



ENDOCRINE REGULATIONS, Vol. 53, No. 3, 191-212, 2019

doi:10.2478/enr-2019-0020

# Pheochromocytoma

Frederick-Anthony Farrugia<sup>1</sup>, Anestis Charalampopoulos<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>General Surgeon, Private practice, Athens, Greece; <sup>2</sup>Third Department of Surgery, Attikon University Hospital, Medical School of Athens, Athens, Greece E-mail: farrugiafa@gmail.com

Pheochromocytomas are rare tumors originating in the adrenal medulla. They may be sporadic or in the context of a hereditary syndrome. A considerable number of pheochromocytomas carry germline or somatic gene mutations, which are inherited in the autosomal dominant way. All patients should undergo genetic testing. Symptoms are due to catecholamines over production or to a mass effect. Diagnosis is confirmed by raised plasma or urine metanephrines or normetanephrines. Radiology assists in the tumor location and any local invasion or metastasis. All the patients should have preoperative preparation with  $\alpha$ -blockers and/or other medications to control hypertension, arrhythmia, and volume expansion. Surgery is the definitive treatment. Follow up should be life-long.

Key words: pheochromocytoma, epidemiology, genetics, pathology, symptoms, radiology, treatment, surgery, medication

#### Introduction

Pheochromocytomas are chromaffin cell tumors derived from the neural crest. They are associated with catecholamine production and assessed by a metanephrine and normetanephrine measurements (Pacak and Wimalawansa 2015; Farrugia et al. 2017).

The World Health Organization (WHO) in its 4th edition of the "classification of endocrine tumors" (published in 2017), tumors of the adrenals are presented in two chapters labeled as "Tumours of the adrenal cortex" and "Tumours of the adrenal medulla and extra-adrenal Paraganglia" (EAP) (Lloyd et al. 2017). Tumors of the adrenal medulla are called "pheochromocytomas' (pheos) or "composite pheochromocytomas" (Lloyd et al. 2017). Composite pheochromocytoma is a tumor consisting of pheochromocytoma combined with a developmentally related neurogenic tumor such as ganglioneuroma, ganglioneuroblastoma, neuroblastoma or peripheral

nerve sheath tumor (Juarez et al. 1999; Comstock et al. 2009; Lloyd et al. 2017). Tumors of the extra adrenal paraganglia comprise paraganglioma (head and neck paraganglioma and sympathetic paraganglioma), neuroblastic tumors (neuroblastoma, nodular ganglioneuroblastoma, inter-mixed ganglioneuroblastoma, and ganglioneuroma) and composite paraganglioma (Lloyd et al. 2017). The term "metastatic pheochromocytoma" is used to replace "malignant pheo" (Lloyd et al. 2017). These can occur either sporadically or in the context of the hereditary tumor syndrome (Welander et al. 2012; Burnichon et al. 2016; Crona et al. 2017).

#### History

The first histologically proven case of pheo has been diagnosed by Felix Fraenkel at the University of Freiburg, Germany (Bausch et al. 2017). He was a clinician who described what would be considered the

classical signs and symptoms of pheochromocytoma in a young woman with bilateral adrenal tumors. His colleague and Professor of Pathology, Max Schottelius, performed the histological investigation and he was the first who noticed that when the tumor was fixed in Mueller's solution, which contained chromate, was a "reddish grey" in color when fresh and became brown in Mueller's solution (Bausch et al. 2017; Turchini et al. 2018).

When pathologist cuts the tumor and adds a dichromate fixative, it turns brown-black, owing to oxidation of the catecholamines stored within the granules of the chromaffin cells (Robbins and Kumar 1987; Turchini et al. 2018). To this phenomenon owes its pheo name. This "brown-black" in Greek it is called «φαιός» (pronounced pheos). "Chromo" is the Greek word for color (χρώμα) (pronounced chroma) and cytoma (κύττωμα) is the Greek word for a mass of cells. Thus, pheochromocytoma (φαιοχρωμοκύττωμα, in Greek) denotes "a mass of cells that have brown-black color. The term pheochromocytoma has been coined by Ludwig Pick in 1912, who used it to refer to tumors in the adrenals and at extra-adrenal places (Pick 1912). This characteristic was used in diagnosing pheos roughly from 1912 (Pick 1912) until the widespread use of immunohistochemistry in the 1980s (Turchini et al. 2018).

# **Epidemiology**

Pheos are rare tumors, with an annual incidence of 2 to 9.1 per 1 million adults and may correspond up to 60% of all adrenal incidentalomas (epinephromas) (Farrugia et al. 2016) according to various studies (Kudva et al. 1999; Mantero et al. 2000; Harari and Inabnet 2011; Ramachandran and Rewari 2017; Andrade et al. 2018). The majority are benign but up to 25% may be malignant (Dahia 2017). Males and females are affected equally.

Pheos can appear in any age, however, more commonly in the 3rd to 5th decade of life (Kiernan and Solorzano 2016; Gunawardane and Grossman 2017; Fishbein et al. 2017; Rossitti et al. 2018). Hereditary disease is more likely to present in younger patients (Pamporaki et al. 2017). In children presenting with apparently sporadic pheos, up to 70% of cases as hereditary disease is discovered (Landsberg 2018).

Pheos are responsible for 0.2–0.6 of both systolic and diastolic hypertensions (Manger 2009; Farrugia et al. 2017) and rarely in isolated cases of systolic hypertension (Manger 2009).

However, about 50% of pheos are diagnosed only at autopsy because many of these tumors remain

clinically silent during life (Arnaldi and Boscaro 2012; Mazza et al. 2014). The peril of missing the diagnosis of pheos is strikingly revealed by a Mayo Clinic report of 54 autopsied patients whose pheos contributed to 55% of deaths and was not suspected in 75% of cases (Sutton et al. 1981). Autopsy studies estimate the percentage of undiagnosed pheos from 0.05% to 0.09% (Minno et al. 1954; von Schlegel 1960; McNeil et al. 2000). In MEN 2A patients, cancer develops between second and third decade of the life (Morrison and Nevin 1996).

#### Genetics

Pheos and EAPs have the same embryonic origin, therefore they also share the same genetic characteristics. Pheos/EAPs from a genetic point of view are divided into two categories: 1) inherited and 2) sporadic cases. The 10% rule (10% are bilateral, 10% are extra adrenal, 10% are malignant, 10% are diagnosed in asymptomatic patients and 10% are hereditary) was first introduced by John Graham (Graham 1951). Recently, it has been disputed since newer studies have reported different prevalence (Neumann et al. 2002; Elder et al. 2005; Biggar and Lennard 2013; Leung et al. 2013; Gunawardane and Grossman 2017; Ramachandran and Rewari 2017). The genetic analysis of pheos offer very useful information that can be valuable in screening, diagnosis, and prognostication of hereditary pheos/EAPs (Gunawardane and Grossman 2017).

According to the Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guidelines (ESCPG), the pheos/EAPs patients should "engaged in shared decision making for genetic testing" (Plouin et al. 1997; Lenders et al. 2014). Concerning the genetic test that should be done in pheos/ EAPs patients, guidelines can be found in the "Consensus Statement on next-generation-sequencing-based diagnostic testing of hereditary pheos/EAPs" (Toledo et al. 2017).

Genetics of pheos. Pheos may be either sporadic or a manifestation of hereditary (familial) syndromes, which are transmitted in autosomal dominant fashion (Gunawardane and Grossman 2017).

Up to 70% of pheos/EAPs carry germline or somatic mutations in one of the numerous predisposing genes (Weinstein et al. 2013; Burnichon et al. 2016; Gunawardane and Grossman 2017; Khatami et al. 2018). The incidence of mutations in Pheos/EAPs is by far higher than the 10% or less for the rest of cancer types (Dahia 2014; Favier et al. 2015; Dahia 2017). Out of this 70% of hereditary pheos/EAPs, germline mutations are responsible for approxi-

mately 40% of cases, while somatic mutations are responsible for 30% (Amar et al. 2012; Burnichon et al. 2012; Pacak and Wimalawansa 2015; Burnichon et al. 2016; Khatami et al. 2018). One third of these mutations are caused by mutations in the VHL gene (Zhikrivetskaya et al. 2017). Until now, more than 30 genes associated with inherited pheos/EAPs have been discovered (Zhikrivetskaya et al. 2017).

The germline genes are: RET, NF1, VHL, succinate dehydrogenases (SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, and SDHAF2), TMEM127, PHD1, PHD2, HIF2A, FH, Myc-associated factor (MAX), and KIF1B (Siddiqi et al. 2012; Bayley et al. 2010; Dahia 2014). The somatic genes are: VHL, EPAS1, CSDE1, MAX, HRAS, NF1, RET, and possibly KIF1B (Siddiqi et al. 2012; Crona et al. 2013; Fishbein et al. 2017; Mercado-Asis et al. 2018; Zhikrivetskaya et al. 2017; Khatami et al. 2018).

The syndromes that are associated with pheos are: 1) Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia 2 (MEN2) which is associated with RET mutations, 2) von Hippel-Lindau syndrome (VHL), which is due to VHL gene mutations and 3) Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) which is due to NF1 gene mutations (Table 1) (Burnichon et al. 2016; Farrugia et al. 2017). Germline mutations occur almost always in patients with the above-mentioned syndromes. Even sporadic cases carry high germline mutation (Gunawardane and Grossman 2017).

SDHB mutations that are frequent in patients with malignant pheos are associated with shorter survival (Amar et al. 2007).

In recent years, we had witnessed tremendous advances in molecular biology. As a result of these advances, scientists have been trying to categorize pheos/EAPs into various categories. For taxonomy purposes the molecular genetic term "cluster" is used. Some use the two clusters (Gunawardane and Grossman 2017; Dahia 2017; Mercado-Asis et al. 2018; Khatami et al. 2018) or even three clusters taxonomy (Bjorklund et al. 2016; Crona et al. 2017; Fishbein et al. 2017). Fishbein and co-authors (2017) in their study added and a fourth cluster the "cortical admixture but this is disputed by others (Flynn et al. 2015; Crona et al. 2017). In this presentation, we prefer to use the second taxonomy (three clusters), since it is based on the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) (Weinstein et al. 2013; Bjorklund et al. 2016).

The tree clusters are: 1) hypoxia/pseudohypoxia, 2) Wnt signaling pathway and 3) kinase signaling group (Weingarten et al. 2010; Bjorklund et al. 2016; Crona et al. 2017).

Hypoxia/pseudohypoxia pathway. Back in 1927, Otto Warburg, a German biochemist, was the first who noticed an odd characteristic in the metabo-

lism of cancer cells that bears his name (Warburg phenomenon). He discovered that tumor cells rely on anaerobic ATP production through glycolysis, even in the presence of normal oxygen levels in the body (Warburg et al. 1927). Usually the cells under hypoxia react to the lower O<sub>2</sub> in a series of reactions, which are called as the "hypoxia response". The term pseudohypoxia refers to the activation of this response in the presence of normal partial pressure of  $O_2$  in the body. The pseudohypoxia response is a common feature of solid tumors and is characterized by increased glycolytic metabolism and promotion of angiogenesis (Majmundar et al. 2010; Huang et al. 2014). Therefore, the induction of the hypoxia pathway in tumors underlies the so-called glycolytic shift, which is a typical feature of tumors (Majmundar et al. 2010).

The hypoxia/pseudohypoxia cluster is divided in two subgroups. The first is related to germline mutations that affect Krebs cycle and especially in the succinate dehydrogenase subunits (SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD and SDHAF2), the fumarate hydratase (FH), the malate dehydrogenase 2 (MDH2), and isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) (Crona et al. 2017; Mercado-Asis et al. 2018). The other subgroup involves mutation VHL/EPAS1 genes (Crona et al. 2017). The second subgroup shows a bigger rate of angiogenesis and over expression of vascular endothelial-vessel growth factor (VEGF), which increases neo-angiogenesis and its receptors (Amar et al. 2012).

Because the Krebs cycle (KC) (or tricarboxylic acid cycle or the citric acid cycle) has a major implication hypoxia/pseudohypoxia response (Majmundar et al. 2010; Raimundo et al. 2011; Evenepoel et al. 2015), we shall comment on this with some details. KC is a cyclic series of enzymatically catalyzed reactions carried out by multienzyme systems (Halkertson 1988). The KC cycle is a central pathway in the metabolism of sugars, lipids and amino acids (Scheffler 2008). KC is an amphibolic pathway; it is both anabolic and catabolic. The reactions of the KC cycle occur within the inner membrane of mitochondria, in the mitochondrial matrix. Pyruvate, which is formed either from glycolysis or lactate or by transamination of alanine, can be oxidized by an enzyme complex the pyruvate dehydrogenase to acetyl CoA and CO<sub>2</sub>. The first step of the cycle is the formation of citrate via the condensation of a four-carbon unit, oxalo-acetate, with two carbon unit, the acetyl CoA. In the sixth step, fumarate and a FADH2 are formed by succinate and FAD (flavin adenine dinucleotide) this reaction is catalyzed by the enzyme succinate dehydrogenase (SDH). In the seventh step, fumarate and H<sub>2</sub>O react and an L-malate is formed a reaction which is catalyzed by

the enzyme fumarate hydratase (FH). In the eighth step the reaction is catalyzed by the enzyme  $NAD^+$  linked malate dehydrogenase and an oxaloacetate is formed by an L-malate and the cycle starts again (Halkertson 1988).

Mutations on the above-mentioned enzymes lead to accumulation of succinate, fumarate and L-malate. These metabolites have oncogenic effects through inhibition of enzymes involved in cell signaling and chromatin maintenance (Raimundo et al. 2011; Castro-Vega et al. 2014; Evenepoel et al. 2015; Crona et al. 2017; Toledo and Jimenez 2018).

At increase levels succinate and fumarate deactivate KG-dependent dioxygenases. These enzymes deactivate the Hypoxia Induced Factor (HIF)-propyl hydroxylases, which degrade HIFs (Briere et al. 2005; Pollard et al. 2005; Selak et al. 2005; Lendvai et al. 2014; Evenepoel et al. 2015; Favier et al. 2015; Jochmanova and Pacak 2016; Mercado-Asis et al. 2018). Therefore, high concentration of succinate and fumarate result in the activation of hypoxia/pseudohypoxia pathway and the activation of the oncogenesis pathway (Selak et al. 2005; Lendvai et al. 2014; Evenepoel et al. 2015; Pillai et al. 2016; Mercado-Asis et al. 2018).

In addition to Pheos/EAP, SDH mutations are implicated in the pathogenesis of other tumors such as gastrointestinal stromal tumors, renal-cell carcinomas, and pituitary adenomas (Evenepoel et al. 2015).

The pseudohypoxia, the cellular response leads to epigenetic alterations in HIF target genes that affect multiple cellular processes including angiogenesis, migration, apoptosis, proliferation and tissue invasion (Semenza 2003, Favier et al. 2015, Gunawardane and Grossman 2017).

HIF is a heterodimer protein, which is composed of two units, O<sub>2</sub> depended subunit "α" (alpha) expressed in all cells and O2 independent and continuously expressed "β" (beta) subunit (Jochmanova et al. 2013; Huang et al. 2014). HIF-α dimers are of three kinds, HIF-1a, HIF-2a, or HIF-3a. These make heterodimers with HIF-1b and form a heterodimeric complex, which can recognize and bind to hypoxia response elements in the genome (Huang et al. 2014). HIF-1a is ubiquitously expressed in all cells, HIF-2α is expressed preferentially in the endothelium, heart, kidney, gastrointestinal epithelium, lung, and neural crest cell derivatives (Wiesener et al. 2003; Keith et al. 2012), and HIF-3α expressed in the thymus, cerebellum, Purkinje cells, and the corneal epithelium of the eye (Makino et al. 2001).

HIF was initially identified as a regulator of erythropoietin production (Majmundar et al. 2010). The

HIF when activated promotes the synthesis of erythropoietin, which results in increase in 1) red blood cell mass, 2) VEGF, which promotes neo-angiogenesis, 3) tyrosine hydroxylase, which is involved in the control of ventilation regulated by the Carotid Body, 4) regulates aerobic glycolysis, 5) prevents cancer cells from damage of hypoxic stress, 6) increases glucose uptake and lactate production.

HIF-1 blocks tricarboxylic acid cycle and oxidative phosphorylation. The HIF-1 pathway decreases mitochondrial biogenesis and itself induction of mitochondrial autophagy, as a consequence, reactive oxygen species production is decreased and benefits cancer cell survival in prolonged hypoxic condition of the cancer cells.

HIF increases triglycerides storage and fatty acids synthesis. It also suppresses carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1 and acyl-CoA synthase long-chain family member 1, which facilitate fatty acid import and oxidation, respectively resulting in blocking of fatty acids oxidation, in mitochondria (Zhu and Bunn 1999; Semenza 2010; Huang et al. 2014).

In contrary to the above, HIF is necessary for embryonic healthy development. In mammals, the embryogenesis quite often occurs under low  $\rm O_2$  concentrations (1–5%) and consequently HIF activity is essential for the normal development (Huang et al. 2014). Various HIFs are essential for the development of blood, placenta, heart, and vascular system (Dunwoodie 2009; Kenchegowda et al. 2017). Germline inactivation of HIF subunits results in non-viable embryos by mid-gestation with structural defects in each of these organ systems (Dunwoodie 2009).

HIFs play also a protective role in the coronary diseases, peripheral artery disease, wound healing and are critical for the transplant's survival (Semenza 2012). HIFs are also necessary for the long-term survival for people who live in high-altitude mountains (Majmundar et al. 2010).

HIFs contribute to the pathogenesis of various diseases. These are: hereditary erythrocytosis, traumatic shock, pulmonary arterial hypertension, obstructive sleep apnea, and cancer (Semenza 2012).

In cancer, HIFs activate transcription of genes that play key roles in the critical aspects of cancer biology, including stem cell maintenance (Wang et al. 2011), cell immortalization, epithelial-mesenchymal transition (Mak et al. 2010), genetic instability (Huang et al. 2007), vascularization (Liao and Johnson 2007), glucose metabolism (Luo et al. 2011), pH regulation (Swietach et al. 2007), immune evasion (Lukashev et al. 2007), invasion and metastasis (Huang et al. 2007), and radiation resistance (Huang et al. 2007).

Wnt signaling pathway. Wnt proteins are a class of proteins, which mediate communication between the cells, which are either adjacent or located in a short distance where they bind with the Frizzled/ Lrp heterodimeric receptor complexes (Wiese et al. 2018). The Wnt proteins play an important role in the development, tissue homeostasis, and organogenesis and are important for the cell survival, migration, polarization, and chemotaxis (Karvonen et al. 2018). The Wnt signaling pathway is dysregulated in various diseases such as cancer, cardiovascular diseases, bone diseases, hereditary colorectal cancer, intellectual disability syndrome, vitreoretinopathy, neuropsychiatric diseases, and other PCP-related diseases (Katoh and Katoh 2017), ("PCP-related diseases" to mean "hereditary diseases associated with the germline mutations in the PCP-related genes as well as cancers with aberrant expression or functions of PCP-related molecules" [personal communication with professor Katoh M.])

In the medical literature, signaling mutations in the Wnt cascade appear only in sporadic cases (Crona et al. 2017; Fishbein et al. 2017) with the mutations occurring exclusively in tumor cells. They are associated with mutually exclusive somatic mutations in CSDE1 or somatic gene fusions UBTF-MAML3 that cause activation of the Wnt and Hedgehog signaling (Crona et al. 2017). This kind of tumors is regarded as more aggressive (Fishbein et al. 2017).

Kinase signaling group. Kinase signaling group consists of germline or somatic mutations in RET, NF1, TMEM127, MAX, HRAS and KIF1B $\beta$  (Crona et al. 2017; Zhikrivetskaya et al. 2017). These mutations lead to the abnormal activation of various signaling pathways associated with kinase-like proteins (Zhikrivetskaya et al. 2017). These proteins are associated with PI3 kinase pathways the "PI3K/ AKT/mTOR and MAPK/ERK" which when activated play important roles in tumorigenesis of a wide array of tumors, including pheos/EAPs (Morrison 2012). The MAPK pathway's responsibility in the pathogenesis of pheos/EAPs has been documented by a number of studies (Hrascan et al. 2008; Crona et al. 2013).

Familial diseases of this group include; MEN2, which occurs as a result of gain-of-function mutations in RET proto oncogene (rearranged during transfection). This proto oncogene encodes a transmembrane receptor tyrosine kinase involved in the regulation of cell proliferation and apoptosis (Bryant et al. 2003).

Neurofibromatosis 1 is due to inactivation of NF1 gene, which leads to activation of RAS/MAPK and PI3/AKT signaling pathways and familial pheos/

EAP related to TMEM127 or MAX (Zhikrivetskaya et al. 2017). TMEM127 mutation activates the mTOR pathway, while MAX mutation has been established to affect the downstream mTOR pathway via the MYCMAX-MXD1 network (Gunawardane and Grossman 2017).

The pheos associated with MEN2 are usually benign and bilateral. Usually an overproduction of epinephrine and consequently metanephrine is detected in the plasma and urine of these patients (Gunawardane and Grossman 2017).

The kinase signaling subtype has predominantly been observed in pheos, which also over express the enzyme "Phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase" (PNMT) (Goldstein et al. 1972; Gunawardane and Grossman 2017). This enzyme is found primarily in the adrenal medulla and converts the norepinephrine (noradrenaline) to epinephrine (adrenaline) (Goldstein et al. 1972).

# **Pathology**

The histologic appearance of pheos tumors is variable, they appearance varies from small to large polygonal cells having abundant basophilic to eosinophilic granular cytoplasm and pleomorphic nuclei. The cells are usually disposed in small nests or irregular trabeculae demarcated by a delicate fibrous stroma (Robbins and Kumar 1987). Pheochromocytoma is usually well circumscribed and unencapsulated. The cut surface is pink, grey, or tan and can be easily distinguished from the bright yellow of adrenal cortical tumors (Landsberg 2018).

The malignant pheos are defined only by the documented presence of metastases in non-chromaffin cells and less emphasis has been placed on the local invasion (DeLellis et al. 2004, Goffredo et al. 2013).

There is no single histologic feature of pheos that will consistently predict clinical outcome (Thompson 2002; Maitra 2010). Neither tumor size, mitotic rate, nor vascular or capsular invasion is a sufficient discriminating feature, which could serve to distinguish the benign from malignant tumors (Sternberg et al. 1999). Metastasis may appear even 5 years after the initial diagnosis (Goldstein et al. 1999). Thus, all pheos may display metastatic potential (Bozin et al. 2017).

All pheos display similar basic histopathological characteristics although some differences between familial tumors have been distinguished (Chen et al. 2010).

Kimura et al. (2014) in a study by the "Phaeochromocytoma Study Group in Japan (PHEO-J)", pheos

were analyzed using a system called grading system for adrenal pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma (GAPP). The tumors were scored based on GAPP criteria as follows: histological pattern, cellularity, comedo-type necrosis, capsular/vascular invasion, Ki67 labelling index, and catecholamine type. All tumors were scored from 0 to 10 points and were graded as one of the three types: well-differentiated (WD, 0–2 points), moderately differentiated (MD, 3–6 points) and poorly differentiated (PD, 7–10 points). They found that there was a significant negative correlation between the GAPP-score and the interval until metastasis. In this study the number of years until metastasis after the initial operation was 5.5±2.6 years (Kimura et al. 2014).

#### Symptoms

The key to diagnosing of pheos, is the first to think of it (Manger 2009). Similar symptoms and signs with that of pheos are manifested by numerous other clinical conditions and therefore, pheos are often referred as the "Great Mimic' (Chen et al. 2010) or the "Great Masquerader" (Reyes et al. 2018).

The symptoms are caused either by catecholamines overproduction, local pressure or metastasis. Side effects of long-standing hypertension may precipitate end organs damage in heart, kidney, eyes, central nervous system and deregulate glucose metabolism causing diabetes (Baguet et al. 2004; Pogorzelski et al. 2014).

In a series of patients with pheos discovered at autopsy, 75% died suddenly from myocardial infarction or a cerebrovascular catastrophe. Approximately one third of these sudden deaths occurred during or immediately after the unrelated minor operations (Sutton et al. 1981).

There is no single clinical finding that has significant value in diagnosis or excluding pheochromocytoma (Pourian et al. 2016). In two recent metanalyses (Pourian et al. 2016; Soltani et al. 2017), the symptoms with the greatest "pooled sensitivity" were hypertension (80.7%), headache (60.4%), palpitation (59.3%) and ephidrosis (Farrugia 2017) (diaphoresis) (52.4%). The definition of orthostatic hypotension varied between the studies and it ranged between 23–50%. Other less common signs and symptoms are fatigue, nausea, weight loss, constipation, flushing, fever, anxiety, pallor, tremulousness, weight loss, chest and abdominal pain, visual blurring, papilledema, heat intolerance, hyperglycemia, nausea and vomiting, transitory electrocardiographic changes, polyuria, and polydipsia (Adler et al. 2008; Chen et al. 2010). The classic triad of ephidrosis (diaphoresis), palpitations and headache have a reported sensitivity of 89% and specificity of 67% for pheos and in the presence of hypertension 91% and 94%, respectively (Stein and Black 1991).

Rarely can appear as "pheochromocytoma crisis", which is a live threatening condition (Tschuor et al. 2014), which presents with severe hypertension to circulatory failure and shock with subsequent involvement of multiple organ systems, including the cardiovascular, pulmonary, neurological, gastrointestinal, renal, hepatic, and metabolic systems (Guerrero et al. 2009; Scholten et al. 2013; Tschuor et al. 2014). Emergency surgery is associated with higher mortality and morbidity and it is recommended an initial stabilization of the acute crisis followed by sufficient α-blockade before surgery (Scholten et al. 2013; Crona et al. 2017; Oak et al. 2018).

# Pheochromocytoma in children

The average age at presentation of pheos in children is 11-13 years with a male preponderance of 2:1 (Ludwig et al. 2007; Waguespack et al. 2010; Bausch et al. 2013; Bholah and Bunchman 2017). In hypertensive children up to 1.7% have a catecholamine secreting neoplasm (Wyszynska et al. 1992). Sustained hypertension is the most common symptoms in 60-90% of children with pheos (Ludwig et al. 2007). Other symptoms are headaches in up to 67%, nausea, sweating, palpitations, pallor, and flushing in 47-57% of children (Lenders et al. 2005; Ludwig et al. 2007). It is recommended to perform a genetic screening and lifelong follow-up in all patients (Bholah and Bunchman 2017). Surgery is the gold standard (Bholah and Bunchman 2017), preoperative preparation is the same as with adults (Waguespack et al. 2010; Bholah and Bunchman 2017; Pamporaki et al. 2017).

#### Pheochromocytoma in pregnancy

During pregnancy, the occurrence of pheos is even more rare and range from 1 in 15 000, to 1 in 54 000 pregnancies (Harrington et al. 1999). If it remains undiagnosed and untreated, maternal and fetal mortality amounts to 40–50% (Dean 1958; Ahlawat et al. 1999). In a study by Wing et al. (2015), they estimated the overall maternal mortality in case of pheo during pregnancy was 9.8% (95% C.I. 0.054–0.17) and the fetal 16% (95% C.I. 0.1–0.24).

Pregnancy related hypertension develops after 20 weeks thus if a pregnant woman become hypertensive

before this time, suspicion for pheos should be raised. Paroxysmal episodes of hypertension occurring throughout the entire pregnancy, severe headaches, sweating, palpitation and orthostatic hypotension are clues for pheo (Nakajima et al. 2011). Biochemical tests are the same for non-pregnant women.

Radiology for localization and staging should be done only after positive biochemical tests. MRI and ultrasound are the only imaging modalities that can be used safely during pregnancy to localize the tumor (van der Weerd et al. 2017). The preparation for operation is the same as for non-pregnant. In a study by Burgess (1979), women who were pre-treated by a-adrenergic blockade had a lower maternal and fetal mortality than those who have had no α-adrenergic blockade. The second trimester is the safest period to do surgery during pregnancy because of the risk of spontaneous abortion in the first trimester (Yumi 2008). Laparoscopic adrenalectomy is safe in pregnancy (Choi et al. 2006). It has been recommended that vaginal delivery is best avoided in pregnant women with pheos (Schenker and Granat 1982).

### Differential diagnosis

(Giannini et al. 1978, Manger 2009)

The differential diagnoses of pheochromocytomas include:

- 1. Anxiety disorders, including Benzodiazepine withdrawal syndrome.
- 2. Extra adrenal paragangliomas.
- 3. Von Hippel-Lindau Disease.
- 4. Essential hypertension.
- 5. Hyperthyroidism.
- 6. Insulinoma.
- 7. Mercury poisoning.
- 8. Paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia.
- 9. Renovascular hypertension.
- 10. Carcinoid.
- 11. Baroreflex failure.
- 12. Postural tachycardia syndrome.
- 13. Sleep apnea.
- 14. Renal failure.
- 15. Pseudopheochromocytoma (Severe Paroxysmal Hypertension) (Eisenhofer et al. 2018).

The cases from 10 to 15 may reveal elevated plasma and urine catecholamines and their metabolites (Manger 2009).

# **Biochemical tests**

Catecholamines continually leak from the secretary granules and are inactivated by the enzyme cate-

chol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) the norepinephrine is transformed into free normetanephrine and the epinephrine into free metanephrine (Schulz et al. 2004). Free normetanephrine and metanephrine circulate in the plasma in low concentrations and have short half-lives, undergoing further sulphate conjugation by sulfotransferase isoenzyme (Eisenhofer et al. 2004a; Schulz et al. 2004). In contrast to the free metabolites, sulphated metanephrines are present in 20–40-fold higher concentrations, have a longer half-life and are eliminated by urinary excretion (Comstock et al. 2009).

According to the European Society Clinical Practice Guideline (ESCPG), it is recommended that the initial biochemical testing should be plasma fractionated metanephrines or 24-hour urinary fractionated metanephrines (Lenders et al. 2014; McHenry 2017; Megias et al. 2016). If these are elevated the diagnosis is established (Lenders et al. 2002a,b; Eisenhofer et al. 2003; Lenders et al. 2014; McHenry 2017; Megias et al. 2016). Exception to this, there are small tumors (<1cm), which do not release catecholamines, and the exceptional cases of tumors which only produce dopamine (Eisenhofer et al. 2003; Pappachan et al. 2014; van Berkel et al. 2014; Pacak and Wimalawansa 2015; Megias M et al. 2016).

In the study of Lenders and Eisenhofer (2017), they have defined the upper cut-off values for plasma normetanephrines to range from 0.47 nmol/l in childhood to 1.05 nmol/l for >60 years old, metanephrines 0.45 nmol/l and for 3-methoxytyramine to be at 0.10 nmol/l. ESCPG recommends using liquid chromatography with mass spectrometric or electro-chemical detection methods rather than other laboratory methods (Lenders et al. 2014). In the study of Guerrero et al. (2009), there is a conclusion that the hormones levels correlate directly with the tumor size.

Plasma metanephrines test regarded as superior to the urine test (Lenders et al. 2002a,b; Eisenhofer et al. 2018), besides measurements of plasma metanephrines result in less false-positive test results than those of urinary metanephrines (Lenders and Eisenhofer 2017). Sensitivity of plasma metanephrines in the literature ranges from 89.5% to 100% and specificity from 79.4% to 97.6%. The urine metanephrine test shows sensitivity from 85.7% to 97.1% and specificity from 68.6% to 95.1% (Lenders et al. 2002a,b; Hickman et al. 2009; Unger et al. 2012). False-positive results are common, with a rate of 19–21% for both plasma free and urine fractionated metanephrines (Lenders et al. 2002a,b; Eisenhofer et al. 2003; Yu and Wei 2010; van Berkel et al. 2014; Lenders and

Eisenhofer 2017). Unfortunately, normal values do not exclude pheochromocytoma (Sinclair et al. 1991; Stewart et al. 1993; Shawar and Svec 1996; Eisenhofer et al. 2003).

Blood sampling should be performed at a supine position after about 15–20 minutes of i.v. catheter insertion, after overnight fasting (Eisenhofer et al. 2003). Food, coffee, caffeinated beverages, strenuous physical activity or smoking are not permitted at least for about 8–12 hours before the testing. Acetaminophen should not be taken for 5 days before the test because it can interfere with the plasma normetanephrine assay (Francis and Korobkin 1996).

The elevation of plasma metanephrines of more than 4-fold above the upper reference limit is associated with close to 100% probability of the tumor (Eisenhofer et al. 2003). Significant metanephrine elevations imply epinephrine excess, which localizes tumors to the adrenal medulla (Galati et al. 2015).

In patients with plasma metanephrine values above the upper reference limit and less than 4-fold above that limit, the clonidine suppression test combined with measurements of plasma catecholamines and normetanephrine may prove useful (Eisenhofer et al. 2003). A clonidine suppression test that does not suppress the elevated plasma normetanephrine levels to <40% after three hours of administration has a very high sensitivity and specificity (100% and 96%, respectively) for diagnosing the tumor in such a situation (Maurea et al. 1996; van Berkel et al. 2014).

Very rarely pheos is present with normal metanephrines (Proye et al. 1986; Mirallie et al. 2001; Pappachan et al. 2014; Bozin et al. 2017). Pure dopamine secreting tumors are rare and, therefore, plasma dopamine and its metabolite 3-methoxytyramine are not routinely tested in every case of suspected pheos in most laboratories (Pappachan et al. 2014). However, these tests can be useful in some cases, especially metastatic disease, as metastatic tissue lacks the mature enzymes necessary for the synthesis of catecholamines (van Berkel et al. 2014). Elevated levels of plasma 3-methoxytyramine have been suggested to be a very sensitive marker of malignant tumor when compared to the assays for plasma/urinary dopamine levels (Eisenhofer et al. 2012; van Berkel et al. 2014).

The clinical presentation of most documented dopamine secreting pheos is commonly incidental with patients being asymptomatic and normotensive (Mirallie et al. 2001). Eisenhofer et al. (2005) defines dopamine secreting pheos as tumors that produce dopamine or its metabolite 3-methoxyty-

ramine greater than the combined concentrations of noradrenaline and adrenaline (or their metabolites).

In a study of Eisenhofer et al. (2011), they have found that increase only in methoxytyramine (indicating dopamine production) characterized 70% of patients with mutations of the genes encoding SDH. Patients with NF1 and MEN2 could be discriminated from those with VHL and SDH gene mutations in 99% of cases by the combination of normetanephrine and metanephrine. Measurements of plasma methoxytyramine discriminated patients with SDH mutations from those with VHL mutations in an additional 78% of cases.

Chromogranin A (CgA) is part of the family of granins, which are acidic glycoproteins that represent an important part of secretory dense core granules. The first chromogranin that was discovered was in adrenal medulla catecholamine secretion granules and it was named as CgA (Mirica et al. 2018).

Subsequently it was observed that serum CgA increases in patients with pheos/EAPs, as well as in other hormone secreting or non-hormone secreting neuroendocrine tumors such as gastroenteropancreatic tumors, medullary thyroid carcinoma, pituitary tumors (except of prolactinomas), neuroblastomas (Plesoianu et al. 2017). The largest amounts of CgA are within the neuroendocrine cells of the adrenal medulla and in the storage granules of the sympathetic nerves (Mirica et al. 2018). Proton pump inhibitors can raise the levels of CgA to 2-3 times (Gut et al. 2016) other conditions that can raise the CgA levels are hepatic and cardiac insufficiency, kidney dysfunction, rheumatoid arthritis, inflammatory bowel disease, and atrophic gastritis (Plesoianu et al. 2017).

Plasma levels of CgA are recommended for diagnosis and monitoring of treatment and long-term evolution in pheos (Plouin et al. 2016; Mirica et al. 2018). The ESCPG suggest assaying for CgA preoperatively in patients with normal preoperative plasma or urinary levels of metanephrine and normetanephrine (Plouin et al. 2016).

# Radiology

Most pheos should be evaluated by anatomical imaging [computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)] followed by functional imaging (nuclear medicine modalities) (Shulkin et al. 2006). Imaging studies are important for tumor localization and delineation of its extent (Ramachandran and Rewari 2017). They are also important in

diagnosing multiple primary tumors and/or metastatic lesions in patients with various genetic disorders (Ramachandran and Rewari 2017).

In a study of Mantero et al. (2000), pheos constituted the 11% of all epinephromas (Farrugia et al. 2016) (adrenal incidentalomas).

Only clinically manifested pheos are already several centimeters in size and can be detected by ultrasound in 90% of cases (Hofer 1999). On ultrasound, pheos have a variable appearance ranging from solid (75% in one case series) to mixed cystic and solid to cystic (Bowerman et al. 1981).

CT is the radiological modality of choice for localizing pheos (Lenders et al. 2014). A CT scan can show tumors >1 cm in size with 87% to 100% sensitivity (Townsend et al. 2012). Because of their varied clinical, imaging, and pathologic appearances, accurate diagnosis of pheos can be challenging (Leung et al. 2013).

Gross features of pheos in a CT scan described in the radiology literature are cystic regions (Melicow 1977), calcifications (Melicow 1977), fibrosis (Melicow 1977), necrosis (Dunnick and Korobkin 2002), and internal hemorrhage (Dunnick and Korobkin 2002). Pheos are often well-defined masses with attenuation values similar to those of muscle tissue, measuring approximately 30-40 HU (Miyake et al. 1989). Sometimes though may have attenuation values less than 10 HU and also may display more than 60% washout of contrast agents on delayed scanning. Pheos should be included with adenomas in the differential diagnosis both for masses with low attenuation on unenhanced CT and for lesions exhibiting a high percentage of contrast washout (Blake et al. 2003).

I.V. administration of non-ionic contrast material for CT is a safe practice for patients with pheos and related tumors even without  $\alpha$ -blocking medication (Bessell-Browne and O'Malley 2007).

The adrenals can be delineated in nearly all the patients with MRI (Moon et al. 1983; Schultz et al. 1984; Chang et al. 1987; Newhouse 1990; Lee 1998). An MRI evaluation of the adrenals should usually consist of both T1- and T2-weighted images (Lee 1998). Dynamic serial T1-weighted images obtained after intravenous administration of gadolinium diethylene-triamine penta-acetic acid (Gd-DTPA) are used to show enhancement patterns of adrenal masses (Krestin et al. 1989).

The classic imaging feature for pheos is a "light-bulb" bright lesion on T2-weighted imaging comparable to the signal intensity of CSF (Elsayes et al. 2004).

MRI should be performed in large tumors prior to surgery to assess vascular invasion (Schteingart et al. 2005). MRI is the modality of choice for children and pregnant women (Harari and Inabnet 2011).

# Functional imaging (FI)

Nuclear medicine modalities can be categorized into those that are specific for the catecholamine synthesis/secretion pathway and those that are nonspecific. They reflect other aspects of tumor pathophysiology (Shulkin et al. 2006). Shulkin et al. (2006) proposed that FI be performed in all patients with extra-adrenal, metastatic, or multiple pheos, norepinephrine secreting pheos, and epinephrine-secreting pheos larger than 5 cm in diameter. They also advise FI in post-surgery patients when biochemical testing in inconclusive and in particular when anatomical imaging is negative (Shulkin et al. 2006). Various substances have been used for functional imaging of pheos.

Functional imaging examinations are performed using 131I- and 123I-metaiodobenzylguanidine (MIBG), 111In-pentetreotide, and several PET ligands including 18F-fluorodopamine, 18F-dihydroxy-phenylalanine (DOPA), and 18F-FDG (FDG) 131I- and 123I-Metaiodobenzylguanidine (Ilias and Pacak 2004; Shulkin et al. 2006; Havekes et al. 2008; Leung et al. 2013).

The ESCPG (Plouin et al. 2016) suggests screening for metastatic tumors by [18F]-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography (FDG PET/CT), if possible, preoperatively in cases of 3-methoxytyramine (3MT) in plasma or urine; and in patients carrying germline mutations of the SDHB gene.

It is recommended to start with the following specific FI modalities: MIBG scintigraphy or PET with 18-F-DA, 18-F-DOPA, or [11C]meta-hydroxy-ephedrine and if in case that these turn out to be negative, nonspecific modalities (somatostatin receptor scintigraphy or FDG-PET) should follow (Shulkin et al. 2006).

In the metastatic cases, 18F (DOPA) and 18fluorodopamine-PET (FDA) were the FI tests most successful at identifying disease missed by CT/MRI, providing additional benefit in 6/60 (10%) and 5/78 (6.4%) cases, respectively (Jimenez and Waguespack 2015).

Imaging for VHL, NF1 or RET mutations is preferred the use in 18F-FDA or 18F-FDOPA. In the case of VHL, up to 80% of pheos tend to be bilateral and 18F-FDA is superior to MIBG due to the low

expression of noradrenalin membrane transporter in these case (Pacak et al. 2001a; Ilias and Pacak 2004; Havekes et al. 2010; Renard et al. 2011; Megias et al. 2016).

#### Treatment

The evaluation and management of patient with pheos should be multidisciplinary with appropriate expertise to ensure favorable outcomes (Lenders et al. 2014). Adequate preoperative evaluation is crucial before surgery for patients with pheos (Pappachan et al. 2014; Gregory et al. 2017; Naranjo et al. 2017). Preoperative evaluation should include a thorough patient's and family history, complete blood count, metabolic profile, plasma metanephrines, ECG and cardiac ultrasound (to check for cardiac compromise).

Pheo has pathophysiological characteristics of low blood volume, hypertension, and high blood concentrations of catecholamine which can lead to catecholamine cardiomyopathy (Harari and Inabnet 2011; Renard et al. 2011; Pappachan et al. 2014; Sanford et al. 2015; Gregory et al. 2017; Ramachandran and Rewari 2017; Weiner et al. 2017). Cardiomyopathy due to pheo is reversible (Pappachan et al. 2014). Therefore, hypertension control and improvement of blood vessel capacity are extremely important for improving surgical safety before surgery (Li and Yang 2014; Pappachan et al. 2014; Naranjo et al. 2017). In order to correct catecholamine-induced volume contraction and to prevent severe hypotension after tumor removal, it is advisable to administer preoperative high sodium diet and increase oral fluids intake and/ or I.V. fluids (Lenders et al. 2014; Bednarczuk et al. 2016). Surgery is the only definitive treatment of pheos.

### Preparation for surgery

Intra-operative risks must be kept to a minimum by appropriate pre-operative medical treatment to block the effects of catecholamines for at least 10-14 days before surgery (Pacak 2007; Pacak et al. 2007; Lenders et al. 2014; Mazza et al. 2014; Bednarczuk et al. 2016; Ramachandran and Rewari 2017), some authors recommend up to 21 days (Pappachan et al. 2014). Adequate pre-operative  $\alpha$ -blockade has been proven to reduce the number of perioperative complications to less than 3% (Goldstein et al. 1999).

The three perioperative phases most associated with hypertensive episodes are endotracheal intubation, the creation of pneumoperitoneum (in cases of laparoscopic adrenalectomy), and manipulation of the adrenal gland (Kercher et al. 2005; Bruynzeel et

al. 2010; Weingarten et al. 2010; Brunaud et al. 2014). Significant hypotensive episodes also can occur and are associated with a sudden decrease in catecholamine levels after removal of the tumor (Kinney et al. 2005; Ramachandran and Rewari 2017).

Alpha-blockade has been the standard management preoperatively to prevent intraoperative hemodynamic instability during resection of a pheos (Lenders et al. 2014; Pappachan et al. 2014; Malec et al. 2017).

Oral phentolamine is not used any more for preoperative preparation (Lentschener et al. 2011), it is reserved only for emergencies in the IV form (Lentschener et al. 2011; Renard et al. 2011; Pappachan et al. 2014; PDQ Board 2018). Alpha-adrenoreceptor blockers that are used most often for preoperative preparation are phenoxybenzamine (phen) and selective competitive al-adrenoceptor blocking agents, such as terazosin and doxazosin (dox) that have shorter half-lives and lower the risk for postoperative hypotension (Chen et al. 2010). In the study of Malec et al. (2017), no clinical differences between phen and dox have been shown. Side effects of a1-adrenergic blockers include postural hypotension, syncope, and nasal congestion and they necessitate careful titration (Lentschener et al. 2011).

Alternatives to phen for preoperative blockade of catecholamine induced vasoconstriction include Calcium Channel Blockers (CC-Bs). CC-Bs also have been shown to lessen the risk of intraoperative hemodynamic instability (Brunaud et al. 2014) but it is controversial if one regimen is superior (Brunaud et al. 2014).

A β-adrenoceptor blocker may be used for preoperative control of tachyarrhythmias or angina. However, loss of β-adrenoceptor-mediated vasodilatation in a patient with unopposed catecholamine induced vasoconstriction can result in dangerous increases in blood pressure. Therefore, β-adrenoceptor blockers should never be employed without first blocking α-adrenoceptor mediated vasoconstriction (Lentschener et al. 2011; Bednarczuk et al. 2016). β-blockers that are in use for preoperative preparation are propranolol, atenolol, and metoprolol and lavetalol (Lentschener et al. 2011). Lavetalol is a  $\beta$ -blocker with some  $\alpha$ -blocker properties and has the side effect of producing paradoxical hypertension (Poopalalingam and Chin 2001; Lentschener et al. 2011).

Volume contraction associated with chronic vasoconstriction can be seen in patients with pheos. Therefore, pre-operative volume expansion achieved by saline infusion or increased water intake is recommended to reduce post-operative hypotension (Hack 2000; Chen et al. 2010).

Hypoglycemia after resection of pheos is a rare and poorly understood complication thought to be secondary to rebound hyper-insulinemia and increased peripheral glucose uptake. In the study of Chen et al. (2014), they have examined the incidence of this complication and aimed to identify predisposing risk factors. They concluded that their data demonstrate that hypoglycemia is a rare complication after resection of pheos and may be more common in patients with epinephrine-predominant neoplasms and longer operative times (Chen et al. 2014).

Metyrosine, inhibits tyrosine hydroxylase, which catalyzes tyrosine to dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA), the first and the rate limiting step of the catecholamine synthesis pathway, thereby resulting in reduction of catecholamines and their metabolites (Steinsapir et al. 1997; Naruse et al. 2018). In a study from Japan by Naruse et al. (2018), they have concluded that it was well tolerated and relieved symptoms by reducing excess catecholamine in pheos patients under both preoperative and chronic treatment. Death, failure of treatment and variation in intraoperative blood pressure in metyrosine patients were reported (Thanapaalasingham et al. 2015; Naruse et al. 2018).

All the above that have been constituted the principles of preoperative preparation for pheos surgery were disputed in some recent studies. Preoperative fluid administration was disputed by some authors (Lentschener et al. 2011). Pre- and intraoperative hypovolemia have never been demonstrated in patients scheduled for pheos removal (Desmonts and Marty 1984; Lentschener et al. 2011). Newer studies measuring the  $\Delta$ -down wave during operation suggested that reduced preload associated with hypovolemia is not a major mechanism of hypotension following pheos removal (Mallat et al. 2003). In the same study they concluded that predominant mechanism of severe hypotension following tumor resection is likely to be a decrease in arterial tone and that severe hypotension may occur even to patients with normal pressure (Mallat et al. 2003).

Concerning the preoperative preparation with hypotensive medication, the majority of studies do not compare groups with medication and placebo (Lentschener et al. 2011). Regarding the preoperative blood pressure (BP) status Lentschener et al. (2009) found no relation of preoperative high BP with intraor postoperative hemodynamic instability, the same was found in another study (Groeben et al. 2017). In the contrary to this, Plouin et al. (2001) found an

association of preoperative high BP with intra- and postoperative complications. Until a new consensus, based on several double-blind studies, recommends differently, we must stick to the ESCPG guidelines and prescribe preoperative hypotensive medications. We believe that with the current knowledge, it is a malpractice not to administer hypotensive medication preoperatively.

Prophylaxis from vein thrombosis is mandatory (Gagner et al. 1997).

### Surgery

Although the first successful surgical resection of a clinically recognized pheo removal is credited to Dr. Charles H. Mayo from USA in 1927 (Mayo 1927), the first operation was actually performed on 25 February, 1926 by César Roux (1857–1934) in Lausanne, Switzerland (Welbourn 1987). Dr. Mayo had his work published one year earlier than Roux, whose case was included in the thesis of Roland von der Muhll, a pathologist working in Lausanne, published in 1928 (Mayo 1927; Papadakis et al. 2016).

Surgical treatment in the past required an open laparotomy with early control of the main adrenal vein and bilateral as well as extra adrenal exploration. This practice has changed by the exquisite sensitivity of current imaging techniques and use of laparoscopic adrenalectomy (LA) (Udelsman 2001). In our days, adrenalectomy for pheos is reported with a mortality close to zero in recent studies (Lentschener et al. 2011).

Gagner et al. (1992) have reported the first laparoscopic adrenalectomy. LA has become the operation of choice and has replaced the open technique (Toniato et al. 2007). LA is of two kinds, either using transperitoneal or retroperitoneal approaches (Gagner et al. 1997; Ludwig et al. 2007). Comparing open adrenalectomy and LA, there is no statistically significant difference in age, sex, unilateral versus bilateral, blood transfusion, intraoperative hypotension and postoperative hypertension (Goldstein et al. 1999). LA is safe, effective, has shorter hospital stay, earlier resumption of oral intake, better cosmetic results, less analgesia and rapid recovery (Tanaka et al. 2000; Toniato et al. 2007; Lang et al. 2008). LA was associated, with longer operating room time and higher cost (Prinz 1995; Brunt et al. 1996; Saffarini 2007).

In experience hands LA facilitates the identification of the main adrenal vein on both sides, minimizes manipulation of the pheos and decreases circulating levels of catecholamines (Goldstein et al. 1999; Toniato et al. 2001; Cheah et al. 2002). In the beginning of LA era, arbitrarily the size limit was restricted at 6 cm due to fear for cancer (Cho et al. 2013; Eisenhofer et al. 2004b; Thomson et al. 2004). This was rejected in subsequent studies (Cheah et al. 2002; Toniato et al. 2007; Brito et al. 2015; Rao et al. 2016).

In bilateral diseases, Rossitti et al. (2018) have recommend that in case that there is a known mutation before surgery that adrenal-sparing surgery (e.g. to leave the adrenal cortex in situ) should be the standard approach for patients who have already been diagnosed with MEN2 or VHL when operating on the first side, whereas complete removal of the affected adrenal gland(s) is generally recommended for patients with SDHB or MAX germline mutations. Despite the fact that adrenal medulla is left in situ, postoperative ipsilateral recurrence rates of 3–7% have been reported after a median interval 8.5–9.5 years (Grubbs et al. 2013; Castinetti 2015; Rossitti et al. 2018).

#### Medication

In the cases of inoperative and malignant pheos, the chronic medical treatment is the same as the preoperative treatment (Naruse et al. 2018). The management of metastatic pheos remains palliative (Baudin 2013). Life expectancy expressed in 5-years survival ranges in most from 40–77% (Chrisoulidou et al. 2007, Nomura et al. 2009). Tumor progression is the most frequent cause of death from metastatic pheos. This clearly indicates that controlling tumor growth should be the primary goal of metastatic pheos management (Amar et al. 2007; Havekes et al. 2008). 30% of deaths are due to high levels of catecholamines which manifest as hypertension and constipation (Baudin 2013).

Surgery for malignant pheos is rarely curative, but resection of a primary mass or metastases can

reduce exposure of the cardiovascular system and organs to toxic levels of circulating catecholamines or relieve organs that the metastasis place patient's life in immediate danger, e.g. heart (Mishra et al. 2000; Nonaka et al. 2000).

In cases that surgical resection is not feasible, alternative include external beam radiation, cryoablation, radiofrequency ablation, transcatheter arterial embolization, chemotherapy, and radiopharmaceutical therapy (Kawashima et al. 1999; Pacak et al. 2001b). In a study, high dose 131I-MIBG may lead to long-term survival in patients with malignant pheos (Crona et al. 2013).

Molecular targeted therapies that included everolimus, imatinib, sunitinib, had been used with various results (Baudin 2013).

### Follow-up

It is recommended a life-long follow up (Jaroszewski et al. 2003; Lenders et al. 2014; Press et al. 2014; Plouin et al. 2016). Laboratory values of plasma and urinary catecholamines that should be obtained within the first month after surgery, again at 6 months, and 1 year, and imaging at 1 year. Laboratory values should be obtained annually, thereafter if everything appears to be normal (Jaroszewski et al. 2003; Plouin et al. 1997; Lenders et al. 2014; Press et al. 2014; Plouin et al. 2016). ESCPG require the addition of 3MT test 2-6 weeks after recovery from surgery in patients who had elevated 3MT levels preoperatively (Plouin et al. 2016). They also suggest assaying plasma chromogranin A levels every year in patients operated on for metanephrines negative, 3MT negative and chromogranin A-positive pheos to screen for local or metastatic recurrences or new tumor. Imaging tests should be done every 1–2 years in patients with biochemically inactive pheos to screen for local or metastatic recurrences or new tumors (Plouin et al. 2016).

### References

Adler JT, Meyer-Rochow GY, Chen H, Benn DE, Robinson BG, Sippel RS, Sidhu SB. Pheochromocytoma: current approaches and future directions. Oncologist 13, 779–793, 2008.

Ahlawat SK, Jain S, Kumari S, Varma S, Sharma BK. Pheochromocytoma associated with pregnancy: case report and review of the literature. Obstet Gynecol Surv 54, 728–737, 1999.

Amar L, Baudin E, Burnichon N, Peyrard S, Silvera S, Bertherat J, Bertagna X, Schlumberger M, Jeunemaitre X, Gimenez-Roqueplo AP, Plouin PF. Succinate dehydrogenase B gene mutations predict survival in patients with malignant pheochromocytomas or paragangliomas. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 92, 3822–3828, 2007.

Amar L, Fassnacht M, Gimenez-Roqueplo A, Januszewicz A, Prejbisz A, Timmers H, Plouin PF. Long-term postoperative follow-up in patients with apparently benign pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma. Horm Metab Res 44, 385–389, 2012.

- Andrade MO, Cunha VSD, Oliveira DC, Moraes OL, Lofrano-Porto A. What determines mortality in malignant pheochromocytoma? Report of a case with eighteen-year survival and review of the literature. Arch Endocrinol Metab 62, 264–269, 2018.
- Arnaldi G, Boscaro M. Adrenal incidentaloma. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 26, 405-419, 2012.
- Baguet JP, Hammer L, Mazzuco TL, Chabre O, Mallion JM, Sturm N, Chaffanjon P. Circumstances of discovery of phaeochromocytoma: a retrospective study of 41 consecutive patients. Eur J Endocrinol 150, 681–686, 2004.
- Baudin E. Treatment of malignant pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas. Endocrine Abstracts 32, S14.3, 2013.
- Bausch B, Wellner U, Bausch D, Schiavi F, Barontini M, Sanso G, Walz MK, Peczkowska M, Weryha G, Dall'igna P, Cecchetto G, Bisogno G, Moeller LC, Bockenhauer D, Patocs A, Racz K, Zabolotnyi D, Yaremchuk S, Dzivite-Krisane I, Castinetti F, Taieb D, Malinoc A, von Dobschuetz E, Roessler J, Schmid KW, Opocher G, Eng C, Neumann HP. Long-term prognosis of patients with pediatric pheochromocytoma. Endocr Relat Cancer 21, 17–25, 2013.
- Bausch B, Tischler AS, Schmid KW, Leijon H, Eng C, Neumann HPH. Max Schottelius: pioneer in pheochromocytoma. J Endocr Soc 1, 957–964, 2017.
- Bayley JP, Kunst HP, Cascon A, Sampietro ML, Gaal J, Korpershoek E, Hinojar-Gutierrez A, Timmers HJ, Hoefsloot LH, Hermsen MA, Suarez C, Hussain AK, Vriends AH, Hes FJ, Jansen JC, Tops CM, Corssmit EP, de Knijff P, Lenders JW, Cremers CW, Devilee P, Dinjens WN, de Krijger RR, Robledo M. SDHAF2 mutations in familial and sporadic paraganglioma and phaeochromocytoma. Lancet Oncol 11, 366–372, 2010.
- Bednarczuk T, Bolanowski M, Sworczak K, Gornicka B, Cieszanowski A, Otto M, Ambroziak U, Pachucki J, Kubicka E, Babinska A, Koperski L, Januszewicz A, Prejbisz A, Gorska M, Jarząb B, Hubalewska-Dydejczyk A, Glinicki P, Ruchała M, Kasperlik-Zaluska A. Adrenal incidentaloma in adults management recommendations by the Polish Society of Endocrinology. Endokrynol Pol 67, 234–258, 2016.
- Bessell-Browne R, O'Malley ME. CT of pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma: risk of adverse events with i.v. administration of nonionic contrast material. AJR Am J Roentgenol 188, 970–974, 2007.
- Bholah R, Bunchman TE. Review of pediatric pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma. Front Pediatr 5, 155, 2017.
- Biggar MA, Lennard TW. Systematic review of phaeochromocytoma in pregnancy. Br J Surg 100, 182-190, 2013.
- Bjorklund P, Pacak K, Crona J. Precision medicine in pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma: current and future concepts. J Intern Med 280, 559–573, 2016.
- Blake MA, Krishnamoorthy SK, Boland GW, Sweeney AT, Pitman MB, Harisinghani M, Mueller PR, Hahn PF. Low-density pheochromocytoma on CT: a mimicker of adrenal adenoma. AJR Am J Roentgenol 181, 1663–1668, 2003.
- PDQ Adult Treatment Editorial Board. Pheochromocytoma and Paraganglioma Treatment (PDQ\*): Health Professional Version. 2018 Feb 8. In: PDQ Cancer Information Summaries [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Cancer Institute (US); 2002-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK65873/.
- Bowerman RA, Silver TM, Jaffe MH, Stuck KJ, Hinerman DL. Sonography of adrenal pheochromocytomas. AJR Am J Roentgenol 137, 1227–1231, 1981.
- Bozin M, Lamb A, Putra LJ. Pheochromocytoma with negative metanephrines: A rarity and the significance of dopamine secreting tumors. Urol Case Rep 12, 51–53, 2017.
- Briere JJ, Favier J, Benit P, El Ghouzzi V, Lorenzato A, Rabier D, Di Renzo MF, Gimenez-Roqueplo AP, Rustin P. Mitochondrial succinate is instrumental for HIF1a nuclear translocation in SDHA-mutant fibroblasts under normoxic conditions. Hum Mol Genet 14, 3263–3269, 2005.
- Brito JP, Asi N, Gionfriddo MR, Norman C, Leppin AL, Zeballos-Palacios C, Undavalli C, Wang Z, Domecq JP, Prustsky G, Elraiyah TA, Prokop LJ, Montori VM, Murad MH. The incremental benefit of functional imaging in pheochromocytoma/paraganglioma: a systematic review. Endocrine 50, 176–186, 2015.
- Brunaud L, Boutami M, Nguyen-Thi PL, Finnerty B, Germain A, Weryha G, Fahey TJ 3rd, Mirallie E, Bresler L, Zarnegar R. Both preoperative alpha and calcium channel blockade impact intraoperative hemodynamic stability similarly in the management of pheochromocytoma. Surgery 156, 1410–1418, 2014.
- Brunt LM, Doherty GM, Norton JA, Soper NJ, Quasebarth MA, Moley JF. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy compared to open adrenal ectomy for benign adrenal neoplasms. J Am Coll Surg 183, 1–10, 1996.
- Bruynzeel H, Feelders RA, Groenland TH, van den Meiracker AH, van Eijck CH, Lange JF, de Herder WW, Kazemier G. Risk Ffactors for hemodynamic instability during surgery for pheochromocytoma. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 95, 678–685, 2010.
- Bryant J, Farmer J, Kessler LJ, Townsend RR, Nathanson KL. Pheochromocytoma: the expanding genetic differential diagnosis. J Natl Cancer Inst 95, 1196–1204, 2003.
- Burgess GE 3rd. Alpha blockade and surgical intervention of pheochromocytoma in pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol 53, 266–270, 1979.

- Burnichon N, Abermil N, Buffet A, Favier J, Gimenez-Roqueplo AP. The genetics of paragangliomas. Eur Ann Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Dis 129, 315–318, 2012.
- Burnichon N, Buffet A, Gimenez-Roqueplo AP. Pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma: molecular testing and personalized medicine. Curr Opin Oncol 28, 5–10, 2016.
- Castinetti F. Outcome of adrenal sparing surgery in heritable pheochromocytoma: the example of multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2. Endocrine Abstracts 37, S12.3, 2015.
- Castro-Vega LJ, Buffet A, De Cubas AA, Cascon A, Menara M, Khalifa E, Amar L, Azriel S, Bourdeau I, Chabre O, Curras-Freixes M, Franco-Vidal V, Guillaud-Bataille M, Simian C, Morin A, Leton R, Gomez-Grana A, Pollard PJ, Rustin P, Robledo M, Favier J, Gimenez-Roqueplo AP. Germline mutations in FH confer predisposition to malignant pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas. Hum Mol Genet 23, 2440–2446, 2014.
- Chang A, Glazer HS, Lee JK, Ling D, Heiken JP. Adrenal gland: MR imaging. Radiology 163, 123-128, 1987.
- Cheah WK, Clark OH, Horn JK, Siperstein AE, Duh Q. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy for pheochromocytoma. World J Surg 26, 1048–1051, 2002.
- Chen H, Sippel RS, O'Dorisio MS, Vinik AI, Lloyd RV, Pacak K; North American Neuroendocrine Tumor Society (NANETS). The North American Neuroendocrine Tumor Society consensus guideline for the diagnosis and management of neuroendocrine tumors: pheochromocytoma, paraganglioma, and medullary thyroid cancer. Pancreas 39, 775–783, 2010.
- Chen Y, Hodin RA, Pandolfi C, Ruan DT, McKenzie TJ. Hypoglycemia after resection of pheochromocytoma. Surgery 156, 1404–1409, 2014.
- Cho YY, Suh S, Joung JY, Jeong H, Je D, Yoo H, Park TK, Min YK, Kim KW, Kim JH. Clinical characteristics and follow-up of Korean patients with adrenal incidentalomas. Korean J Intern Med 28, 557–564, 2013.
- Choi EK, Kim WH, Park KY. A case of a composite adrenal medullary tumor of pheochromocytoma and ganglioneuroma masquerading as acute pancreatitis. Korean J Intern Med 21, 141–145, 2006.
- Chrisoulidou A, Kaltsas G, Ilias I, Grossman AB. The diagnosis and management of malignant phaeochromocytoma and paraganglioma. Endocr Relat Cancer 14, 569–585, 2007.
- Comstock JM, Willmore-Payne C, Holden JA, Coffin CM. Composite pheochromocytoma: a clinicopathologic and molecular comparison with ordinary pheochromocytoma and neuroblastoma. Am J Clin Pathol 132, 69–73, 2009
- Crona J, Delgado Verdugo A, Maharjan R, Stålberg P, Granberg D, Hellman P, Bjorklund P. Somatic mutations in H-RAS in sporadic pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma identified by exome sequencing. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 98, E1266–E1271, 2013.
- Crona J, Taieb D, Pacak K. New perspectives on pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma: toward a molecular classification. Endocr Rev 38, 489–515, 2017.
- Dahia PL. Pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma pathogenesis: learning from genetic heterogeneity. Nat Rev Cancer 14, 108–119, 2014.
- Dahia PL. Pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas, genetically diverse and minimalist, all at once! Cancer Cell 31, 159–161, 2017.
- Dean RE. Pheochromocytoma and pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol 11, 35-42, 1958.
- Delellis RA, Lloyd RV, Heitx PU. Pathology and genetics of tumors of endocrine organs. In World Health Organization of Tumours. IARC, Lyon, pp. 73–76, 2004.
- Desmonts JM, Marty J. Anaesthetic management of patients with phaeochromocytoma. Br J Anaesth 56, 781–789, 1984.
- Dunnick NR, Korobkin M. Imaging of adrenal incidentalomas: current status. AJR Am J Roentgenol 179, 559–568, 2002.
- Dunwoodie SL. The role of hypoxia in development of the Mammalian embryo. Dev Cell 17, 755–773, 2009.
- Eisenhofer G, Goldstein DS, Walther MM, Friberg P, Lenders JW, Keiser HR, Pacak K. Biochemical diagnosis of pheochromocytoma: how to distinguish true-from false-positive test results. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 88, 2656–2666, 2003.
- Eisenhofer G, Lenders JW, Pacak K. Biochemical diagnosis of pheochromocytoma. Front Horm Res 31, 76–106, 2004a.
- Eisenhofer G, Bornstein SR, Brouwers FM, Cheung NK, Dahia PL, de Krijger RR, Giordano TJ, Greene LA, Goldstein DS, Lehnert H, Manger WM, Maris JM, Neumann HP, Pacak K, Shulkin BL, Smith DI, Tischler AS, Young WF Jr. Malignant pheochromocytoma: current status and initiatives for future progress. Endocr Relat Cancer 11, 423–436, 2004b.

- Eisenhofer G, Goldstein DS, Sullivan P, Csako G, Brouwers FM, Lai EW, Adams KT, Pacak K. Biochemical and clinical manifestations of dopamine-producing paragangliomas: utility of plasma methoxytyramine. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 90, 2068–2075, 2005.
- Eisenhofer G, Lenders JW, Timmers H, Mannelli M, Grebe SK, Hofbauer LC, Bornstein SR, Tiebel O, Adams K, Bratslavsky G, Linehan WM, Pacak K. Measurements of plasma methoxytyramine, normetanephrine, and metanephrine as discriminators of different hereditary forms of pheochromocytoma. Clin Chem 57, 411–420, 2011.
- Eisenhofer G, Lenders JW, Siegert G, Bornstein SR, Friberg P, Milosevic D, Mannelli M, Linehan WM, Adams K, Timmers HJ, Pacak K. Plasma methoxytyramine: a novel biomarker of metastatic pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma in relation to established risk factors of tumour size, location and SDHB mutation status. Eur J Cancer 48, 1739–1749, 2012.
- Eisenhofer G, Prejbisz A, Peitzsch M, Pamporaki C, Masjkur J, Rogowski-Lehmann N, Langton K, Tsourdi E, Pęczkowska M, Fliedner S, Deutschbein T, Megerle F, Timmers HJLM, Sinnott R, Beuschlein F, Fassnacht M, Januszewicz A, Lenders JWM. Biochemical diagnosis of chromaffin cell tumors in patients at high and low risk of disease: plasma versus urinary free or deconjugated O-methylated catecholamine metabolites. Clin Chem 64, 1646–1656, 2018.
- Elder EE, Elder G, Larsson C. Pheochromocytoma and functional paraganglioma syndrome: no longer the 10% tumor. J Surg Oncol 89, 193–201, 2005.
- Elsayes KM, Mukundan G, Narra VR, Lewis JS Jr, Shirkhoda A, Farooki A, Brown JJ. Adrenal masses: MR imaging features with pathologic correlation. Radiographics 24, S73–S86, 2004.
- Evenepoel L, Papathomas TG, Krol N, Korpershoek E, de Krijger RR, Persu A, Dinjens WN. Toward an improved definition of the genetic and tumor spectrum associated with SDH germ-line mutations. Genet Med 17, 610–620, 2015.
- Farrugia FA, Georgios M, Panagiotis T, Nikolaos Z, Anestis C, Dimitrios S, Nikolaoes K, Anna P, Erini K, Machairas A. Adrenal incidentaloma or epinephroma and review of the literature. Differential diagnosis of adrenal incidentaloma. Khirurgiia 82, 120–128, 2016.
- Farrugia FA. "Ephidrosis," Is a New Term to Replace the Term "Diaphoresis" J Ren Nutr 27, 445, 2017.
- Farrugia FA, Martikos G, Tzanetis P, Charalampopoulos A, Misiakos E, Zavras N, Sotiropoulos D. Pheochromocytoma, diagnosis and treatment: Review of the literature. Endocr Regul 51, 168–181, 2017.
- Favier J, Amar L, Gimenez-Roqueplo AP. Paraganglioma and phaeochromocytoma: from genetics to personalized medicine. Nat Rev Endocrinol 11, 101–111, 2015.
- Fishbein L, Leshchiner I, Walter V, Danilova L, Robertson AG, Johnson AR, Lichtenberg TM, Murray BA, Ghayee HK, Else T, Ling S, Jefferys SR, de Cubas AA, Wenz B, Korpershoek E, Amelio AL, Makowski L, Rathmell WK, Gimenez-Roqueplo AP, Giordano TJ, Asa SL, Tischler AS; Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, Pacak K, Nathanson KL, Wilkerson MD. Comprehensive molecular characterization of pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma. Cancer Cell 31, 181–193, 2017.
- Flynn A, Benn D, Clifton-Bligh R, Robinson B, Trainer AH, James P, Hogg A, Waldeck K, George J, Li J, Fox SB, Gill AJ, McArthur G, Hicks RJ, Tothill RW. The genomic landscape of phaeochromocytoma. J Pathol 236, 78–89, 2015. Francis IR, Korobkin M. Pheochromocytoma. Radiol Clin North Am 34, 1101–1112, 1996.
- Gagner M, Lacroix A, Bolte E. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy in Cushing's syndrome and pheochromocytoma. N Engl J Med 327, 1033, 1992.
- Gagner M, Pomp A, Heniford BT, Pharand D, Lacroix A. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy: lessons learned from 100 consecutive procedures. Ann Surg 226, 238–247, 1997.
- Galati SJ, Said M, Gospin R, Babic N, Brown K, Geer EB, Kostakoglu L, Krakoff LR, Leibowitz AB, Mehta L, Muller S, Owen RP, Pertsemlidis DS, Wilck E, Xiao GQ, Levine AC, Inabnet WB 3<sup>rd</sup>. The Mount Sinai clinical pathway for the management of pheochromocytoma. Endocr Pract 21, 368–382, 2015.
- Giannini AJB, Henry R, Goettsche, Roger L. Psychiatric, Psychogenic and Somatopsychic Disorders Handbook. M. Examination. Garden City, NY, 1978.
- Goffredo P, Sosa JA, Roman SA. Malignant pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma: a population level analysis of long-term survival over two decades. J Surg Oncol 107, 659–664, 2013.
- Goldstein M, Fuxe K, Hokfelt T. Characterization and tissue localization of catecholamine synthesizing enzymes. Pharmacol Rev 24, 293–309, 1972.
- Goldstein RE, O'Neill JA Jr, Holcomb GW 3rd, Morgan WM 3rd, Neblett WW 3rd, Oates JA, Brown N, Nadeau J, Smith B, Page DL, Abumrad NN, Scott HW Jr. Clinical experience over 48 years with pheochromocytoma. Ann Surg 229, 755–766, 1999.

- Graham JB. Pheochromocytoma and hypertension; an analysis of 207 cases. Int Abstr Surg 92, 105–121, 1951.
- Gregory SH, Yalamuri SM, McCartney SL, Shah SA, Sosa JA, Roman S, Colin BJ, Lentschener C, Munroe R, Patel S, Feinman JW, Augoustides JG. Perioperative management of adrenalectomy and inferior vena cava reconstruction in a patient with a large, malignant pheochromocytoma with vena caval extension. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 31, 365–377, 2017.
- Groeben H, Nottebaum BJ, Alesina PF, Traut A, Neumann HP, Walz MK. Perioperative α-receptor blockade in phaeochromocytoma surgery: an observational case series. Br J Anaesth 118, 182–189, 2017.
- Grubbs EG, Rich TA, Ng C, Bhosale PR, Jimenez C, Evans DB, Lee JE, Perrier ND. Long-term outcomes of surgical treatment for hereditary pheochromocytoma. J Am Coll Surg 216, 280–289, 2013.
- Guerrero MA, Schreinemakers JM, Vriens MR, Suh I, Hwang J, Shen WT, Gosnell J, Clark OH, Duh QY. Clinical spectrum of pheochromocytoma. J Am Coll Surg 209, 727–732, 2009.
- Gunawardane PTK, Grossman A. Phaeochromocytoma and paraganglioma. In: Hypertension: from basic research to clinical practice, Vol. 2, (Ed. Md. Shahidul Islam), Springer, pp. 239–259, 2017.
- Gut P, Czarnywojtek A, Fischbach J, Baczyk M, Ziemnicka K, Wrotkowska E, Gryczynska M, Ruchala M. Chromogranin A unspecific neuroendocrine marker. Clinical utility and potential diagnostic pitfalls. Arch Med Sci 12, 1–9, 2016.
- Hack HA. The perioperative management of children with phaeochromocytoma. Paediatr Anaesth 10, 463–476, 2000.
- Halkertson IDK. A Wiley Medical Publication: Biochemistry, John Wiley & Sons, 1988.
- Harari A, Inabnet WB 3rd. Malignant pheochromocytoma: a review. Am J Surg 201, 700-708, 2011.
- Harrington JL, Farley DR, van Heerden JA, Ramin KD. Adrenal tumors and pregnancy. World J Surg 23, 182–186, 1999.
- Havekes B, Lai EW, Corssmit EP, Romijn JA, Timmers HJ, Pacak K. Detection and treatment of pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas: current standing of MIBG scintigraphy and future role of PET imaging. Q J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 52, 419–429, 2008.
- Havekes B, King K, Lai EW, Romijn JA, Corssmit EP, Pacak K. New imaging approaches to phaeochromocytomas and paragangliomas. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 72, 137–145, 2010.
- Hickman PE, Leong M, Chang J, Wilson SR, McWhinney B. Plasma free metanephrines are superior to urine and plasma catecholamines and urine catecholamine metabolites for the investigation of phaeochromocytoma. Pathology 41, 173–177, 2009.
- Hofer M. Ultrasound Teaching Manual. The Basics of Performing and Interpreting Ultrasound Scans. Thieme Stuttgart, New York, 1999.
- Hrascan R, Pecina-Slaus N, Martic TN, Colic JF, Gall-Troselj K, Pavelic K, Karapandza N Analysis of selected genes in neuroendocrine tumours: insulinomas and phaeochromocytomas. J Neuroendocrinol 20, 1015–1022, 2008.
- Huang LE, Bindra RS, Glazer PM, Harris AL. Hypoxia-induced genetic instability-a calculated mechanism underlying tumor progression. J Mol Med (Berl) 85, 139–148, 2007.
- Huang D, Li C, Zhang H. Hypoxia and cancer cell metabolism. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin (Shanghai) 46, 214–219, 2014
- Ilias I, Pacak K. Current approaches and recommended algorithm for the diagnostic localization of pheochromocytoma. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 89, 479–491, 2004.
- Jaroszewski DE, Tessier DJ, Schlinkert RT, Grant CS, Thompson GB, van Heerden JA, Farley DR, Smith SL, Hinder RA. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy for pheochromocytoma. Mayo Clin Proc 78, 1501–1504, 2003.
- Jimenez C, Waguespack SG. Functional imaging for pheochromocytoma-paraganglioma: a step closer to understanding its place in clinical practice. Endocrine 50, 6–8, 2015.
- Jochmanova I, Yang C, Zhuang Z, Pacak K. Hypoxia-inducible factor signaling in pheochromocytoma: turning the rudder in the right direction. J Natl Cancer Inst 105, 1270–1283, 2013.
- Jochmanova I, Pacak K. Pheochromocytoma: the first metabolic endocrine cancer. Clin Cancer Res 22, 5001–5011, 2016.
- Juarez D, Brown RW, Ostrowski M, Reardon MJ, Lechago J, Truong LD. Pheochromocytoma associated with neuro-endocrine carcinoma. A new type of composite pheochromocytoma. Arch Pathol Lab Med 123, 1274–1279, 1999
- Karvonen H, Perttila R, Niininen W, Barker H, Ungureanu D. Targeting Wnt signaling pseudokinases in hematological cancers. Eur J Haematol 101, 457–465, 2018.
- Katoh M, Katoh M. Molecular genetics and targeted therapy of WNT-related human diseases. Int J Mol Med 40, 587–606, 2017.

- Kawashima A, Sandler CM, Ernst RD, Takahashi N, Roubidoux MA, Goldman SM, Fishman EK, Dunnick NR. Imaging of nontraumatic hemorrhage of the adrenal gland. Radiographics 19, 949–963, 1999.
- Keith B, Johnson RS, Simon MC. HIF1 $\alpha$  and HIF2 $\alpha$ : sibling rivalry in hypoxic tumour growth and progression. Nat Rev Cancer 12, 9–22, 2012.
- Kenchegowda D, Natale B, Lemus MA, Natale DR, Fisher SA. Inactivation of maternal Hif-1α at mid-pregnancy causes placental defects and deficits in oxygen delivery to the fetal organs under hypoxic stress. Dev Biol 422, 171–185, 2017.
- Kercher KW, Novitsky YW, Park A, Matthews BD, Litwin DE, Heniford BT. Laparoscopic curative resection of pheochromocytomas. Ann Surg 241, 919–928, 2005.
- Khatami F, Mohammadamoli M, Tavangar SM. Genetic and epigenetic differences of benign and malignant pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas (PPGLs). Endocr Regul 52, 41–54, 2018.
- Kiernan CM, Solorzano CC. Pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma: diagnosis, genetics, and treatment. Surg Oncol Clin N Am 25, 119–138, 2016.
- Kimura N, Takayanagi R, Takizawa N, Itagaki E, Katabami T, Kakoi N, Rakugi H, Ikeda Y, Tanabe A, Nigawara T, Ito S, Kimura I, Naruse M; Phaeochromocytoma Study Group in Japan. Pathological grading for predicting metastasis in phaeochromocytoma and paraganglioma. Endocr Relat Cancer 21, 405–414, 2014.
- Kinney MAO, Narr BJ, Warner MA. Perioperative management of pheochromocytoma. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 16, 359–369, 2005.
- Krestin GP, Steinbrich W, Friedmann G. Adrenal masses: evaluation with fast gradient-echo MR imaging and Gd-DTPA-enhanced dynamic studies. Radiology 171, 675–680, 1989.
- Kudva YC, Young Jr WF, Thompson GB, Grant CS, Van Heerden JA. Adrenal incidentaloma: an important component of the clinical presentation spectrum of benign sporadic adrenal pheochromocytoma. The Endocrinologist 9, 77–80, 1999.
- Landsberg L. Pheochromocytomas, Paragangliomas and Disorders of the Sympathoadrenal System. Chicago, Springer, 2018.
- Lang B, Fu B, OuYang JZ, Wang BJ, Zhang GX, Xu K, Zhang J, Wang C, Shi TP, Zhou HX, Ma X, Zhang X. Retrospective comparison of retroperitoneoscopic versus open adrenalectomy for pheochromocytoma. J Urol 179, 57–60, 2008.
- Lee JK. Computed Body Tomography with MRI correlation. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 1998.
- Lenders JW, Pacak K, Eisenhofer G. New advances in the biochemical diagnosis of pheochromocytoma: moving beyond catecholamines. Ann N Y Acad Sci 970, 29–40, 2002a.
- Lenders JW, Pacak K, Walther MM, Linehan WM, Mannelli M, Friberg P, Keiser HR, Goldstein DS, Eisenhofer G. Biochemical diagnosis of pheochromocytoma: which test is best? JAMA 287, 1427–1434, 2002b.
- Lenders JW, Eisenhofer G, Mannelli M, Pacak K. Phaeochromocytoma. Lancet 366, 665–675, 2005.
- Lenders JW, Duh QY, Eisenhofer G, Gimenez-Roqueplo AP, Grebe SK, Murad MH, Naruse M, Pacak K, Young WF Jr; Endocrine Society. Pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma: an endocrine society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 99, 1915–1942, 2014.
- Lenders JW, Eisenhofer G. Update on modern management of pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma. Endocrinol Metab (Seoul) 32, 152–161, 2017.
- Lendvai N, Pawlosky R, Bullova P, Eisenhofer G, Patocs A, Veech RL, Pacak K. Succinate-to-fumarate ratio as a new metabolic marker to detect the presence of SDHB/D-related paraganglioma: initial experimental and ex vivo findings. Endocrinology 155, 27–32, 2014.
- Lentschener C, Gaujoux S, Thillois J, Duboc D, Bertherat J, Ozier Y, Dousset B. Increased arterial pressure is not predictive of haemodynamic instability in patients undergoing adrenalectomy for phaeochromocytoma. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 53, 522–527, 2009.
- Lentschener C, Gaujoux S, Tesniere A, Dousset B. Point of controversy: perioperative care of patients undergoing pheochromocytoma removal-time for a reappraisal? European Eur J Endocrinol 165, 365–373, 2011.
- Leung K, Stamm M, Raja A, Low G. Pheochromocytoma: the range of appearances on ultrasound, CT, MRI, and functional imaging. AJR Am J Roentgeno 200, 370–378, 2013.
- Li J, Yang CH. Improvement of preoperative management in patients with adrenal pheochromocytoma. Int J Clin Exp Med 7, 5541–5546, 2014.
- Liao D, Johnson RS. Hypoxia: a key regulator of angiogenesis in cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev 26, 281-290, 2007.
- Lloyd RV, Osamura RY, International Agency for Research on Can. WHO Classification of Tumours of Endocrine Organs. Lyon, WHO, 2017.

- Ludwig AD, Feig DI, Brandt ML, Hicks MJ, Fitch ME, Cass DL. Recent advances in the diagnosis and treatment of pheochromocytoma in children. Am J Surg 194, 792–797, 2007.
- Lukashev D, Ohta A, Sitkovsky M. Hypoxia-dependent anti-inflammatory pathways in protection of cancerous tissues. Cancer Metastasis Rev 26, 273–279, 2007.
- Luo W, Hu H, Chang R, Zhong J, Knabel M, O'Meally R, Cole RN, Pandey A, Semenza GL. Pyruvate kinase M2 is a PHD3-stimulated coactivator for hypoxia-inducible factor 1. Cell 145, 732–744, 2011.
- Maitra A. The Endocrine System. Robbins and Cotran Pathologic Basis of Disease, Professional Edition (Eds. Kumar V, Abbas A, Fausto N, Aster J.). Phladelphia, PA, Saunders, Elsevier, 2010.
- Majmundar AJ, Wong WJ, Simon MC. Hypoxia-inducible factors and the response to hypoxic stress. Mol Cell 40, 294–309, 2010.
- Mak P, Leav I, Pursell B, Bae D, Yang X, Taglienti CA, Gouvin LM, Sharma VM, Mercurio AM. ERbeta impedes prostate cancer EMT by destabilizing HIF-1alpha and inhibiting VEGF-mediated snail nuclear localization: implications for Gleason grading. Cancer Cell 17, 319–332, 2010.
- Makino Y, Cao R, Svensson K, Bertilsson G, Asman M, Tanaka H, Cao Y, Berkenstam A, Poellinger L. Inhibitory PAS domain protein is a negative regulator of hypoxia-inducible gene expression. Nature 414, 550–554, 2001.
- Malec K, Miskiewicz P, Witkowska A, Krajewska E, Toutounchi S, Galaka Z, Piotrowski M, Kacka A, Bednarczuk T, Ambroziak U. Comparison of phenoxybenzamine and doxazosin in perioperative management of patients with pheochromocytoma. Kardiol Pol 75, 1192–1198, 2017.
- Mallat J, Pironkov A, Destandau MS, Tavernier B. Systolic pressure variation (Deltadown) can guide fluid therapy during pheochromocytoma surgery. Can J Anaesth 50, 998–1003, 2003.
- Manger WM. The protean manifestations of pheochromocytoma. Horm Metab Res 41, 658-663, 2009.
- Mantero F, Terzolo M, Arnaldi G, Osella G, Masini AM, Ali A, Giovagnetti M, Opocher G, Angeli A. A survey on adrenal incidentaloma in Italy. Study Group on Adrenal Tumors of the Italian Society of Endocrinology. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 85, 637–644, 2000.
- Maurea S, Cuocolo A, Reynolds JC, Neumann RD, Salvatore M. Diagnostic imaging in patients with paragangliomas. Computed tomography, magnetic resonance and MIBG scintigraphy comparison. Q J Nucl Med 40, 365–371, 1996.
- Mayo CH. Paroxysmal hypertension with tumor of retroperitoneal nerve: report of a case. JAMA 89, 1047–1050, 1927. Mazza A, Armigliato M, Marzola MC, Schiavon L, Montemurro D, Vescovo G, Zuin M, Chondrogiannis S, Ravenni R, Opocher G, Colletti PM, Rubello D. Anti-hypertensive treatment in pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma: current management and therapeutic features. Endocrine 45, 469–478, 2014.
- McHenry CR. Pheochromocytoma: A clinical enigma. AACE Clinical Case Reports 3, e180–e181, 2017.
- McNeil AR, Blok BH, Koelmeyer TD, Burke MP, Hilton JM. Phaeochromocytomas discovered during coronial autopsies in Sydney, Melbourne and Auckland. Aust N Z J Med 30, 648–652, 2000.
- Megias MC, Puyol DR, Rodriguez LF, Martinez GLS, Miguel PM. Feocromocitoma-paraganglioma: del diagnostico bioquímico al genetico (Pheochromocytoma-paraganglioma: Biochemical and genetic diagnosis). Nefrologia 36, 481–488, 2016.
- Melicow MM. One hundred cases of pheochromocytoma (107 tumors) at the Columbia and Presbyterian Medical Center, 1926–1976: a clinicopathological analysis. Cancer 40, 1987–2004, 1977.
- Mercado-Asis LB, Wolf KI, Jochmanova I, Taieb D. Pheochromocytoma: A genetic and diagnostic update. Endocr Pract 24, 78–90, 2018.
- Minno AM, Bennett WA, Kvale WF. Pheochromocytoma: a study of 15 cases diagnosed at autopsy. N Engl J Med 251, 959–965, 1954.
- Mirallie E, Jafari M, Pattou F, Ernst O, Huglo D, Carnaille B, Proye C. [Outcome of non-operated adrenal masses in 126 patients observed from 1986 to 1999]. Ann Chir 126, 212–220, 2001.
- Mirica A, Badarau IA, Stefanescu AM, Mirica R, Paun S, Stefan DAC, Paun DL. The role of chromogranin a in adrenal tumors. Revista de Chimie 69, 678–681, 2018.
- Mishra AK, Agarwal G, Kapoor A, Agarwal A, Bhatia E, Mishra SK. Catecholamine cardiomyopathy in bilateral malignant pheochromocytoma: successful reversal after surgery. Int J Cardiol 76, 89–90, 2000.
- Miyake H, Maeda H, Tashiro M, Suzuki K, Nagatomo H, Aikawa H, Ashizawa A, Iechika S, Moriuchi A. CT of adrenal tumors: frequency and clinical significance of low-attenuation lesions. AJR Am J Roentgenol 152, 1005–1007, 1989.
- Moon KL Jr, Hricak H, Crooks LE, Gooding CA, Moss AA, Engelstad BL, Kaufman L. Nuclear magnetic resonance imaging of the adrenal gland: a preliminary report. Radiology 147, 155–160, 1983.

- Morrison PJ, Nevin NC. Multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2B (mucosal neuroma syndrome, Wagenmann-Froboese syndrome). J Med Genet 33, 779–782, 1996.
- Morrison DK. MAP kinase pathways. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 4, a011254, 2012.
- Nakajima Y, Masaoka N, Sodeyama M, Tsuduki Y, Sakai M. Pheochromocytoma-related cardiomyopathy during the antepartum period in a preterm pregnant woman. J Obstet Gynaecol Res 37, 908–911, 2011.
- Naranjo J, Dodd S, Martin YN. Perioperative management of pheochromocytoma. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 31, 1427–1439, 2017.
- Naruse M, Satoh F, Tanabe A, Okamoto T, Ichihara A, Tsuiki M, Katabami T, Nomura M, Tanaka T, Matsuda T, Imai T, Yamada M, Harada T, Kawata N, Takekoshi K. Efficacy and safety of metyrosine in pheochromocytoma/paraganglioma: a multi-center trial in Japan. Endocr J 65, 359–371, 2018.
- Neumann HP, Bausch B, McWhinney SR, Bender BU, Gimm O, Franke G, Schipper J, Klisch J, Altehoefer C, Zerres K, Januszewicz A, Eng C, Smith WM, Munk R, Manz T, Glaesker S, Apel TW, Treier M, Reineke M, Walz MK, Hoang-Vu C, Brauckhoff M, Klein-Franke A, Klose P, Schmidt H, Maier-Woelfle M, Peczkowska M, Szmigielski C, Eng C; Freiburg-Warsaw-Columbus Pheochromocytoma Study Group. Germ-line mutations in nonsyndromic pheochromocytoma. N Engl J Med 346, 1459–1466, 2002.
- Newhouse JH. MRI of the adrenal gland. Urol Radiol 12, 1–6, 1990.
- Nomura K, Kimura H, Shimizu S, Kodama H, Okamoto T, Obara T, Takano K. Survival of patients with metastatic malignant pheochromocytoma and efficacy of combined cyclophosphamide, vincristine, and dacarbazine chemotherapy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 94, 2850–2856, 2009.
- Nonaka K, Makuuchi H, Naruse Y, Kobayashi T, Goto M. Surgical excision of malignant pheochromocytoma in the left atrium. Jpn J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 48, 126–128, 2000.
- Oak S, Javid M, Callender GG, Carling T, Gibson CE. Management of pheochromocytoma in the setting of acute stroke. AACE Clinical Case Reports 4, e245–e248, 2018.
- Pacak K, Eisenhofer G, Carrasquillo JA, Chen CC, Li ST, Goldstein DS. 6-[18F]fluorodopamine positron emission to-mographic (PET) scanning for diagnostic localization of pheochromocytoma. Hypertension 38, 6–8, 2001a.
- Pacak K, Fojo T, Goldstein DS, Eisenhofer G, Walther MM, Linehan WM, Bachenheimer L, Abraham J, Wood BJ. Radiofrequency ablation: a novel approach for treatment of metastatic pheochromocytoma. J Natl Cancer Inst 93, 648–649, 2001b.
- Pacak K. Preoperative management of the pheochromocytoma patient. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 92, 4069–4079, 2007. Pacak K, Eisenhofer G, Ahlman Hk, Bornstein SR, Gimenez-Roqueplo AP, Grossman AB, Kimura N, Mannelli M, McNicol AM, Tischler AS; International Symposium on Pheochromocytoma. Pheochromocytoma: recommendations for clinical practice from the First International Symposium. October 2005. Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab 3, 92–102, 2007.
- Pacak K, Wimalawansa SJ. Pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma. Endocr Pract 21, 406-412, 2015.
- Pamporaki C, Hamplova B, Peitzsch M, Prejbisz A, Beuschlein F, Timmers HJLM, Fassnacht M, Klink B, Lodish M, Stratakis CA, Huebner A, Fliedner S, Robledo M, Sinnott RO, Januszewicz A, Pacak K, Eisenhofer G. Characteristics of pediatric vs adult pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 102, 1122–1132, 2017.
- Papadakis M, Manios A, Schoretsanitis G, Trompoukis C. Landmarks in the history of adrenal surgery. Hormones (Athens) 15, 136–141, 2016.
- Pappachan JM, Raskauskiene D, Sriraman R, Edavalath M, Hanna FW. Diagnosis and management of pheochromocytoma: a practical guide to clinicians. Curr Hypertens Rep 16, 442, 2014.
- Pick L. Das Ganglioma embryonale sympathicum (Sympathoma embryonale). Berl Klin Wschnschr 49, 16–22, 1912. Pillai S, Gopalan V, Smith RA, Lam AK. Updates on the genetics and the clinical impacts on phaeochromocytoma and paraganglioma in the new era. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 100, 190–208, 2016.
- Plesoianu CE, Andriescu G, Salaru D, Georgescu CA. The relationship between biochemical variables and the quality of life in patients with chronic heart failure. Rev Chim (Bucharest), 68, 2452–2458, 2017.
- Plouin PF, Chatellier G, Fofol I, Corvol P. Tumor recurrence and hypertension persistence after successful pheochromocytoma operation. Hypertension 29, 1133–1139, 1997.
- Plouin PF, Duclos JM, Soppelsa F, Boublil G, Chatellier G. Factors associated with perioperative morbidity and mortality in patients with pheochromocytoma: analysis of 165 operations at a single center. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 86, 1480–1486, 2001.
- Plouin PF, Amar L, Dekkers OM, Fassnacht M, Gimenez-Roqueplo AP, Lenders JW, Lussey-Lepoutre C, Steichen O; Guideline Working Group. European Society of Endocrinology Clinical Practice Guideline for long-term follow-up of patients operated on for a phaeochromocytoma or a paraganglioma. Eur J Endocrinol 174, G1–G10, 2016.

- Pogorzelski R, Toutounchi S, Krajewska E, Fiszer P, Lykowski M, Zapala L, Szostek M, Jakuczun W, Pachucki J, Skorski M. The effect of surgical treatment of phaeochromocytoma on concomitant arterial hypertension and diabetes mellitus in a single-centre retrospective study. Cent European J Uro 67, 361–365, 2014.
- Pollard P, Briere J, Alam N, Barwell J, Barclay E, Wortham N, Hunt T, Mitchell M, Olpin S, Moat SJ, Hargreaves IP, Heales SJ, Chung YL, Griffiths JR, Dalgleish A, McGrath JA, Gleeson MJ, Hodgson SV, Poulsom R, Rustin P, Tomlinson IP. Accumulation of Krebs cycle intermediates and over-expression of HIF1alpha in tumours which result from germline FH and SDH mutations. Hum Mol Genet 14, 2231–2239, 2005.
- Poopalalingam R, Chin EY. Rapid preparation of a patient with pheochromocytoma with labetolol and magnesium sulfate. Can J Anaesth 48, 876–880, 2001.
- Pourian M, Mostafazadeh DB, Soltani A. Does this patient have pheochromocytoma? A systematic review of clinical signs and symptoms. J Diabetes Metab Disord 15, 11, 2016.
- Press D, Akyuz M, Dural C, Aliyev S, Monteiro R, Mino J, Mitchell J, Hamrahian A, Siperstein A, Berber E. Predictors of recurrence in pheochromocytoma. Surgery 156, 1523–1528, 2014.
- Prinz RA. A comparison of laparoscopic and open adrenalectomies. Arch Surg 130, 489-494, 1995.
- Proye C, Fossati P, Fontaine P, Lefebvre J, Decoulx M, Wemeau JL, Dewailly D, Rwamasirabo E, Cecat P. Dopamine-secreting pheochromocytoma: an unrecognized entity? Classification of pheochromocytomas according to their type of secretion. Surgery 100, 1154–1162, 1986.
- Raimundo N, Baysal BE, Shadel GS. Revisiting the TCA cycle: signaling to tumor formation. Trends Mol Med 17, 641–649, 2011.
- Ramachandran R, Rewari V. Current perioperative management of pheochromocytomas. Indian J Urol 33, 19–25, 2017.
- Rao N, Ramachandran R, Tandon N, Singh P, Kumar R. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy for pheochromocytoma-does size matter? A single surgeon comparative study. Transl Androl Urol 5, 780–783, 2016.
- Renard J, Clerici T, Licker M, Triponez F. Pheochromocytoma and abdominal paraganglioma. J Visc Surg 148, e409–e416, 2011.
- Reyes HA, Paquin JJ, Harris DM. Pheochromocytoma, "the Great Masquerader," Presenting as Severe Acute Decompensated Heart Failure in a Young Patient. Case Rep Cardiol 2018, 8767801, 2018.
- Robbins SL, Kumar V. Basic Pathology, 4th Edition. Philadelphia, Saunders, 1987.
- Rossitti HM, Soderkvist P, Gimm O. Extent of surgery for phaeochromocytomas in the genomic era. Br J Surg 105, e84–e98, 2018.
- Saffarini O. Open versus laparoscopic adrenalectomy for Pheochromocytoma. Diploma In Minimal Access Surgery, Laparoscopy Hospital, New Delhi, India, 2007.
- Sanford EL, Hickey T, Lu J. Acute Takotsubo cardiomyopathy during elective hernia repair in a patient with previously resected pheochromocytoma. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 29, 1596–1598, 2015.
- Scheffler IE. Mitochondria. New Jersey, Wiley and Sons, Inc., 2008.
- Schenker JG, Granat M. Phaeochromocytoma and pregnancy-an updated appraisal. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 22, 1–10, 1982.
- Scholten A, Cisco RM, Vriens MR, Cohen JK, Mitmaker EJ, Liu C, Tyrrell JB, Shen WT, Duh QY. Pheochromocytoma crisis is not a surgical emergency. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 98, 581–591, 2013.
- Schteingart DE, Doherty GM, Gauger PG, Giordano TJ, Hammer GD, Korobkin M, Worden FP. Management of patients with adrenal cancer: recommendations of an international consensus conference. Endocr Relat Cancer 12, 667–680, 2005.
- Schultz CL, Haaga JR, Fletcher BD, Alfidi RJ, Schultz MA. Magnetic resonance imaging of the adrenal glands: a comparison with computed tomography. AJR Am J Roentgenol 143, 1235–1240, 1984.
- Schulz C, Eisenhofer G, Lehnert H. Principles of catecholamine biosynthesis, metabolism and release. Front Horm Res. 31, 1–25, 2004.
- Selak MA, Armour SM, MacKenzie ED, Boulahbel H, Watson DG, Mansfield KD, Pan Y, Simon MC, Thompson CB, Gottlieb E. Succinate links TCA cycle dysfunction to oncogenesis by inhibiting HIF-alpha prolyl hydroxylase. Cancer Cell 7, 77–85, 2005.
- Semenza GL. Targeting HIF-1 for cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 3, 721–732, 2003.
- Semenza GL. Defining the role of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 in cancer biology and therapeutics. Oncogene 29, 625–634, 2010.
- Semenza GL. Hypoxia-inducible factors in physiology and medicine. Cell 148, 399-408, 2012.
- Shawar L, Svec F. Pheochromocytoma with elevated metanephrines as the only biochemical finding. J La State Med Soc 148, 535–538, 1996.

- Shulkin BL, Ilias I, Sisson JC, Pacak K. Current trends in functional imaging of pheochromocytomas and paragangliomas. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1073, 374–382, 2006.
- Siddiqi HK, Yang HY, Laird AM, Fox AC, Doherty GM, Miller BS, Gauger PG. Utility of oral nicardipine and magnesium sulfate infusion during preparation and resection of pheochromocytomas. Surgery 152, 1027–1036, 2012.
- Sinclair D, Shenkin A, Lorimer A. Normal catecholamine production in a patient with a paroxysmally secreting phaeochromocytoma. Ann Clin Biochem 28, 417–419, 1991.
- Soltani A, Pourian M, Davani BM. Correction to: Does this patient have Pheochromocytoma? a systematic review of clinical signs and symptoms. J Diabetes Metab Disord 16, 42, 2017.
- Stein PP, Black HR. A simplified diagnostic approach to pheochromocytoma. A review of the literature and report of one institution's experience. Medicine (Baltimore) 70, 46–66, 1991.
- Steinsapir J, Carr AA, Prisant LM, Bransome ED Jr. Metyrosine and pheochromocytoma. Arch Intern Med 157, 901–906, 1997.
- Sternberg SS, Antonioli DA, Carter D, Mills SE, Oberman HA. Diagnostic Surgical Pathology, 3rd ed., Vol. 2. Philadelphia, PA, USA, Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins, 1999.
- Stewart MF, Reed P, Weinkove C, Moriarty KJ, Ralston AJ. Biochemical diagnosis of phaeochromocytoma: two instructive case reports. J Clin Pathol 46, 280–282, 1993.
- Sutton MG, Sheps SG, Lie JT. Prevalence of clinically unsuspected pheochromocytoma. Review of a 50-year autopsy series. Mayo Clin Proc 56, 354–360, 1981.
- Swietach P, Vaughan-Jones RD, Harris AL. Regulation of tumor pH and the role of carbonic anhydrase 9. Cancer Metastasis Rev 26, 299–310, 2007.
- Tanaka M, Tokuda N, Koga H, Kimoto Y, Naito S. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy for pheochromocytoma: comparison with open adrenalectomy and comparison of laparoscopic surgery for pheochromocytoma versus other adrenal tumors. J Endourol 14, 427–431, 2000.
- Thanapaalasingham K, Pollmann AS, Schelew B. Failure of metyrosine therapy for preoperative management of pheochromocytoma: a case report. Can J Anaesth 62, 1303–1307, 2015.
- Thompson LD. Pheochromocytoma of the Adrenal gland Scaled Score (PASS) to separate benign from malignant neoplasms: a clinicopathologic and immunophenotypic study of 100 cases. Am J Surg Pathol 26, 551–566, 2002.
- Thomson BN, Moulton CA, Davies M, Banting SW. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy for phaeochromocytoma: with caution. ANZ J Surg 74, 429–433, 2004.
- Toledo RA, Burnichon N, Cascon A, Benn DE, Bayley JP, Welander J, Tops CM, Firth H, Dwight T Ercolino T1, Mannelli M, Opocher G, Clifton-Bligh R, Gimm O, Maher ER, Robledo M, Gimenez-Roqueplo AP, Dahia PL, NGS in PPGL (NGSnPPGL) Study Group. Consensus statement on next-generation-sequencing-based diagnostic testing of hereditary phaeochromocytomas and paragangliomas. Nat Rev Endocrinol 13, 233–247, 2017.
- Toledo R, Jimenez C. Recent advances in the management of malignant pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma: focus on tyrosine kinase and hypoxia-inducible factor inhibitors. F1000Res 7, pii: F1000 Faculty Rev-1148, 2018.
- Toniato A, Piotto A, Pagetta C, Bernante P, Pelizzo M. Technique and results of laparoscopic adrenalectomy. Langenbecks Arch Surg 386, 200–203, 2001.
- Toniato A, Boschin IM, Opocher G, Guolo A, Pelizzo M, Mantero F. Is the laparoscopic adrenalectomy for pheochromocytoma the best treatment? Surgery 141, 723–727, 2007.
- Townsend CM, Beauchanp RD, Evers BM, Mattox KL. Sabiston Textbook of Surgery, 19th Ed., Elsevier Publishers, 2012.
- Tschuor C, Sadri H, Clavien PA. Pheochromocytoma crisis. Clin Case Rep 2, 14, 2014.
- Turchini J, Gill AJ, Tischler AS. Pathology of Pheochromocytoma and Paraganglioma. In: Pheochromocytomas, Paragangliomas and Disorders of the Sympathoadrenal System (ed. Landsberg L). Humana Press, Springer, 2018.
- Udelsman R. Adrenal. In: Surgery, Basic Science and Clinical Evidence (eds. Norton JA, Bollinger RR). Bollinger, Springer, 2001.
- Unger N, Hinrichs J, Deutschbein T, Schmidt H, Walz M, Mann K, Petersenn S. Plasma and urinary metanephrines determined by an enzyme immunoassay, but not serum chromogranin A for the diagnosis of pheochromocytoma in patients with adrenal mass. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes 120, 494–500, 2012.
- van Berkel A, Lenders JW, Timmers HJ. Diagnosis of endocrine disease: Biochemical diagnosis of phaeochromocytoma and paraganglioma. Eur J Endocrinol 170, R109–R119, 2014.

- van der Weerd K, van Noord C, Loeve M, Knapen M, Visser W, de Herder W, Franssen G, van der Marel C, Feelders R. Endocrinology in pregnancy: Pheochromocytoma in pregnancy: case series and review of literature. Eur J Endocrinol 177, R49–R58, 2017.
- von Schlegel GG. Neurofibromatose recklinghausen und phaochromocytom. Schweiz Med Wochenschr 90, 31–39, 1960.
- Waguespack SG, Rich T, Grubbs E, Ying AK, Perrier ND, Ayala-Ramirez M, Jimenez C. A current review of the etiology, diagnosis, and treatment of pediatric pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 95, 2023–2037, 2010.
- Wang Y, Liu Y, Malek SN, Zheng P, Liu Y. Targeting HIF1α eliminates cancer stem cells in hematological malignancies. Cell Stem Cell 8, 399–411, 2011.
- Warburg O, Wind F, Negelein E. The metabolism of tumors in the body. J Gen Physiol 8, 519-530, 1927.
- Weiner MM, Asher DI, Augoustides JG, Evans AS, Patel PA, Gutsche JT, Mookadam F, Ramakrishna H. Takotsubo cardiomyopathy: A clinical update for the cardiovascular anesthesiologist. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 31, 334–344, 2017.
- Weingarten TN, Cata JP, O'Hara JF, Prybilla DJ, Pike TL, Thompson GB, Grant CS, Warner DO, Bravo E, Sprung J. Comparison of two preoperative medical management strategies for laparoscopic resection of pheochromocytoma. Urology 76, 508.e6–508.e11, 2010.
- Weinstein JN, Collisson EA, Mills GB, Shaw KRM, Ozenberger BA, Ellrott K, Shmulevich I, Sander C, Stuart JM, Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. The cancer genome atlas pan-cancer analysis project. Nat Genet 45, 1113–1120, 2013.
- Welander J, Larsson C, Backdahl M, Hareni N, Sivler T, Brauckhoff M, Soderkvist P, Gimm O. Integrative genomics reveals frequent somatic NF1 mutations in sporadic pheochromocytomas. Hum Mol Genet 21, 5406–5416, 2012.
- Welbourn RB. Early surgical history of phaeochromocytoma. Br J Surg 74, 594–596, 1987.
- Wiese KE, Nusse R, van Amerongen R. Wnt signalling: conquering complexity. Development 145, dev165902, 2018.
- Wiesener MS, Jurgensen JS, Rosenberger C, Scholdge CK, Horstrup JH, Warnecke C, Mandriota S, Bechmann I, Frei UA, Pugh CW, Ratcliffe PJ, Bachmann S, Maxwell PH, Eckardt KU. Widespread hypoxia-inducible expression of HIF-2alpha in distinct cell populations of different organs. FASEB J 17, 271–273, 2003.
- Wing LA, Conaglen JV, Meyer-Rochow GY, Elston MS. Paraganglioma in pregnancy: a case series and review of the literature. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 100, 3202–3209, 2015.
- Wyszynska T, Cichocka E, Wieteska-Klimczak A, Jobs K, Januszewicz P. A single pediatric center experience with 1025 children with hypertension. Acta Paediatr 81, 244–246, 1992.
- Yu R, Wei M. False positive test results for pheochromocytoma from 2000 to 2008. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes 118, 577–585, 2010.
- Yumi H, Guidelines Committee of the Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons. Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment, and use of laparoscopy for surgical problems during pregnancy: this statement was reviewed and approved by the Board of Governors of the Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons (SAGES), September 2007. It was prepared by the SAGES Guidelines Committee. Surg Endosc 22, 849–861, 2008.
- Zhikrivetskaya SO, Snezhkina AV, Zaretsky AR, Alekseev BY, Pokrovsky AV, Golovyuk AL, Melnikova NV, Stepanov OA, Kalinin DV, Moskalev AA, Krasnov GS, Dmitriev AA, Kudryavtseva AV. Molecular markers of paragangliomas/pheochromocytomas. Oncotarget 8, 25756–25782, 2017.
- Zhu H, Bunn HF. Oxygen sensing and signaling: impact on the regulation of physiologically important genes. Respir Physiol 115, 239–247, 1999.