COMBINED SURGICAL APPROACH IN THE TREATMENT OF OCULO-ORBITAL COMPLICATIONS OF FRONTAL SINUS MUCOCELE: A CASE REPORT

Andra Jevtović^{1,2}, Branislav Belić^{1,2}, Jasmina Stojanović²

¹University of Kragujevac, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Kragujevac, Serbia

²Clinic of Otorhinolaryngology, Clinical Center Kragujevac, Kragujevac, Serbia

KOMBINOVANI HIRURŠKI PRISTUP U LEČENJU OKULO-ORBITALNIH KOMPLIKACIJA MUKOKELE ČEONOG SINUSA: PRIKAZ SLUČAJA

Andra Jevtović^{1,2}, Branislav Belić^{1,2}, Jasmina Stojanović²

¹Univerzitet u Kragujevcu, Fakultet medicinskih nauka, Katedra za otorinolaringologiju, Kragujevac, Srbija

²Klinika za otorinolaringologiju, Klinički centar Kragujevac, Kragujevac, Srbija

Received/Primljen: 24. 07. 2018.

Accepted/Prihvaćen: 24. 12. 2018.

ABSTRACT

Paranasal sinus mucocoeles are benign cystic masses filled with mucous content. Mucocoeles are locally destructive, causing pressure on sinus walls with their resorption, allowing them to spread on adjacent structures causing local, orbital or intracranial complications. They are most commonly found in frontal sinuses.

The aim of this report is to present case of oculo-orbital complications of frontal sinus mucocoele, with focus on treatment using combined surgical approach.

A 75-year old female patient with frontal sinus mucocoele which led to destruction of orbital roof and occurrence of complications in form of orbital cellulitis and palpebral abscess was successfully treated with a combination of external frontoethmoidectomy and endoscopic sinus surgery. After initial incision of the upper eyelid abscess with drainage of purulent content, modified external frontoethmoidectomy was performed using preformed defect of orbital roof. Finally, using endoscopic sinus surgery, natural drainage of anterior group of paranasal sinuses was achieved.

Various endoscopic and open approaches have been described in mucocoele treatment. In this case we showed that the combined surgical approach in the treatment of frontal sinus mucocoeles with destruction of sinus floor and appearance of oculo-orbital complications, provides an effective treatment and allows natural drainage of anterior group of sinuses.

Keywords: Paranasal sinuses, mucocoeles, oculo-orbital complications.

SAŽETAK

Mukokele paranazalnih šupljina su benigne cistične tvorevine ispunjene mukoznim sadržajem. Mukokele su lokalno destruktivne, tako što pritiskom na zid sinusa uzrokuju njegovu resorpciju, što im omogućava da se šire na okolne strukture i izazovu lokalne, orbitalne i intrakranijalne komplikacije. Najčešće su lokalizovane u čeonim sinusima.

Cilj ovog rada je prikaz slučaja okulo-orbitalnih komplikacija mukokele čeonog sinusa, sa akcentom na lečenje kombinovanim hirurškim pristupom.

Pacijentkinja starosti 75 godina, sa mukokelom čeonog sinusa koja je dovela do destrukcije krova orbite i pojave komplikacija u formi orbitalnog celulitisa i palpebralnog abscesa, je uspešno lečena kombinacijom spoljne frontoetmoidektomije i endoskopske hirurgije sinusa. Nakon inicijalne incizije abscesa gornjeg očnog kapka sa drenažom purulentnog sadržaja, izvedena je modifikovana spoljna frontoetmoidektomija kroz preformirani koštani defekt krova orbite. Na kraju, endoskopskom hirurgijom sinusa omogućena je prirodna drenaža prednje grupe paranazalnih sinusa.

Različite endoskopske i otvorene hirurške tehnike su opisane u terapiji mukokela. U ovom slučaju smo pokazali da kombinovani hirurški pristup u lečenju mukokela čeonog sinusa sa destrukcijom poda čeonog sinusa i pojavom okulo-orbitalnih komplikacija, omogućava efikasan tretman i prirodnu drenažu prednje grupe sinusa.

Cljučne reči: Paranazalne šupljine, mukokele, okulo-orbitalne komplikacije.

ABBREVIATIONS:

ESS - Endoscopic sinus surgery

UDK: 616.216-003.4-089

Ser J Exp Clin Res 2021; 22 (2): 175-180

DOI: 10.2478/sjocr-2018-0072

Corresponding author:

Andra Jevtović,
Faculty of Medical Sciences,
Svetozara Markovica 69,
34000 Kragujevac, Serbia,
phone: 065/359 04 36,
e-mail: andrajev@yahoo.com

INTRODUCTION

Paranasal sinus mucocèles are slow-growing, cubic or pseudostratified epithelium-lined, mucous filled, cystic masses, usually resulting from obstruction of sinus ostia, that are locally destructive, causing bony resorption and displacement of adjacent structures (1, 2, 3). The occlusion of the ostia may be secondary to anatomic abnormalities, infection, allergy, trauma, tumours or sinus operations (3, 4, 5). It has also been suggested that a cystic degeneration of a goblet cell gland could result in a mucocèle (4). The most commonly affected is the frontal sinus, followed by the ethmoid sinuses, with reports suggesting 70–90 % of mucocèles occur in these locations (1). Ten percent of mucocèles occur in the maxillary sinus while the sphenoid sinus is rarely affected (1). The clinical presentation of mucocèles varies with their anatomical site (5). The onset of symptoms is usually insidious, and intensification of symptoms usually indicate development of complications (3, 5). Patients may be referred with symptoms of varying severity according to the lesion location, size of the bone defect, and symptoms due to compression (3). Patients with frontoethmoidal mucocèles may develop frontal headache, facial asymmetry, or swelling, as well as ophthalmological manifestations, such as impaired visual acuity, reduced ocular mobility or proptosis (1, 5). Beside anamnesis, clinical examination and nasal endoscopy, the diagnosis is based on computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (6). CT and MRI of the sinuses allow the assessment of mucocèle extension in relation to adjacent structures (6).

Surgery is the only method of treating paranasal sinus mucocèles (6). Considerations in the surgical approach for dysfunctional frontal sinuses include obliteration of affected sinus or restoring ventilation to the sinus through reestablishing the natural frontal sinus outflow tract (7). Initially, mucocèles were treated mainly by extirpation using external approaches and sinus obliteration to avoid recurrence (8). With introduction of endoscopic sinus surgery (ESS), mucocèle marsupialization and enabling of sinus drainage became a valid choice for the treatment of selected types of mucocèles (8, 9).

In this paper we showed that the combined surgical approach provides an effective method in the management of a frontal sinus mucocèles involving the orbit. Since mucocèle exteriorisation towards orbit led to sinus floor destruction, sinus obliteration was possible only through radical sinus surgery, such as Riedel procedure, that would lead to aesthetic impairment. Through already formed orbital roof defect, we were able to completely remove mucocèle using modified frontoethmoidectomy, while ESS allowed us to enable drainage of the frontal sinus and ethmoid cells, thus sinus obliteration was not necessary.

CASE REPORT

A seventy five year old female patient with the swelling of left upper eyelid was admitted to Otorhinolaryngology Clinic, Clinical Center Kragujevac, Serbia, for additional radiographic diagnostics including multislice computed tomography (MSCT) of paranasal sinuses and left orbit and treatment, on account of suspected oculo-orbital complication of sinusitis. Anamnestic data showed that patient suffered sinusitis a month before, and that the left upper eyelid swelling started 3 weeks ago. Conservative therapy prescribed by otorhinolaryngologists and ophthalmologists did not give significant result. A clinical exam on admission showed intumescence, redness and ptosis of left upper eyelid, which resulted in completely closing the left orbit (Figure 1). In the central part of intumescence there was 10x10mm solid tumefaction, painful on palpation, indicating that palpebral abscess was formed. Eye bulb was compressed to the lower part of the orbit, with the patient suffering from diplopia. With nasal endoscopy we observed bilateral hiperemia and oedema of nasal mucosa with purulent secretion.

Figure 1. Preoperative local findings

MSCT pointed out intumescence with central hypodense zone in the region of left upper eyelid and subcutaneously in the region of frontal bone arc, which spreads into medial part of the orbit (Figure 2A, B). There was a bone defect of the orbital roof, together with the spreading of the frontal sinus content towards the orbit, which was liquid to viscous secretion attenuation, without clear radiography signs of continuity with described intumescence of left upper eyelid (Figure 2C, D). MSCT findings of other paranasal sinuses indicated that the patient suffered from pansinusitis. Microbiological analysis of the left upper eyelid material showed *S.aureus* infection. All examinations suggested that the patient suffered from pansinusitis with secondary infected mucocèle of left frontal sinus (pyocèle) with oculo-orbital complication in form of cellulitis and palpebral abscess.

After adequate preoperative preparation, which included preanesthetic assessment, internistic and ophtalmic examination as well as laboratory diagnostics, we performed a surgical treatment. Initially we made incision of the left upper eyelid abscess with drainage of purulent content. Then, we performed modified external frontoethmoidectomy using preformed defect of orbital roof, with extirpation of the left frontal sinus mucocoele (Figure 3).

Finally, we carried out ESS of the left side of the nose including infundibulectomy, medial meatotomy and anterior ethmoidectomy enabling natural drainage of anterior group of sinuses. Histopathological analysis of inflammatory altered left frontal sinus mucosa confirmed clinical diagnosis. The patient was discharged from the hospital on 9th postoperative day, without complications and signs of recurrence on follow up examinations.

Figure 2. MSCT findings pointing out the presence of palpebral abscess on transversal (A) and sagittal (B) section. Frontal sections showing bone defect of orbital roof with spreading of the frontal sinus content towards the orbit on the soft tissue (C) and bone (D) CT window

Figure 3. Intraoperative findings while performing modified external frontoethmoidectomy

DISCUSSION

Mucoceleles are epithelium-lined mucous filled cystic formations which usually develop when the ostium of paranasal sinus became obstructed (10). They most commonly occur in the third or fourth decades of life with a slight male predilection (1). The mucoceleles are benign, slow-growing lesions that commonly occur in the frontal or ethmoidal group of sinuses (5). In the period from 2006-2017 we had 16 cases of mucoceleles in our Clinics, 9 (56.25%) of those primarily localised in frontal sinuses, 4 (25%) in ethmoids and 3 (18.75%) in maxillary sinuses, with no cases of sphenoid sinus mucocele. Symptoms usually develop slowly, and their intensification indicates occurrence of complications (5). Mucocele complications can be local, orbital and intracranial and they appear as a consequence of bone destruction and secondary mucocele infection (pyocele) (2, 11). Bone degradation is caused by increased size of mucocele, continuous augmentation of pressure on sinus walls, and the release of inflammatory mediators such as prostaglandin E2 and collagenase at the capsule of the mucocele, which degrades the bone (12). Patients may be referred with symptoms of varying severity according to the lesion location, size of the bone defect, and symptoms due to compression (3).

The most common symptoms of patients with frontoethmoid sinus mucoceleles are headache, swelling or facial asymmetry and ophthalmic symptoms, such as visual disturbance, proptosis and diplopia (13). In the case of local complication, frontoethmoid mucoceleles are often associated with a palpable mass in the superonasal and medial canthal region (14). Destruction of the posterior frontal sinus wall results in intracranial mucocele complication which can be presented as meningitis, meningoencephalitis, brain abscess, seizures, or cerebrospinal fluid fistula (11). Unilateral proptosis is the most common presenting sign of an oculo-orbital frontal mucocele complication, as in our case (15, 16). Other ophthalmic symptoms include outward and downward displacement

of the globe, restriction of eye movements, diplopia, visual loss, retroorbital pain or headache (17). In our case, proptosis was associated with upper eyelid hyperemia and oedema, conjunctival chemosis, downward displacement of the globe and diplopia. Since the swelling of the upper eyelid was the main presenting feature, this condition could have been easily misdiagnosed and treated inappropriately. However, the red flags that should be pointed out in our cases were the unresponsiveness to the treatment, a palpable well-circumscribed mass in the upper palpebral region and clinical signs of sinusitis. Most common nasal symptoms such as nasal blockage and secretion, as well as loss of sense of smell can be signs of nasal expansion of mucocele, or sinusitis as in our case (18).

The diagnosis of the mucocele is based on anamnesis, clinical examination, nasal endoscopy with the aid of CT and MRI (14). CT provides information about regional anatomy, degree of bone destruction and lesion extension (1, 14). Mucoceleles are typically presented on CT as homogenous, isodense masses, with clearly defined margins surrounded by osteolytic sinus wall lesions (10). MR imaging is useful in differentiating mucoceleles from neoplasms and surrounding soft tissue in cases of their expansions, using contrast enhancement (1, 14). Dermoid cysts, histiocytosis, fungal and tuberculosis infections, fronto-orbital cholesterol granuloma, and neoplasms must be considered in the differential diagnosis (5). In our case, we based our diagnosis on clinical examination, nasal endoscopy and MSCT. Examination indicated the presence of the left upper eyelid abscess, with left orbit cellulitis causing proptosis and diplopia. Nasal endoscopy examination showed signs of chronic rhinosinusitis exacerbation presented as bilateral nasal mucosa hyperemia and oedema with purulent secretion originating from the middle nasal meatus. MSCT imaging provided us with the information about superior orbital wall lesion with extension of clearly demarcated cystic formation towards left orbit and confirmed

clinical diagnosis of orbital cellulitis, palpebral abscess and pansinusitis.

Until the late 80s, paranasal sinus mucocoeles were treated with open surgical procedures such as frontal sinus osteoplasty, external frontoethmoidectomy and maxillary sinus trepanation (1, 8). Traditional teaching emphasized the need for a complete removal of the sinus mucocoele lining and obliteration of the sinus to avoid recurrence (8). Management of mucocoele has significantly changed since the introduction of ESS, so that mucocoele marsupialization and enabling of sinus drainage became a valid choice for the treatment of selected types of mucocoeles (8, 9).

Kennedy et al. marsupialized 9 of 11 frontal mucocoeles and reported no cases of relapse, and Har-El marsupialized endoscopically 108 paranasal sinus mucocoeles including 66 frontal and frontoethmoid, 17 ethmoid, 7 sphenoethmoid, 12 sphenoid, and 6 maxillary mucocoeles with recurrence of a frontal mucocoele seen in 1 patient (0.9%) (19, 20). Lund treated 48 mucocoeles in the frontal, frontoethmoidal and sphenoidal sinuses during the period of five years, 20 by an entirely endonasal endoscopic approach and 28 by a combination of an external procedure and an endoscopic approach (21). Nevertheless, most of the authors agree that even though ESS is nowadays the surgical method of choice, the treatment of mucocoele depends on many factors (5).

The location, magnitude, and expansion of the lesion are main determinants of the appropriate surgical procedure (3). Many authors consider lateral localization of frontal mucocoele a contraindication to ESS (22). Martel-Martin et al. indicate an external approach for frontal mucocoeles which only affect the most external and posterosuperior region of the sinus, when the appearance of the mucocoele is the consequence of an endosinusal process which septates the sinus, such as an osteoma or major sclerosis in the region of the frontal recess (2). On the other hand, Sharouny et al. suggest that endoscopic sinus surgery is the treatment of choice in most cases of frontal sinus mucocoeles including lateral frontal mucocoeles (23). While smaller lesions can be treated endoscopically, larger lesions can usually be managed only via external procedures and sinus obliteration to prevent the ascending infections (14). Trimarchi et al. suggest that it is essential to determine mucocoele extension beyond a virtual sagittal plane tangential to the medial side of the ocular globe and consider mucocoele extension medially to the virtual sagittal plane as the main selection criterion for ESS, more important than the size of the mucocoele (8). Endoscopic trans-sinusal approach is not recommended in cases of intracranial extension of mucocoele because of the higher risk of intracavitary residual and postoperative recurrences due to a narrow access to the lesion (24). On the other hand, obliteration of the involved sinus is not recommended if there is an erosion of the sinus bony wall with extension of the mucocoele into the orbit, because mucosa lining the mucocoele becomes adherent to orbital periosteum and cannot be removed during the surgery without significant risk of injury to the adjacent structures (9). And, if mucosa is left behind and the sinus obliterated, a recurrence

of the mucocoele is highly likely (9). As most cases of symptomatic mucocoele have erosion of the bony sinus wall, the obliteration of the sinus should not be considered (9). Kim et al. note that minimally invasive surgery to remove ethmoid mucocoeles with orbital complications is relatively straightforward and avoids the complications associated with these lesions (13). In cases where intranasal treatment presents difficulties, it is possible to use an external route or a combined approach with external treatment under endoscopic control (5). Beigi et al. suggest that the combined internal/external approach provides a viable and effective method for managing frontal sinus mucocoele involving the orbit (25).

In our Clinics, in the period from 2006-2017 we treated 3/9 (33.3%) frontal sinus mucocoele endoscopically, while for the 6/9 (66.7%) we decided to use external approach. In the case of ethmoid sinus mucocoele we used ESS in 4/4 (100%) cases, while 2/3 (66.7%) mucocoeles were treated endoscopically and recurrent 1/3 (33.3%) with the open technique. We suggest that in any case of asymptomatic mucocoele, as well in the cases without exteriorisation of mucocoele, ESS with mucocoele marsupialization and allowing sinus drainage should be performed. If mucocoele is inaccessible, recurrent or complications occurred, we recommend using an adequate external or combined surgical approach. In this case, since oculo-orbital complication occurred, we decided for a combined surgery. External frontoethmoidectomy allowed us to completely remove mucocoele through already formed orbital roof defect. Endoscopically we managed to enable drainage of the frontal sinus and ethmoid cells, thus sinus obliteration through radical sinus surgery that would lead to aesthetic impairment was not necessary.

CONCLUSION

Because of the tendency to destruct sinus wall, mucocoeles can cause complications at some point. To prevent complications, early diagnosis and timely surgical treatment is necessary. Endoscopic sinus surgery and marsupialization should be the treatment of choice for asymptomatic and simple frontal mucocoeles. More radical approaches are required if the size of mucocoeles is large and if there appears to be extensive bone erosion causing orbital or intracranial complications. We believe that oblitative sinus surgery should be avoided whenever possible and reserved mostly for the cases of intracranial complications in order to avoid spreading the ascending infection, since obliteration of sinus ostia by itself can be cause of mucocoele recurrence. Postoperative long-term follow-up with endoscopic surveillance is mandatory for every patient because recurrence of mucocoele could occur many years after surgical management.

REFERENCES

1. Capra GG, Carbone PN, Mullin DP. Paranasal sinus mucocoele. *Head Neck Pathol.* 2012;6(3):369-72.
2. Martel-Martin M, Gras-Cabrerizo JR, Bothe-Gonzalez C, Montserrat-Gili JR, De Juan-Delago M, Massegur-Solench H. Clinical analysis and surgical results of 58 paranasal sinus mucocoeles. *Acta Otorrinolaringol Esp.* 2015;66(2):92-7.
3. Topdag M, Iseri M, Sari F, Erdogan S, Keskin IG. Paranasal sinus mucocoeles: our clinical experiments. *Int J ClinExp Med.* 2015;8(10):18518-22.
4. Rajan KV, Santhi T. Frontoethmoidal mucocoele with orbital and intracranial extension. *Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2007;59(4):363-5.
5. Aggarwal SK, Bhavana K, Keshri A, Kumar R, Srivastava A. Frontal sinus mucocoele with orbital complications: Management by varied surgical approaches. *Asian J Neurosurg.* 2012;7(3):135-40.
6. Devars du Mayne M, Moya-Plana A, Malinvaud D, Lacourreye O, Bonfils P. Sinus mucocoele: natural history and long-term recurrence rate. *Eur Ann Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Dis.* 2012;129(3):125-30.
7. Schlosser RJ. Surgical salvage for the non-functioning sinus. *Otolaryngol Clin North Am.* 2010;43(3):591-04.
8. Trimarchi M, Bertazzoni G, Bussi M. Endoscopic treatment of frontal sinus mucocoeles with lateral extension. *Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2013;65(2):151-56.
9. Khong JJ, Malhotra R, Wormald PJ, Selva D. Endoscopic sinus surgery for paranasal sinus mucocoele with orbital involvement. *Eye (Lond).* 2004;18(9):877-81.
10. Tan CS, Yong VK, Yip LW, Amrith S. An unusual presentation of a giant frontal sinus mucocoele manifesting with a subcutaneous forehead mass. *Ann Acad Med Singapore.* 2005;34(5):397-8.
11. Suri A, Mahapatra AK, Gaikwad S, Sarkar C. Giant mucocoeles of the frontal sinus: a series and review. *J Clin-Neurosci.* 2004;11(2):214-8.
12. Lajmi H, Hmaied W, Ben Jalel W, Ben Romdhane K, Chelly Z, El Fekih L. Unilateral proptosis revealing a fronto-ethmoidal mucocoele. *Tunis Med.* 2017;95(6):449-51.
13. Kim JS, Kim EJ, Kwon SH. An ethmoid mucocoele causing diplopia: A case report. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2017;96(50):e9353.
14. Bijith EV, Mathew S, Mahadevan K. Frontal mucocoele mimicking a frontal subcutaneous tumor. *Asian J Neurosurg.* 2017;12(4):760-62.
15. Peral Cagigal B, Barrientos Lezcano J, Floriano Blanco R, Garcia Cantera JM, Sanchez Cuellar LA, Verrier Hernandez A. Frontal sinus mucocoele with intracranial and intraorbital extension. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.* 2006;11(6):E527-30.
16. James E, Dutta A, Swami H, Ramakrishnan R. Frontal mucocoele causing unilateral proptosis. *Med J Armed Forces India.* 2009;65(1):73-4.
17. Aydin E, Akkuzu G, Akkuzu B, Bilezikci B. Frontal mucocoele with an accompanying orbital abscess mimicking a fronto-orbital mucocoele: case report. *BMC Ear Nose Throat Disord.* 2006;6:6.
18. Mohan S. Frontal sinus mucocoele with intracranial and intraorbital extension: a case report. *J Maxillofac Oral Surg.* 2012;11(3):337-9.
19. Kennedy DW, Josephson JS, Zinreich SJ, Mattox DE, Goldsmith MM. Endoscopic sinus surgery for mucocoeles: a viable alternative. *Laryngoscope.* 1989;99(9):885-95.
20. Har-El G. Endoscopic management of 108 sinus mucocoeles. *Laryngoscope.* 2001;111(12):2131-4.
21. Lund VJ. Endoscopic management of paranasal sinus mucocoeles. *J Laryngol Otol.* 1998;112(1):36-40.
22. Chiu AG, Vaughan WC. Management of the lateral frontal sinus lesion and the supraorbital cell mucocoele. *Am J Rhinol.* 2004;18(2):83-86.
23. Sharouny H, Narayanan P. Endoscopic marsupialisation of the lateral frontal sinus mucocoele with orbital extension: a case report. *Iran Red Crescent Med J.* 2015;17(1):e17104.
24. Severino R, Severino P. Fronto-orbital mucocoele with intracranial extension: a case report. *J Surg Case Rep.* 2017;6:1-3.
25. Beigi B, Vayalambrone D, Kashkouli MB, Prinsley P, Saada J. Combined external and endonasal approach to fronto-ethmoidal mucocoele involving the orbit. *J CurrOphthalmol.* 2016;28(1):37-42.