis/minos*, and in the second case with *Aricia agestis* because these species are quite similar, less rare, and present in quarry. On the other hand, these species could indeed occur here and disappear until these days.

Based on the above-listed species, the priority of the management in the quarry should be the protection of non-forest subxerothermic and xerothermic habitats. The expansive plant, which potentially endangers especially steppe habitats, is *Calamagrostis epigejos*. The most abundant invasive species is *Erigeron annuus*, followed by less frequent *Aster novi-belgii*, and strongly invasive *Solidago canadensis*. *Parthenocissus quinquefolia* occurs in two large spots, *Oenothera biennis* occurs sporadically. For similar xerothermic quarries, mowing is recommended to be done once every two years in places with unconnected vegetation, once a year on places of xerothermic grasslands with rich species composition, and twice per year on places after tree felling or on degraded spots with the occurrence of ruderal and expanding vegetation (Czernik 2019). To eliminate *C. epigejos*, it is important to limit its seed bank by early mowing before blooming (June–August). Thus, the first mowing should be ideally done in April–May, the second mowing in June–August (during the period of maximum biomass). From the point of view of diurnal butterflies and bees, it is important to leave 30% of the area unmown, especially their host plants. Properly timed mosaic mowing could even lead to increased abundances of host plants. The omitted areas would be mowed during the second mowing or the following year. The mowing must be supplemented by disturbances of the vegetation cover as a simulation of grazing. The use of forage tedder (or metal rakes), which disturbs the soil surface during raking hay, has proven to be effective (Czernik 2019).



**Fig 4:** Valuable species of butterflies in the quarry: a) *Coenonympha arcania*, b) *Zygaena purpuralis*, c) *Callimorpha quadripunctaria*, d) *Lycaena dispar*, e) *Hyles euphorbiae* (photo by Adam Mikunda).

Grazing is a more suitable option than mowing. Grazing selectively and continuously removes biomass, disrupts turf, and thus creates new spots for the germination of plant species of interest and for the nests of solitary bees. Grazing should take place in September–November once every 3–5 years, exclusively by sheep and goats. A number of animals should correspond to extensive grazing, i.e.  $0.3\text{--}1.0 \text{ Dj} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$  (1 Dj = 500 kg live weight of animals). Mowing of rests is not necessary unless they belong to invasive or expansive plant species, because they are utilized by insects (Czernik 2019). Due to the nature of the locality, the originally considered fallow deer breeding cannot be recommended (Kočí 2009). Manual removal of individual clumps of invasive species carried out repeatedly before their flowering is a demanding but very effective alternative of mowing, especially suitable for *Solidago canadensis*. A new alternative biotechnological method of *C. epigejos* reduction, which can survive even decades of proper mowing, is the sowing of semi-parasitic *Rhinanthus* spp. L. carried out in July–October (Chaudron *et al.* 2021). In addition, flowering *Rhinanthus* provides a diet to large numbers of insects.

It is also important to carry out a continuous reduction of shrubs and trees, which applies especially to the currently forested part of the quarry. There should be a selective reduction, especially of aspens, ashes, maples, birches, willows, or pines. On the contrary, it is appropriate to leave autochthonous shrubs: hawthorns, dogwoods, blackthorns, and wild privets, always a group of shrubs rather than individual solitaires. During the reduction, it is necessary to remove the biomass, for example, by depositing it on a built-up composting site. The cutting of some trunks onto a 6–8 m high sunlit torso, which will serve as a habitat for saproxylophagous insects, solitary bees, as a nesting place for birds, and shelters for bats, may be an interesting

supplement. To support reptiles, it is appropriate to reduce woody plants from the slopes of the quarry and rocky outcrops. An important task is also the elimination of *Robinia pseudoacacia*. As *R. pseudoacacia* is a very dangerous invasive species that changes the chemistry of the soil and strongly ruderalizes the shrub and herbaceous layer, its liquidation in the area should be the priority. Management needs to be adapted to the fact that this species has high production of coppices stimulated by damage. Cutting to a low stump is not recommended (Czernik 2019). An attempt to do this in past years has been already done, but since it has not been carried out properly, rejuvenating coppices appeared. Felling on a high stump or partial ringing followed by herbicide application at the end of the growing season is ideal. Ringing consists of removing a few centimeters wide strip of bark around the entire log and leaving only a few centimeters wide strip of bark, which will allow a partial flow of nutrients. The tree gradually becomes exhausted. In the second year, the ring is closed and in the third, the tree is cut down. With regard to the nesting of birds, it is necessary to not perform tree felling in April–July. At the nesting site of the eagle-owl, it is advisable to carry out only the liquidation of the *R. pseudoacacia*, leaving sufficient wood cover. This should be done not earlier than in July. It is advisable to leave the forested upper floor of the quarry adjacent to the fields or cultural meadows also without reduction of trees so that agrochemicals or expansive species cannot penetrate the quarry (Czernik 2019).

It is necessary to place composting site, where mown biomass interspersed with branches and trunks of felled shrubs and woody plants will be stored, outside the botanically valuable parts, but in an at least partially sunlit place. Properly located composting sites can support the occurrence of reptiles, which will use it as a hatchery or wintering ground, but also bumblebees, which can build nests here (Czernik 2019). Wetland at the entrance to the quarry appears to be suitable for the construction of a pond, which would serve as a breeding ground for invertebrates and amphibians. Its bottom could be formed by a pond foil overlaid with stones.

Except for insects, we recorded also valuable species of vertebrates in the quarry. *Lacerta agilis* inhabits mainly drier sunny places with little vegetation cover on the edge of forests and bushy stands, but also railway embankments or quarries. A large set of the localities is currently degraded by succession due to overgrowth by trees. The remaining isolated populations are highly endangered by the construction of buildings, repairs of roads, or predation pressure from hens, pheasants, and free-range cats (Háková & Losík 2018). From this point of view, the possible breeding of pheasants in the quarry area seems to be problematic. In addition to the previously recorded *Anguis fragilis*, we also found *Anguis colchica* because the contact hybrid zone of both species runs through the area (Moravec & Gvoždík 2019). *Bubo bubo* is adapted to secondarily created localities, e.g. quarries or castle ruins. The basic condition for its occurrence is the possibility of hiding in the rocks surrounded by tree vegetation. *Picus canus* nests in forests, gardens, and parks in tree cavities. *Upupa epops* was also seen in the quarry. Species occurs in the open landscape of pastures and meadows with trees, and with low agricultural intensity. *U. epops* often seeks food in the form of insects in the feces of grazing animals (Šťastný *et al.* 2006).

## Conclusion

The quarry in Stará Ves near Bílovec is a geological study profile of regional-geological significance and is recommended for protection as a potential geotouric attraction. Based on the inventory of its biodiversity, we can conclude that it is an important site also from a biological point of view, providing a habitat for many endangered and protected species of birds, reptiles, beetles, bees, and especially butterflies. However, it is important to prevent the ongoing successional development and to maintain and expand the steppe part of the site. The wetland part has the potential for building a pond that could serve as a breeding habitat for amphibians. Concerning the occurrence of the valuable species of ground beetles, it would be appropriate to



supplement our study by sampling epigeic insects using pitfall traps, which will be done next year. With proper management, the locality deserves the status of a natural monument, or with regard to a higher number of interesting species of animals, even a nature reserve.

## References

- Beneš J. & Konvička M. (2002): Butterflies of the Czech Republic: distribution and conservation. Czech Society for Butterfly and Moth Conservation, Prague, 857 pp.
- Bourn N.A.D. (1995): The ecology, conservation and population genetics of three species of Zygaenid moths, *Zygaena lonicerae*, *Zygaena purpuralis* and *Zygaena filipendulae* in North West Scotland. Ph.D. thesis. University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, 267 pp.
- Chaudron C., Mazalová M., Kuras T., Malenovský I. & Mládek J. (2021): Introducing ecosystem engineers for grassland biodiversity conservation: A review of the effects of hemiparasitic *Rhinanthus* species on plant and animal communities at multiple trophic levels. – Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 52: 125633.
- Chobot K. & Němec M. (2017): Červený seznam ohrožených druhů České republiky: Obratlovci. – Příroda 34: 5-182.
- Czerník M. (2019): Plán péče o Přírodní památku U Strejčkova lomu na období 2020–2029. Regional Office of the Olomouc Region, Olomouc, 69 pp.
- Černochová I. (2015): Analýza arachnocenóz lomu u Bílovce. Diploma thesis. University of Ostrava, Faculty of Science, Ostrava, 82 pp.
- ČGS (2012): Stará Ves u Bílovce. Databáze významných geologických lokalit. Geologické lokality [online]. Last actual.: 2012 [cit.: 2021-10-29]. Available from: <http://lokality.geology.cz/3403>
- ČTK (2021): Gymnázium z Bílovce vybuduje v kamenolomu naučnou stezku. Blesk.cz.
- Dungel J., Hudec K. & Šťastný K. (2021): Atlas ptáků České a Slovenské republiky. Academia, 260 pp.
- Dvořák J. (1999): Disharmonicky zvrásněné hradecko-kyjovické souvrství ve Staré Vsi u Bílovce (jv. část Oderských vrchů, sev. Morava). – Čas. Slez. Muz. (A) 48: 91-93.
- Eliš P. (2007): *Malva alcea* L. – sléz velkokvětý / slez liečivý. Botany.cz [online]. Last actual.: 2020-01-09 [cit.: 2021-10-29]. Available from: <https://botany.cz/cs/malva-alcea/>
- Ellis W.N. (2001): Leafminers and plant galls of Europe [online]. Last actual.: 2020-01-09 [cit.: 2021-10-29]. Available from: <https://bladmineerders.nl/>
- Filipová K. (2013): Příroda a krajina Moravskoslezského kraje. Moravian-Silesian Region & AOPK ČR, Ostrava, 201 pp.
- Gerža M. (2012): Aktualizace mapovacího okrsku cz0706. Aktualizace vrstvy mapování biotopů ČR. AOPK ČR, Prague.
- Grulich V. (2017): Červený seznam cévnatých rostlin ČR. – Příroda 35: 75-132.
- Grygar R. (1997): Lokalita Stará Ves u Bílovce. Sedimentární a tektonický vývoj synorogenních pánví – exkurzní průvodce. Czech Geological Society, Ostrava.
- Háková A. & Losík J. (2018): Biologický průzkum včetně vyhodnocení vlivů na biologickou rozmanitost Thermal Pasohlávky a.s. Resort Pálava, Olomouc, 49 pp.
- Hejda R., Farkač J. & Chobot K. (2017): Red List of Threatened Species in the Czech Republic. Invertebrates. AOPK ČR, Prague, 612 pp.
- Horák J. (2013): Habitat requirements of conspicuous burnet moth *Zygaena ephialtes* (Linnaeus, 1767; Lepidoptera: Zygaenidae). – North-West J. Zool. 9: 1-5.
- Hoskovec L. (2007): *Pilosella aurantiaca* (L.) F.W. Schultz et Sch. Bip. – chlupáček oranžový / chlpánik oranžový. Botany.cz [online]. Last actual.: 2007-07-03 [cit.: 2021-10-29]. Available from: <https://botany.cz/cs/hieracium-aurantiacum/>
- (2016): *Allium schoenoprasum* L. – pažitka pobřežní / cesnak pažitkový. Botany.cz [online]. Last actual.: 2016-05-11 [cit.: 2021-10-29]. Available from: <https://botany.cz/cs/allium-schoenoprasum/>
- Hůrka K. (2005): Brouci České a Slovenské republiky. Kabourek, Zlín, 390 pp.
- Kočí M. (2009): Assessment of the status of grassland vegetation in Kútky Nature Reserve – current status and monitoring change. Vliv oborního chovu spárkaté zvěře na PR Kútky. AOPK ČR. Prague, pp. 6-18.
- Krása P. (2007): *Dianthus armeria* L. – hvozdík svazčitý / klinček zvězkovitý. Botany.cz [online]. Last actual.: 2007-07-06 [cit.: 2021-10-29]. Available from: <https://botany.cz/cs/dianthus-armeria/>
- Kubát K., Hroudá L., Chrtěk J., Kaplan Z., Kirschner J. & Štěpánek J. (eds) (2002): Klíč ke květeně České republiky. Academia, 928 pp.
- Liška J. & Palice Z. (2010): Červený seznam lišejníků České republiky. – Příroda 29: 3-66.
- Macek J., Laštůvka Z., Beneš J. & Traxler L. (2015): Motýli a housenky střední Evropy IV. – Denní motýli. Academia, Prague, 540 pp.

- Macek J., Straka J., Bogusch P., Dvořák L., Bezděčka P. & Tyrner P. (2010): Blanokřídli České republiky I. - Žahadloví. Academia, 672 pp.
- Mikunda A. (2021): Vzdělávací panely o lomu u obce Stará Ves. High school thesis. Masaryk High School of Agriculture and Higher Vocational School Opava, Opava, 47 pp.
- Molitor P. (2011): Návrh plánu péče na území bývalého kamenolomu ve Staré Vsi u Bílovce. Ms., 4 pp.
- Moravec J. (2019): Obojživelníci a plazi České republiky. Academia, 464 pp.
- Moravec J. & Gvoždík V. (2019): Přehlížená rozmanitost slepýšů. – Živa 166(5): 254-256.
- Moučka L. & Chroust P. (2012): Břidlicový průmysl na Moravě a ve Slezsku: V antiklinále a sinklinále vrás. Oživlý svět technických památek [online]. Last actual.: 2012 [cit.: 2021-10-23]. Available from: <http://www.technicke-pamatky.cz/sekce/44/bidlicov-prmysl/>
- Mrázek T. (2012): *Papaver confine* Jord. – mák časný / mak pochybný skorý. Botany.cz [online]. Last actual.: 2012-08-07 [cit.: 2021-10-29]. Available from: <https://botany.cz/cs/papaver-confine/>
- Nelson G.A. (2021): fishmethods: Fishery Science Methods and Models. R package version 1.11-2. Available from: <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=fishmethods>
- Orlíková R. (2021): Bývalý kamenolom se mění v badatelskou oázu, rozsáhlý projekt je rozplánován na 17 let. Polar, Moravskoslezská regionální televize.
- Pilátová V. (2021): Cesta k interaktivní naučné stezce pro žáky gymnázia v opuštěném lomu Stará Ves u Bílovce: případová studie. Diploma thesis. University of Ostrava, Faculty of Science, Ostrava, 155 pp.
- Pokorný Z. (2014): Lišaj pryšcový (*Hyles euphorbiae*). ChovZvířat.cz [online]. Last actual.: 2014-06-10 [cit.: 2021-10-23]. Available from: <http://www.chovzvirat.cz/zvire/1109-lisaj-pryscovy/>
- Ptáček V. (2013): U nás žijící druhy čmeláků. – Včelařství, časopis ČSV 66: 145.
- Řezáč M., Kůrka A., Růžička V. & Heneberg P. (2015): Red List of Czech spiders: 3rd edition, adjusted according to evidence-based national conservation priorities. – Biologia 70(5): 645-666.
- Saska P. & Honek A. (2004): Development of the beetle parasitoids, *Brachinus exulans* and *B. crepitans* (Coleoptera: Carabidae). – Journal of Zoology 262: 29-36.
- Svrček M., Maget J. & Storchová H. (1976): Klíč k určování bezcévných rostlin, SPN, 579 pp.
- Šťastný K., Hudec K. & Bejček V. (2006): Atlas hnízdního rozšíření ptáků v České republice: 2001–2003. Aventinum, 464 pp.
- Štursová H. (2002): Geobotanický průzkum lokality zvýšeného zájmu ochrany přírody v území bývalého lomu. Ms., 18 pp.
- Trnka F. (2012): *Euplagia quadripunctaria* – přástevník kostivalový. Natura Bohemica [online]. Last actual.: 2012-01-23 [cit.: 2021-10-23]. Available from: <http://www.naturabohemica.cz/euplagia-quadripunctaria/>
- Vitáček J. & Janšta P. (2016): Biogeografie a šíření kudlanky nábožné v Evropě. – Živa 163(2): 84-87.
- Zahradník J. (2008): Brouci. Aventinum, 288 pp.
- Zimák J., Losos Z., Novotný P., Dobeš P. & Hladíková J. (2002): Study of vein carbonates and notes to the genesis of the hydrothermal mineralization in the Moravo-Silesian Culm. – J. Geosciences 47(3–4): 111-122.
- Žitníková I. (2014): Analýza araneocenóz lomu ve Staré Vsi u Bílovce. Bachelor thesis. University of Ostrava, Faculty of Science, Ostrava, 56 pp.

**Authors' addresses:** Petr Pyszko, Adam Mikunda, Stanislav Ožana, Michaela Drgová & Veronika Kornová, Department of Biology and Ecology, Faculty of Science, University of Ostrava, Chittussiho 10, 710 00 Ostrava, Czech Republic.  
Corresponding author: [petr.pyszko@osu.cz](mailto:petr.pyszko@osu.cz)  
Veronika Vavrečková, Department of Biology and Environmental Education, Grammar School of Mikuláš Koperník, Bílovec, 17. listopadu 526/18, 743 01 Bílovec.